

Faculty of Agricultural, Life & Environmental Sciences

The University of Alberta

Guidelines For
Faculty Evaluation

Approved September 2008

Revised January 2016

Table of Contents

	Page
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Context	1
1.2 Criteria	1
1.3 Principles	2
2. General Expectations and Assessment Procedures	2
3. Areas of Evaluation	3
3.1 Teaching	3
3.2 Research and Scholarly Work/Creative Activity	4
3.3 Service	5
3.3.1 Community Service and Technology Transfer	5
3.3.2 Other Professional Contributions	6
3.3.3 Administration and University Governance	6
3.3.4 Globalization	7
3.4 Professional Development	7
4. Salary Increments and Academic Performance	7
4.1 Increments	8
5. Tenure	10
5.1 Tenure Standards	10
5.2 Tenure Assessment	11
6. Promotion	12
6.1 Promotion Eligibility	12
6.1.1 Promotion to Associate Professor	12
6.1.2 Promotion to Professor	12
6.2 Promotion Standards	13
6.2.1 Promotion to Full Professor	13
6.3 Promotion Assessment	13
7. Implementation Policies	13
8. Annual Report	14
9. Supplementary Professional Activities	15
Appendix A. Guidelines for Sabbatical Applications	18

1. Introduction

1.1 Context

In accordance with the Board-AAS:UA-Faculty Agreement (hereinafter referred to as the Agreement) (Article 13) it is required that the Faculty establish criteria by which the Faculty Evaluation Committee (FEC) assesses the activity of individual faculty members to reach decisions about tenure, salary increments and promotions. This document is intended to establish these criteria, and also to provide guidelines to members of the Faculty about expectations for career advancement.

1.2 Criteria

The criteria presented in this document have the following objectives:

- to provide a University environment conducive to the pursuit of professional activity and career advancement;
- to recognize contributions and encourage the individual staff member to demonstrate his/her effectiveness as a member of the academic community.

As a member of the University, the Council of the Faculty has the responsibility to set standards of performance that are in harmony with the stated objectives of the University. It is the responsibility of the administrators of the University and the Faculty to assist, as far as possible, in providing the necessary human and physical resources that will ensure these objectives can be achieved.

It is the responsibility of the individual staff member to set personal career objectives in harmony with the Faculty's objectives and to use the facilities and the opportunities provided to make contributions to the growth of knowledge and to the academic advancement of students and the Faculty as a whole.

The Faculty endorses the general provisions of the Faculty Agreement, as published in Articles 12, 13, and 14 of the Agreement, as the basis for tenure, salary increments and promotion decisions.

The Faculty recognizes that the emphasis given to the various areas of activity may vary among Faculties in the University, hence this document establishes the standards as they are defined and applied in the Faculty of ALES. This document sets forth general guidelines for both academic staff and the FEC, in keeping with the provisions of the Faculty Agreement.

1.3 Principles

Attainment of excellence in scholarship must be the main objective and primary responsibility of all faculty members. What follows is an attempt to define the components of scholarship in the Faculty.

The Faculty Agreement requires that in the assessment of staff members, “performance as a teacher shall be of a major importance in the review” (Article 13.05) and that “the responsibilities of a staff member shall include active participation in research” (Article 7.05). However, because of the special relationship of the Faculty to the community at large, community service and technology transfer activities, defined as contributions to the development and dissemination of knowledge, are recognized. The Faculty places greatest emphasis on **Teaching, Research and Scholarly Work/Creative Activity** and **Community Service and Technology Transfer** as major criteria for career advancement. Due regard will also be given to academic discipline and to any unique responsibilities of the individual staff member. Faculty members will be assessed secondarily on their contributions to administration and professional and public service activities.

Although the normal expectation is 40% teaching, 40% research, and 20% other activities, the weighting of criteria for FEC decisions may vary for individual faculty members in recognition of special circumstances. These weightings will be established as necessary for individuals in consultation with the Department Chair. Weighting of criteria for Department Chairs will reflect their administration responsibilities.

2. General Expectations and Assessment Procedures

Since the Faculty comprises diverse disciplines and activities, it is necessary that its evaluation mechanisms remain flexible. Emphasis given to the various areas of activity will vary from individual to individual as a function of assigned responsibilities. The FEC will strive to ensure equity among departments in the application of the assessment criteria.

It is assumed that faculty members, when initially appointed, have demonstrated sufficient knowledge of their discipline and areas of specialization to teach and undertake research in a manner that is thorough and up-to-date. On-going scholarly activity is expected of all faculty members to ensure that their knowledge and expertise in these areas remain current. “Performance expectations shall increase as a staff member moves through the ranks.” (Article 13.05b)

All faculty members are expected to conduct themselves in a manner consistent with accepted standards of professional ethics whether in their work at the University, while engaged in other professional activities, or when dealing with the public at large.

Beyond these general expectations the Faculty recognizes three major areas of activity for the purposes of determining salary increments, tenure and promotion. These areas are teaching, research/scholarly work/creative activity, and community service and technology transfer. Contributions to the department, faculty, university, and to academic and professional bodies, while important, cannot be considered as substitutes for lack of reasonable activity in teaching and research. Nevertheless, outstanding contributions in these areas, in addition to quality performance in the major areas, will be interpreted as meritorious. No individual is expected to be heavily involved in all areas at any one time. It is also recognized that significant changes in areas of emphasis may occur during the course of an individual's career. Making such flexibility possible while maintaining objective and consistent performance evaluations is a major reason for formalizing procedures in this document.

During the annual performance review meeting the Department Chair will discuss the faculty member's general plans for the coming year. (Note: In the cases of Faculty of ALES Associate Deans, the Dean will be part of this discussion.) In particular, this meeting should clarify how the staff member's objectives will fit into the normal activity profile (40% research, 40% teaching, 20% service). At the end of the year, the Department Chair's recommendation to the FEC will be based on the performance of the staff member in carrying out these previously agreed upon plans, unless circumstances and opportunities have resulted in an altered agreement between the staff member and the Department Chair regarding the staff member's objectives and direction of effort for the reporting year.

The Department Chair will be responsible for ensuring that expectations are equitable across the department and that, considering departmental differences, expectations are in line with Faculty-wide norms. For guidance, suggestions are made in this document regarding the kinds of factors that could or should be considered for each area and how some of these might be measured. It is therefore anticipated that each faculty member will begin each year with a clear indication of expectations. The following are considered to be representative of Faculty-wide norms.

3. Areas of Evaluation

3.1 Teaching

“Except where a staff member has a reduced teaching assignment, performance as a teacher shall be of a major importance in the review.” (Article 13.05a) The Department Chair has the responsibility to evaluate the staff member's teaching effectiveness. The assessment by FEC will be based on the teaching work load and on the overall effectiveness of the staff member as a teacher.

Teaching responsibilities include:

- graduate student supervision and thesis examination,
- planning the content and delivery of courses
- developing course materials, learning activities and evaluation devices,

- delivering lectures and other learning activities,
- evaluating student progress, including grading and maintaining ongoing contact with students,
- instruction beyond regularly scheduled contact hours with students,
- training of teaching assistants,
- and coordination of multi-sectioned courses.

Some of the attributes to be considered in the evaluation of teaching effectiveness are the faculty member's ability and willingness to:

- stimulate intellectual inquiry and foster learning;
- generate enthusiasm among the students for the subject;
- ensure that students are exposed to the major concepts of the subject and know how to find and use related information;
- stimulate students to think independently and critically in the quest for and application of knowledge;
- keep abreast of the most current information and be a valuable resource for both students and colleagues.

GFC Policy requires that faculty members use at least one method of evaluation beyond the student rating of instruction. The method(s) may include one or more of the following: self evaluation, peer evaluation of pedagogy, peer evaluation of course content, peer consultation, and administrative evaluation of teaching.

If the second method of teaching evaluation is self evaluation, then it is recommended that the evaluation be based upon the following questions:

- Outline the goals of the courses you taught.
- Discuss the methods you used to evaluate whether the goals were accomplished.
- Describe the evidence indicating that the goals were accomplished.
- What changes, if any, do you expect to implement next year to better meet the goals?

3.2 Research and Scholarly Work/Creative Activity

It is assumed by the Faculty that a staff member holding a regular academic appointment within the University is normally required to make, within each review period, significant contributions of a scholarly nature over and above that directly related to the individual's teaching responsibilities. In the interest of advancing knowledge through research and scholarly activity the outcome of such

contributions must be made public. In assessing research, the focus will be on scholarly activity that is primarily directed to peer researchers and scholars.

In making the assessment the FEC will consider the nature of the member's field of research, the nature of the publications and the availability of time and other factors related to the staff member's circumstances (including part-time appointments).

The following is a list of the type of activities that are considered to be evidence of research and scholarly activity, and should be listed on the annual report. The list is not intended to be all-inclusive, nor is it necessarily in order of priority.

3.2.1 Contributions to Published Knowledge, such as:

- papers describing original research published in refereed periodicals/juried exhibits;
- scholarly books, chapters in books and monographs;
- working papers, research reports, original computer programs, and audio visual materials
- oral presentations, posters, proceedings and abstracts
- review articles;
- non-refereed research publications;
- meeting presentations (e.g. published proceedings);
- book reviews;
- production/exhibits of works of art and other related creative activities;
- curatorial exhibits of art or artifacts.

3.2.2 Staff are expected to obtain research funds at a level commensurate with the costs of doing research in their discipline. As well, the staff are expected to be actively seeking national, competitive funding (e.g. Tri-Council funding), if eligible.

3.3 Service

3.3.1 Community Service and Technology Transfer

Community service and technology transfer is directed toward practising professionals, industry and government leaders, entrepreneurs and the general public and includes such activities as:

- publishing in magazines, popular journals, and Faculty bulletins,
- course instruction,
- service and diagnostic work, and
- lectures, talks and demonstrations.

Community service and technology transfer will be assessed by the Department Chair on the basis of scope, need, and successful fulfillment of obligations. In the annual report and at the meeting with the Department Chair (Article 13.34) the staff member must provide evidence of the community service and technology transfer, including such information as: size of audience or public, requesting agency, budget, time involvement, quality of delivery, or whatever other documentation is requested by the Department Chair. The Department Chair will then make an assessment of the quality and quantity of the community service and technology transfer, and report the evaluation to FEC.

3.3.2 Other Professional Contributions

Other professional contributions include:

- Invited lectures and seminars
- Other professional activities such as editorial work, refereeing of papers, reviewing of grant applications, delivering courses, reviewing books, etc.
- Public service and contributions to academic and professional bodies. This is a recognized responsibility of academic staff, and may include:
 - seminars, conferences, study clubs and other types of continuing education offerings to professional groups,
 - contributions to government and professional committees,
- Consulting
 - non-remunerated involvement in professional consulting,
 - leadership roles in academic and professional organizations at national and international levels.
 - remunerated supplementary professional activities. FEC will consider the potential of supplementary professional activities (SPAs) to contribute or detract from the staff member's competence and effectiveness as a teacher and a scholar (see page 16).

3.3.3 Administration and University Governance

In an institution where governance is a shared activity, the staff member is expected to accept responsibility for participating in the activities of the Department, the Faculty and the University through service on committees and other University bodies. In assessing performance in this category the quality of the contribution will be considered. It must be recognized that time devoted to committee work, administration and related activities must by necessity be taken from teaching and other scholarly work. An excessive commitment to such activities will not serve as a substitute for good teaching and scholarly achievement.

A staff member may be appointed by the Dean of the Faculty to assume an administrative role (with or without limited term) that requires a substantial commitment of time and energy (e.g. Associate Dean, Standing Committee Chair) which may limit involvement in the three major areas of activity. Where such an appointment is held, meritorious performance in the designated role will be recognized in merit increment considerations, but will not substitute for excellence in teaching, research and scholarly activity, and/or community service and technology transfer expected for tenure and promotion.

3.3.4 Globalization

Academic staff are encouraged to contribute to the University's plans for international engagement and to participate in international activities as appropriate to their discipline and experience. Beyond international recognition of research, teaching and technology transfer, connecting globally includes internationalization of courses and curricula, international recruitment and student exchanges, and development of strategic international partnerships.

3.4 Professional Development

This would include activities undertaken to develop new skills, learn about professionally relevant topics, stay abreast of one's field, etc. Examples include attending conferences or seminars, or taking credit or noncredit courses.

4. Salary Increments and Academic Performance

The Agreement requires the annual review of a staff member's performance by the Faculty FEC upon consideration of a recommendation by the Department Chair (Articles 13.35 - 13.37). The performance will be evaluated in accordance with standards approved for the Faculty. The review period for the Faculty is January 1 to December 31. Notwithstanding that this is an annual report, focusing on accomplishments occurring in the reporting year, various sections of the annual report provide an opportunity to report

on previous achievements (e.g. number of previous year's publications), other work in progress (e.g. sections 1.1.c and 2.6), and ongoing grants (section 2.5).

Principles in Articles 7 and 13 of the Agreement underlie the evaluation of performance.

Evaluation of the performance of the staff member will be defined by categorization into one of the following merit increment recommendations, and the appropriate reward will be assigned accordingly. Note that the categories of assessment imply continued development, progress and improvement over preceding performance and assessment is also comparative relative to other staff members of similar rank in their Department or discipline. The staff member will be assessed on the basis of an academic staff member's responsibility to the university in teaching, research and service. Each category of responsibility is in proportion to that agreed to by the Department Chair and Dean (Article 7). It is recommended that new academic staff members consult with their Department Chair to discuss criteria for evaluation of teaching, research and service.

4.1 Merit Increments (Articles 13.23 and 13.24)

- One-half increment: Acceptable

The staff member's performance is generally below average compared to others of similar rank in this discipline and assigned responsibility, in one or more of the areas of responsibility (teaching, research and service) but remains within an acceptable range.

- One increment: Good

The staff member's performance is competent in teaching, research and service compared to others of similar rank in this discipline and assigned responsibility.

- One and one-half increments: Superior

Significantly better performance than that classed as "good". Performance of expected and assigned duties and contributions in major areas exceeds the expectations of the Department Chair and the FEC for one increment.

- Two increments: Outstanding

Significantly better performance than that classed as "superior". The staff member carries out expected and assigned duties and contributes to major areas to an exceptional degree, far exceeding the expectations of the Department Chair and the FEC for one increment.

- Two and one half increments

The staff member's execution of expected and assigned duties and contributions to major areas are outstanding, exceeding the expectations of the Department Chair and the FEC for two increments. Typically the recipient also has received national or international recognition through a major award or other accomplishment. In any year it is unlikely that more than one individual would receive of 2.5 increments; in many years no such award would be given.

- Three increments

The staff member has received national or international recognition through a major award or other accomplishment. In addition, the staff member's execution of expected and assigned duties and contributions to major areas are extraordinary to the extent that such an award would be given only about once a decade if at all.

- A partial increment

A partial increment is an increment that is less than a single increment but not a one-half increment and which will bring the salary of a staff member to the salary ceiling of the staff member's present rank.

- A special increment

A special increment is an increment that is greater than a single increment but not a multiple increment, which will bring the salary of a staff member to the salary minimum of the next higher rank.

- No increment

Four different categories of no or zero increment are identified in the Faculty Agreement (Article 13.24). When a Department Chair recommends and/or FEC awards a zero increment, the designation attached to the increment (i.e., the letter following the 0) shall be identified in all meetings and correspondence relating to the award, and will be clearly communicated to the staff member. An award of no increment must be identified as meaning one of the following:

- (0 a) Rank at Ceiling

The maximum for the rank has been reached and standards for promotion have not been met but performance is acceptable notwithstanding.

- (0 b) Acceptable Lack of Progress

Performance requirements for an increment have not been met, but performance is acceptable notwithstanding.

- (0 c) Unable to Evaluate

Academic performance while on authorized leave could not be properly evaluated.

- (0 d) Unacceptable

Academic performance is unsatisfactory and unacceptable. The staff member performs university responsibilities incompletely or significantly below expectations of the Department Chair and the FEC or the staff member's professional development has receded or ceased. If a 0d is applied to a staff member's performance twice within a three year period, then FEC will refer the record of the staff member to the Provost & Vice-President (Academic) with a recommendation that he or she will be disciplined for

unacceptable academic performance. Such referral shall not be made until after it is clear that any appeal to GAC has not been upheld.

5. Tenure

5.1 Tenure Standards

The purpose of the probationary appointment period is to permit an assessment of the individual's ability to work effectively towards achieving Faculty objectives and personal career goals. Tenure will normally be granted when all of the following accrue:

- The period of probation has been completed. The first probationary period is four years, followed by a second probationary period of two years (Articles 12.06 - 12.10).
- The staff member has demonstrated a strong record of achievement in teaching and research and has demonstrated that he/she will in the future be capable of making excellent contributions as a staff member in all areas of responsibility. (Refer to section 3.0 – Areas of Evaluation).
- The candidate's performance in all other categories, in the opinion of the FEC, will permit the staff member to achieve normal career progress.

It is expected that the candidate can work effectively and respectfully with other staff members in pursuing the Department/Faculty objectives.

In some instances, in addition to the above, the initial contract may stipulate that tenure will not be considered before the candidate has fulfilled certain other requirements. Where such requirements apply it will be clearly specified in the initial agreement.

The candidate must not assume that granting of tenure is automatic, even though he/she has been awarded full or partial merit increments during the probationary period.

5.2 Tenure Assessment

The Faculty accepts Articles 13.05c and 13.10 as the basis for the award of tenure. The Faculty comprises diverse disciplines and it is necessary that its standards for evaluation are flexible. The major concern of the FEC in evaluating a candidate's performance before awarding tenure is that the candidate demonstrate the potential and ability to excel in teaching and research/scholarship/creative activity appropriate to the discipline.

Emphasis given to the various areas of activity will vary from person to person. Teaching, research/scholarship/creative activity, and community service and technology transfer shall receive special scrutiny in all cases.

In order to ensure that the FEC may assess objectively a tenure candidate's effectiveness, documentation for all categories must be provided by the candidate. Such documents should normally include:

- Written assessment by Department Chair.
- Evidence of professional development resulting from participation in continuing education, post graduate/graduate seminars, "other" invited lectures, etc.
- Evidence of productive and original research beyond that which was part of a graduate thesis requirement.
- Evidence of some contribution to the administrative (committee) function of the Department, Faculty, University.
- Evidence of contribution to effective graduate student supervision.
- A teaching dossier comprising a teaching philosophy, syllabi of courses developed, examples of exercises used during teaching, course evaluations and other items thought relevant by the candidate.
- Copies of three publications representative of their most significant research contributions and/or a portfolio of work.

In addition, the candidate must submit the names of three persons including scholars with national and international reputations with whom the Department Chair may solicit confidential letters of reference. The Department chair will solicit letters from at least four tenured referees who can testify to the stature of the candidate as a teacher/scholar, based on the Faculty criteria for tenure, which will be provided by the Department Chair. Usually referees also will be given copies of the candidate's curriculum vitae, recent publications and/or portfolio, and teaching dossier. Referees will include at least one from the list provided by the candidate and two who are not on the list. Usually letters will include:

- one from a Canadian University system;
- one from a referee of similar background outside the Canadian University system;
- where appropriate, an esteemed, qualified senior staff person in industry or government.

Letters from referees will remain confidential and will not be shared with the candidate. The Department Chair's written assessment, which will typically make reference to comments of the referees, will be shared with the candidate. The Department Chair will, in consultation as necessary, compile information relevant to all of the assessment categories and tenure will be granted only when the composite overall performance of the candidate meets University and Faculty standards.

6. Promotion

Promotion is earned (Article 13.04b) and will not be granted automatically after advancement through the increment scale. "The promotion of a staff member and the award of tenure shall be decided by the FEC following review of the staff member's performance over the complete career" (Article 13.26).

6.1 Promotion Eligibility

6.1.1 Promotion to Associate Professor

A recommendation for tenure, received by FEC in accordance with the procedures of Article 12, shall automatically include recommendation for designation as associate professor (Article 13.27).

6.1.2 Promotion to Professor

A staff member is "eligible" to be promoted "when the current salary is within one increment of, or is higher than, the salary minimum of professor" (Article 13.28a).

Article 13.30 provides for the special situation where a staff member's salary is less than the foregoing eligibility level but where the Department Chair advises the person that the increment recommended is such that, if approved, the person's next year salary would be at least as high as the salary floor of the next rank. In such a case, the staff member is eligible to apply for promotion conditional on the award of the multiple increment.

The Department Chair has the responsibility to review with the candidate at each annual review, or more frequently as necessary, career progress history and advise the candidate whether a continuation of current activities and performance would, at the appropriate time, allow the Chair to put forward to the FEC a recommendation for promotion. Should the performance appraisal indicate that a recommendation for promotion will not be forthcoming, the Chair will indicate what the candidate's shortcomings are relative to earning a recommendation for promotion.

6.2 Promotion Standards

6.2.1 Promotion to Full Professor

For promotion to professor, the staff member must demonstrate a strong record of achievement in teaching, research, and service, including excellence in teaching and/or research, or, in rare circumstances, a record of exceptional service.

Specifically:

- Excellence in teaching will be demonstrated by proven expertise and leadership as a teacher/scholar at this University, preferably with a national and international reputation.
- Excellence in research will be demonstrated by expertise and leadership as a researcher/ scholar at this University, with a national, and international, reputation. The candidate must have made a significant contribution to their field through publications/exhibitions appropriate to the discipline.

6.3 Promotion Assessment

The process of obtaining letters of reference for promotion to full professor will be the same as for candidates for tenure except that referees will be provided with Faculty regulations pertaining to promotion.

7. Implementation Policies

The implementation of University policies and procedures for promotions and increments occurs at different levels. The application of criteria for assessment clearly involves the exercise of judgment and this continues to be, in accordance with the Agreement, the prerogative and responsibility of the Department Chair, the Dean, and the FEC. It is desirable, however, to establish common understanding in order to facilitate the establishment of uniform and high standards throughout the Faculty.

The Agreement requires that "The Department Chair shall assign to each staff member specific responsibilities, which shall include courses to be taught and other teaching duties and may include supervisory and administrative responsibilities." (Article 7.03). The staff member's annual report should provide a comprehensive statement of the individual's responsibilities and how these responsibilities were fulfilled. This statement may reflect minor weighting differences and may provide a basis for review for the subsequent year. At least one annual meeting shall be scheduled between the Department Chair and each respective staff member to discuss performance and the merit and/or promotion recommendation that will be submitted to FEC. Where supporting documentation is required in assessing the individual's performance it will be the responsibility of the member being assessed and the Department Chair to provide such documentation.

When, on the basis of the annual review, a staff member falls short of the expectations, the staff member should, in consultation with the Department Chair, seek to clarify the nature of the deficiency. The Department Chair is expected to offer counsel and to inform the staff member in writing of the level of performance that will be required to correct the deficiency.

While Departments have some flexibility in determining the range of academic performance that is recognized by the merit increment, it is the responsibility of the FEC to carefully examine departmental interpretations of the Faculty Guidelines to ensure that a balance exists between departments. The FEC will ensure that the standards of excellence required for the awarding of extra merit increments will prevail throughout the Faculty.

Favorable career advancement decisions will depend on the personal initiative of the individual staff member as evidenced by performance record and the documentation that is provided.

8. The Annual Report

The annual report comprises the major document on which assessments of performance will be based. It is important that it truly represents a comprehensive summary of annual activities. Reports must be completed consistently to enhance assessment of relative performance equitably and fairly. Therefore, detailed instructions must be provided to the staff.

Some particular points to observe include the following:

- All staff on leave (except unpaid leave) must provide an annual report. The Department Chair must advise all staff annually of this requirement.
- A report on supplementary professional activities must be submitted (Article 8.12) with each annual report.
- Manuscript citations must list both the first and last page number and must indicate if the manuscript was an abstract. The order of authorship on publications must be as printed.
- Grants received must indicate if the grant was received jointly with other staff members. The principal investigator and the staff member's role in the project must be identified.
- Project courses and seminars must list the course number and number of students.
- Research articles/creative works will be considered in the year that they are actually published/completed/presented.

- Documentation of research/scholarship/creative activity must clearly indicate the nature of the contribution under the headings provided.

9. Supplementary Professional Activities

Supplementary Professional Activities in the Faculty shall be governed by Article 8 of the Agreement. "Each Faculty Council shall determine, from time to time, which is the appropriate method for that Faculty" (Article 8.15).

The Faculty requires that each staff member who undertakes supplementary professional activities provide the following information describing the supplementary professional activities in conjunction with the Annual report:

- the nature of the activity (i.e. services performed). Use the following headings; **consulting**, (contracted research), **private practice**, **supplementary teaching** (intersession, evening programs, extension - either through the University Faculty of Extension and/or provincial departments), and **other activities** if remuneration is received. Other activities could include provincial and federal committees, activities in professional organizations including international organizations, newspaper and media articles, and community activities.
- the category or type of client or affiliation;
- the time(s) of the year, week and day, expressed generally, since this information is deemed important to the approval and reporting of SPA
- an estimate of the total time devoted to supplementary professional activities in the year being reported. This time is to be based on all activities regardless of whether conducted during regular university hours or at other times.
- the primary location where supplementary activities are carried out (if off-campus give appropriate address);
- a listing of all U of A facilities used for the SPA;
- a forecast of the supplementary professional activities to be undertaken during the current academic year (or the year following the year being reported).

The purpose of reporting this information is to enable the Department Chair and the Dean to determine the extent to which supplementary professional activities are adding to the professional and intellectual development of the staff member. As well, each year the Dean is required to report this information to the Provost & Vice-President (Academic) who, in turn, reports it to the Board of Governors.

Supplementary professional activities will influence the evaluation of meritorious performance through consideration of the potential for such activities to contribute to or detract from the staff member's competence and effectiveness as a teacher and a scholar. (Article 8.16).

The Faculty academic staff members have a University responsibility to the community and bona fide supplementary professional activities are to be encouraged. To meet the commitments as defined in Article 8, an annual report and a forecast report will be required of all staff including those on Sabbatical Leave. The report on SPA will cover the same time period as the annual report and will be submitted at the same time as the annual report. The reports will outline supplementary activities as defined in the subsequent material. Staff in the Faculty must not engage in activities that would constitute unfair competition with outside professionals or would pose any conflict of interest. The following statements elaborate the Faculty policy.

Scope and Context of SPA (Articles 8.01 - 8.03)

Staff members in the Faculty are expected to engage in community service and technology transfer, and such duties will be considered as normal University service. Involvement in provincial, federal and/or international committees and in professional organizations related to the professions served by the Faculty are also considered as normal activities. The Faculty also considers that it has an obligation to provide assistance to international organizations in Canada and abroad. Despite the recognition of duties related to these agencies and organizations, staff members will include time spent in such duties in their report on supplementary professional activities if remunerated.

Definition of SPA and Remuneration (Articles 8.07, 8.08, 8.12, 8.17)

The Faculty normally will not require its staff to file statements on remuneration from outside activities; however, a staff member shall submit such a statement to the Department Chair and the Dean if specifically requested to do so by his/her Department Chair.

In reporting staff involvement to the Board, "major activity" consists of more than 15 days per year. "In addition (Article 8.08) all proposals to teach at another institution shall be considered major SPA." "A staff member shall obtain written approval of the Department Chair prior to undertaking major SPA" (Article 9.09).

Approval of SPA and Conditions (Articles 8.09 - 8.11)

All Departments in the Faculty will operate under the same guidelines. A copy of "written approvals", as required under Article 8.09, will be sent to the Dean. In the interpretation of the effect of a staff member's activities on the normal operation of the department, scholarly achievement, teaching, community service and technology transfer work and administration are the criteria to be used. The Dean, by being informed of all

"written approvals" is in a position to assure uniform interpretation of Article 8.11 within the Faculty.

Use of University Facilities and Staff (Article 8.11d)

Department Chairs, in consultation with the Dean and the staff member concerned, will determine when a staff member is making extensive use of University facilities in carrying out supplementary activities. If it is determined that use goes beyond a trivial level, the staff member will be required to compensate the department concerned for such use.

The Faculty will not require a staff member to obtain personal liability insurance regarding supplementary activities. However, in all secondments by international agencies, the Faculty will insist on adequate personal liability insurance coverage.

Faculty Council agrees that the Dean exercise all duties and discretionary powers assigned to Chairs under Article 8 of the Agreement regarding outside professional activities of Chairs.

Appendix A

Faculty of Agricultural, Life & Environmental Sciences Guidelines for Sabbatical Applications

These guidelines should be considered in concert with Article 9 (Professional Leave) and Appendix E (Detailed Procedures for Sabbaticals) of the Faculty Agreement

1) Faculty members submit applications for sabbatical to the Dean through the Department Chair. Applications must be submitted to the Dean by October 15.

Sabbatical leave is not an automatic right - staff members must clearly communicate how the sabbatical program will benefit the university as well as how it will benefit them professionally. The application should include the following details regarding the sabbatical program:

- The overall goal of the study leave program.
- Where and with whom the applicant plans to spend the sabbatical and the factors taken into account in selecting the location. (i.e. unique research capability; internationally recognized program or individuals; building on existing collaborations, etc.)
- A synopsis of future research/teaching directions and how the sabbatical contributes to these.
- New skills/capabilities/experiences the applicant will acquire, and;
 - how these will contribute to their professional development, and
 - how these will support the strategic initiatives of the Department/Faculty.
- Anticipated tangible outputs, (i.e., a book, working papers, patents, new course development, new research collaborations, etc.)
- Statement of arrangements that have been made to provide satisfactory supervision of graduate student(s) during the sabbatical leave.
- Plan of how teaching and support staff supervision (if applicable) will be covered during the sabbatical leave.
- Funding, if applicable.

2) On receipt of sabbatical applications, the Department Chair will:

- Determine the eligibility of the applicant for the sabbatical. If the applicant is clearly ineligible for sabbatical, the Department Chair may stop the application at that point. In all other cases, the Department Chair must submit the application to the Dean. That is, the Department Chair shall not make decisions with respect to applications from staff members for sabbatical.
- Insert his or her comments on the application form, along with a recommended course of action for the Dean. In making the recommendation, the Department Chair should consider all aspects of the proposed sabbatical, such as:
 - the sabbatical program
 - the merit of the applicant,
 - relative merits of all the departments applicants and their sabbatical programs,
 - operational needs of the department,

- proposed arrangements for supervision of graduate students and support staff (if applicable)
- teaching needs of the department
- financial considerations.
- Rank the applications in preferential order if there are several applications from the same department.
- Submit the sabbatical applications to the Dean by October 15.

Note: In the case of a staff member whose appointment is held jointly in two departments, each Department Chair shall submit a recommendation with respect to the application.

3) On receipt of sabbatical applications the Dean will:

- Confirm the eligibility of the faculty member for the sabbatical proposed. In the event the Dean is not certain as to the eligibility, the question will be referred to the Vice-President (Academic) and Provost for consideration and decision.
- Provide copies of applications from all eligible staff members to FEC.

4) FEC will submit a recommendation to the Dean as to which applications should be approved and which should not be approved. The following factors will be considered by FEC:

- The recommendation of the Department Chair
- Meritoriousness of the sabbatical program.
- Whether or not the sabbatical program will be to the mutual advantage of the staff member and the University.
- The effect that a sabbatical would have on the operations of the faculty/department.
- Financial resources available for replacements of staff.
- The arrangements made for covering graduate student supervision and support staff supervision (where applicable).
- The arrangements made for covering teaching.
- Other matters the Dean deems relevant.
- Sabbatical programs which include work to be done at the University will be considered.
- Sabbatical programs in pursuit of advanced degrees will not be considered.
- The maximum number of sabbatical leaves allowed for the Faculty in the given year. This number is calculated by the V-Ps office (in accordance with Article 9.05 and Section C.4 of Appendix E) and includes carry-forward of under-utilized sabbatical quotas from one year to the next.

5) Based on the factors above and taking into account FEC's recommendations, the Dean will:

- Approve or not approve the sabbatical applications

6) The Vice-President (Academic) and Provost will:

- Advise each applicant, in writing, of the decision. The approval package includes the materials to be completed by the applicant and returned, that is, (a) sabbatical agreement, (b) address while on sabbatical, (c) directive regarding benefits, and (d) information on how to apply for a sabbatical research grant.

7) Right of staff member to appeal a sabbatical decision.

Clause 9.04.5 provides staff members with the right of appeal of a Dean's decision under certain limited conditions. It reads, “ *If the Dean does not approve an application for a sabbatical when FEC has recommended approval and if the quota for the faculty has not been met or exceeded, the staff member may appeal the Dean's decision to the Vice-President (Academic) and Provost.*”

- 8) **Three months following the sabbatical, the staff member will** submit to the Dean a report summarizing activities undertaken and outputs achieved.