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GENERAL INFORMATION

A Short History
In 1918 the Department of Household Economics was established under the Faculty of Arts and Science at the then 10-year old University of Alberta. Classes were held in the basement of the Arts building and after three years, graduates were awarded a Bachelor of Science in Household Economics. The program was intended to provide professional training for women in the Faculty of Arts and Science. The first classes began in the fall of 1918 with seven students enrolled in the Household Economics program and fourteen students from the Faculty of Arts and Science taking Household Economics courses as options.

In 1928, the Department of Household Economics became the School of Household Economics within the Faculty of Arts and Science. There were two programs: a BSc (HEc) which was a four-year degree program from junior matriculation and a BHEc program which required three years from senior matriculation. The major focus of the program was foods and nutrition.

The School was first reorganized in 1963 when the Faculty of Arts and Science divided into two separate faculties. Household Economics elected to join the Faculty of Science. In 1975, the School of Household Economics became the Faculty of Home Economics by action of the General Faculties Council. In 1981, the Faculty departmentalized into three major areas of specialization: Foods and Nutrition, Clothing and Textiles, and Family Studies.

Since 1975 there have been several other name changes and mergers; most notably, early in the 1990s, the Faculty of Home Economics and the Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry merged to become the Faculty of Agriculture, Forestry, and Home Economics. As part of this merger, the Departments of Clothing and Textiles and Family Studies merged to become the Department of Human Ecology. More recently, in 2007, to recognize the broad range of activities undertaken within the Faculty, it was renamed the Faculty of Agricultural, Life, and Environmental Sciences.

The predecessors to today’s Department of Human Ecology at the University of Alberta were characterized by a focus on traditional household-based activities such as cooking and sewing. Today, as a contemporary academic field, human ecology features rigorous critical analysis and the application of knowledge to areas that concern the near social environment of family and community relationships, and the near material environment of textiles and clothing, and other forms of material culture (such as products, buildings, etc.). Faculty members and graduate students draw on the natural and social sciences and humanities to conduct multidisciplinary research in the areas of Aging; Children, Youth, and Families; Material Culture Studies; and Textile & Apparel Science.

Location
The Human Ecology Building (HEB) is located on the corner of 89 Avenue and 116 Street on the North Campus. A map is available at: http://www.campusmap.ualberta.ca/.

Professors
For information on the Department’s professors and their research groups, use the Research link on the homepage, https://www.ualberta.ca/human-ecology/research, to find their individual biographical pages based on four main areas: aging; children, youth and families; material culture studies, and; textile and apparel science.

Graduate Program Committee and the Graduate Program Coordinator
Primary responsibility for administration of all graduate programs in the Department of Human Ecology rests with the Graduate Coordinator and the Graduate Program Committee. The Graduate Program Committee comprises the Graduate Coordinator, appointed by the Department Chair; at least two additional academic staff members who are actively supervising graduate students, appointed by the Department Chair; the Graduate Program Administrator; and two graduate students appointed by the Graduate Coordinator in consultation with the
Department Chair and the student body. Terms of appointment for student members are one year, renewable for up to two additional years.

Members of the Graduate Program Committee review applications of prospective graduate students, review applications for awards, review applications for Teaching and Research Assistantships, review course programming, and prepare relevant policies and documents pertaining to the Department’s graduate program. Or information on the Department’s professors and their research groups, follow the link to their individual biographical page, or use the Research link on the homepage: https://www.ualberta.ca/human-ecology/research.

Graduate Program Administrators
Your Graduate Program Administrator provides support to you and your supervisor for the length of your graduate degree. The Administrator ensures you receive pertinent up-to-date information regarding the provision of financial support and provides necessary advice on all issues pertaining to the successful completion of your program - from admission, to course registration and coordinating your final exam. The Administrator monitors your progress and student record in conjunction with the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research (FGSR). They will liaise with the instructors, supervisors, and departments to ensure University rules and regulations pertaining to academic programs are followed. Graduate Program Administrators can be reached by emailing grad.ales@ualberta.ca.

PRACTICAL INFORMATION

Graduate Student Offices
There are several graduate student offices located in the HEB. The Department does its best to provide a desk to all students. However, priority is given to full-time students who work and study in the Department. Drop-in desks are also available for part-time students who only occasionally require a desk. Keys for grad student offices are available from the Academic Initiatives Coordinator in the main office, room 302. A $50 key deposit will be collected (cash or cheque payable to the U of A) and refunded when the keys are returned. The grad student office key gives access to all grad student offices (so you can use any department-provided computer) and to room 314, the lounge/lunch room.

Graduate students may request a building key, or a key to any classroom or lab space needed for teaching or research purposes on an “as need” basis. The request should be directed to the Administrative Professional Officer, Lori Moran, and will require acknowledgement from the student's supervisor that s/he is aware of the student's need/desire to be in the building outside of regular business hours.

Mail
The Department, Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research (FGSR), and the Graduate Students’ Association (GSA) use regular (postal) mail and e-mail to communicate. Mail slots for students are in the main office and are labeled alphabetically. Monitoring postal mail and University e-mail on a regular basis is a student responsibility. The blue mailbox beneath the mail slots in the main office is for outgoing mail. You can send mail anywhere across campus free of charge. Mail is picked up/delivered daily at approximately 10:00am.

Students should get in the habit of reviewing their UofA email on a daily basis as e-mail is the main form of communication used.

E-mail and Computer Identification Information
To use your UofA e-mail account, you need a Campus Computing Identification (CCID) code and a password. The University’s Information Services and Technology (IST) office will automatically send this information to you after your application has been successfully submitted, usually within two business days.
Computing Assistance
You can use the IST Help Desk in 2-10 General Services Building. Call them at 780-492-9400, e-mail the helpdesk at mailto:ist@ualberta.ca, or visit their web page: http://ist.ualberta.ca/contact.

Changing E-mail Passwords
Information Services and Technology (IST) advises students to change the password that they have been assigned to something easy to remember, and to do this periodically. Password changes can be done at https://ist.ualberta.ca/services/ccid-passwords. Alternatively, go to the IST Help Desk (2-10 General Services Building). If you forget your password it is best to change your password in person.

Graduate Student Office Computers
Two computer stations are available in each of rooms 208, 320, 347, and 354 in the HEB. These computers are to be shared by all graduate students. They have Microsoft Office on them, and select computers also have SPSS, Nvivo, and mPlus software. Additional computers in the graduate student offices are provided by faculty members for their own students. If you encounter problems with the computers, ask the AI Coordinator for the name and contact information of one of the Faculty of ALES IT staff.

Printing
A black and white printer in 320 HEB is available for graduate student use. Although the Department supplies the toner cartridges for the printer, students are expected to supply their own photocopy paper. The Department provides one package of printer paper to each student, per term (Fall and Winter). See the AI Coordinator in Room 302 to obtain your package each term and if you require assistance with printing.

University Wireless System
The Human Ecology Building is wired for the University Wireless System (UWS) throughout and students are welcome to use their own computers if they wish. For those who do, all the student printers have a USB cable on them that can be used to print from personal computers.

Campus Computer Labs
Computers are also available on a first-come, first-serve basis in the computer labs on campus when they're not booked for classes. Visit the IST website for more information: http://ist.ualberta.ca.

Printers/photocopiers in the labs work on your ONEcard and are 12 cents per page. Two labs are located next door to the HEB, in the General Services Building in rooms 221 and 866.

Computing Tips
- For Department e-mail correspondence, use only UofA Gmail accounts (not personal Yahoo, Gmail, Shaw, or other accounts). Addresses for Gmail accounts are printed near the top of your timetable notice. You can access your e-mail through the Internet at: https://sites.google.com/a/ualberta.ca/startpage/home.
- Please contact Nash Goonewardena (avinash@ualberta.ca), the systems analyst for our department, to ensure that you are placed on all departmental list servs and that you have access to departmental resources such as shared computers in lab spaces and workstations in departmental classrooms.
- The Department regularly sends information through email that may help you succeed in your program (e.g., information about scholarship and award applications, job postings, fees and bursaries, new course offerings, department seminars, social events). It is essential that you monitor your email regularly for such information - it is your responsibility to ensure that you respond to deadlines in a timely manner.
- Always create back-up copies of your work.
- When using computers that are also used by others, be sure you back up your work. Clean out old documents as often as you can and delete your folders when you leave. Please shut down the computer if no one else is around and at the end of the day.
UOF A CAMPUS INFORMATION

The ONEcard
The ONEcard is the University’s multipurpose identification and debit card for students. The ONEcard office is located in 9104 HUB Mall. You will need to show photo ID (driver’s license or passport) and provide your Student ID number. This card allows you to:
- Borrow books from the library;
- Access certain gym facilities;
- Access the University Health Centre;
- Use the Graduate Students’ Association (GSA) photocopier and room booking services;
- Use print facilities and campus photocopiers found in the libraries and around campus; and
- U-Pass (transportation) identification.
For further information about the ONEcard see: http://onecard.ualberta.ca/.

Universal Transit Pass (U-Pass)
The U-Pass provides students with unlimited access to regular Edmonton, St. Albert, and Strathcona County transit services for Spring/Summer (May – August), Fall (September – December) and Winter (January – April) academic terms. The U-Pass is a partnership between the UofA, the Students’ Union, and the GSA.

Students obtaining their first ONEcard will receive their U-Pass at the same time. After that, new stickers are required to validate your pass each term. Check the website for information on sticker distribution, dates and locations at the beginning of each term.

All students are eligible to participate in the U-Pass program as long as they are registered in one 3-credit course. Students cannot opt-out unless they are registered in a thesis section that is offered off-campus.

Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research (FGSR)
The FGSR is responsible for the general administration of graduate programs, from the admission and registration through to convocation and keeps all documentation related to graduate students and their applications, admissions, programs, course grades, examinations, and theses.

FGSR is ultimately responsible for the admission of graduate students; for the setting of minimum entrance requirements and minimum academic standing requirements, and for ensuring that these standards are met. FGSR also submits to the Council of the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research changes affecting graduate program policies. FGSR’s website should be visited regularly during your graduate studies to ensure you are aware of FGSR’s policies, regulations, and important deadlines. The FGSR policy manual is an excellent information source for graduate students: https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/about/graduate-program-manual. You will need to familiarize yourself with FGSR policies and requirement: http://www.gradstudies.ualberta.ca/.

FGSR Location: 2-29 Triffo Hall
Hours of Operation: 8:30 – 4:00 (all year)

Graduate Students’ Association (GSA)
Located in Triffo Hall, the GSA is a student-run, not-for-profit organization and the official representative body for all Master’s and PhD students at the University. The GSA represents over 7,300 graduate students to the University’s Board of Governors and other decision-making bodies. The GSA website provides a range of useful information and services offered including grants, subsidiaries and bursaries: http://www.gsa.ualberta.ca/. The GSA administers a mandatory dental plan for all graduate students who are registered full time.
Health and Dental Coverage
As an on-campus, off-campus, or international graduate student registered full-time in the Fall Term and as a member of the GSA, you are automatically covered by the Graduate Students’ Association Health and Dental Plan: http://www.ihaveaplan.ca/. (A full-time student is registered for at least 9 or more graduate credits in the Fall Term). If you already have coverage you can opt out of the coverage, however, you must do so by the GSA’s deadline.

Human Ecology Graduate Student Association (HEGSA)
HEGSA represents graduate students in the department. The group plans and holds academic and social events of interest to our graduate students. Such events have included a potluck welcome lunch, "Writing and Whinging” afternoons, and other social events. HEGSA elections are held in October, and any interested Human Ecology graduate student may run for a position on the executive. If you have any questions or would like to talk with the current executive members, email hecolgsa@ualberta.ca.

Human Resources Information

Social Insurance Number (SIN)
If you are an international student and will receive a scholarship or assistantship payment, you will need to apply for a SIN. Every student that receives employment income or a scholarship must have a SIN as it is used for income tax reporting. To apply for a SIN, see the Graduate Program Administrator for an “Employment Contract” form. The form is to be taken to the Government of Canada at Canada Place for processing along with your SIN application form. Please note that your cheque will be held by Human Resources (HR) until your SIN is provided. When you receive your SIN card, show the Graduate Program Administrator your card so they can take a copy of your card for your file. The Department will provide HR with a copy of your SIN card. To obtain your SIN please visit Canada Place between 8:00am and 4:00pm, Monday to Friday.

Canada Place, Main Floor
9700 Jasper Avenue Edmonton, Alberta
http://www.servicecanada.gc.ca/eng/sc/sin/

Study Permit
Please show your study permit to the Graduate Program Administrator when you arrive. If you are employed on campus, Human Resources (HR) will hold your cheque until they receive a copy of your study permit. The Department will provide HR with a copy of your study permit.

Pay Periods/Direct Deposit
You will receive payment for your assistantships on the following schedule:

- Days worked, 1st to 15th = pay day the 25th of the same month
- Days worked, 16th to 31st = pay day the 10th of the following month.
- After that, your regular paydays will be the 10th and the 25th

Please ensure you are set-up for direct deposit. You can do this by adding your bank account information to Bear Tracks. The system will allow you to deposit into Canadian bank accounts only. Once you have set-up your banking information, your pay will be automatically deposited into your account. Please note that if you have not been paid for a six-month period you will need to resubmit your banking information as the system will consider your information obsolete until you update your information.
TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES AND OTHER RESOURCES

Graduate Teaching and Learning Program
Graduate Teaching and Learning (GTL) Program is open to all graduate students and postdoctoral fellows. The multi-tier program, a collaboration of FGSR, departments and faculties, and the Office of the Vice-Provost (Academic Programs), delivers opportunities for training in university instruction. All graduate students who have Department teaching assistantships are strongly encouraged to complete Level 1 of this program. If you are not sure which of the sessions would be most applicable for you, please consult with the faculty member for whom you are a teaching assistant. FGSR has not restricted the number of sessions a student can attend. For more information refer to: https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/professional-development/graduate-teaching-and-learning-program.

Centre for Teaching and Learning (CTL)
CTL offers a wide range of programs and services to support teaching and learning. With the exception of the August Teaching Orientation, all CTL sessions and symposia are open to graduate students. The CTL Resource Library has a number of books, journals, and videos on teaching and learning topics that can be borrowed by graduate students. For more information refer to: wwwctl.ualberta.ca.

Technology Training Centre (TTC)
Visit the TTC web page to see the courses that are offered for computer-related skills. Several courses are free for grad students. Many low cost courses are offered for students in the evenings. See: http://www.ttc.ualberta.ca/.

Professional Development Program
Starting in September 2016, students must complete an FGSR Professional Development Program, which includes the creation of an Individual Development Plan and eight hours of professional development activities. Information about the program can be found on FGSR's website: https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/professional-development/professional-development-requirement.

Although students who began their programs prior to September 2016 are not required to complete the Professional Development Program, they are welcome to do so – and all graduate students are encouraged to take advantage of activities and resources on campus to develop professional skills and qualities sought by employers. From cultivating a teaching portfolio and integrating ethics into your research, to preparing for careers outside academia, there are sessions and workshops year-round to help you now and in the future. Make yourself competitive in the global market by starting your professional development the day you arrive on campus.

University Health Centre
The University Health Centre is located on the second floor of the Student Union Building (SUB). It operates on a walk-in basis. There is a pharmacy on the main floor of SUB. For further information see: http://www.uhc.ualberta.ca/.

Fitness and recreation
The fitness centre on the main (North) UofA campus is the Van Vliet Centre and on South campus is the Saville Sports Centre. As a UofA student you have an automatic membership to use the facilities. You will need to have your ONEcard available to gain entry to the facilities. Fitness and recreational classes are offered throughout the year – these usually require payment. For more information see: https://www.ualberta.ca/physical-education-recreation/sports-recreation.

Music, Art, Theatre
A wide range of music concerts can be heard at SUB and Convocation Hall. Also, the Music Department features Monday Noon Music concerts for free during fall and winter terms. A wide variety of Fine Art Exhibitions are regularly held at the Fine Arts Building Gallery (FAB Gallery), and the Department of Drama features regular
theatre performances at the Timms Centre for the Arts. For details concerning music, art, and theatre on campus, check the following websites:
https://www.ualberta.ca/music/music-events-calendar.

Roles and Responsibilities

Students, supervisors, the Graduate Coordinator, the Graduate Program Committee, the Department, and FGSR all have responsibilities related to graduate students’ programs. It is important that all parties understand their own and others’ responsibilities for the graduate school experience to be as positive as possible. Each party’s responsibilities are described in the following sections. As well, please see information on FGSR’s website:
https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/about/graduate-program-manual/section-1-areas-of-responsibilities.

Students

Graduate students are ultimately responsible for their own programs. They are expected to read the Calendar and any other relevant documents to become familiar with all regulations and deadlines relating to their programs. Students are fundamentally responsible for: ensuring that their registration is accurate and does not lapse; submitting appropriate forms to the Department in a timely manner for signature and processing; and, paying all fees required by the deadline dates set out in the Calendar.

More specifically, graduate students should:
- make themselves aware of the contents of the graduate portions of the Calendar and take responsibility for meeting the requirements set out there, as well as requirements set out in the program contract/progress review forms provided by the Department;
- regularly inform the supervisor and supervisory committee members about progress;
- maintain open communication with their supervisor and Graduate Coordinator concerning any problem;
- meet with their supervisor and complete a progress report for distribution to the Graduate Coordinator and the student file (2 times/year for Masters students and annually for doctoral students);
- make research results accessible (beyond their appearance in a thesis) to an appropriate audience through conference presentations and publications;
- together with the supervisor, ensure that program contract, committee appointment (PhD only), and progress review forms are filed as required; and
- be aware of deadlines for applications for financial support such as scholarships, research assistantships (RAs), teaching assistantships (TAs), research projects, and seek advice and assistance from your supervisor in making applications, etc.

Supervisors

Assignment of Supervisors

Supervisors are assigned to students upon admission by the Graduate Program Committee, on the basis of:
- supervisory capacity of individual faculty members;
- shared research interests of student and faculty member; and
- shared interest in working together, as indicated by faculty members during the application review process and by students in their application materials.

Role of the Supervisor

The supervisor is directly responsible for the supervision of the student’s program. In this capacity, the supervisor assists the student in planning a program, brings to the student’s attention all program requirements, degree regulations, and general regulations of the Department and the FGSR, provides counsel on all aspects of the program, and stays informed about the student’s research activities and progress. The supervisor is also charged with ensuring that students conduct their research in a manner that is effective, safe, productive, and ethical.
The supervisor prepares a program of study for the student, arranges for and attends all supervisory committee meetings and the candidate’s examinations, ensures that these are scheduled and held in accordance with FGSR and Department regulations, and reviews the proposal and thesis (both in draft and final form).

More specifically, the supervisor, with the support of the Department, should:

- provide an environment for the student that is conducive to scholarly work and in which the student can grow intellectually;
- provide appropriate guidance to the student on the nature of research and the standard expected, and be accessible to give advice and constructive criticism;
- inform the student of the normal expectations held by the supervisor and the Department at the beginning of the student’s program;
- work with the student to establish a realistic timetable for completing various phases of the program;
- consider a graduate student as a junior colleague in research;
- ensure that there are sufficient material and supervisory resources for each graduate student under supervision;
- work with the student to establish the supervisory committee as soon as possible after the start of the program and ensure that it maintains contact and formally meets at least once a year with the student;
- ensure that the student is aware of his/her requirements and when necessary, assist the student in meeting these; and
- set-up committee meetings and examinations after consultation and with full knowledge of the student.

The Student-Supervisor Relationship
Supervisors play a key role in students’ experience of graduate education. They advise on the selection of courses and collaborate with students on their capping exercise, practicum, or thesis/dissertation research. Establishing and maintaining a good student/supervisor relationship is essential to a successful (and enjoyable) experience.

Approaches to Research
The student/supervisor relationship is as unique as the individuals involved. While all students are expected to do independent research, some supervisors prefer their graduate students to work on projects that fit into the supervisor’s overall research program, with the graduate student becoming part of a research team. If funding is essential to do work in a given area, this can be especially important. Other supervisors are agreeable to students conducting research that is unconnected to the supervisor’s research. It is essential that you determine what approach your supervisor prefers so that you have a better understanding of how you and your research will fit with your supervisor’s style and expectations.

Challenges
As in other relationships in life, relationships between students and supervisors that began with promise can sometimes become unworkable. The process of assigning supervisors is based, in part, on a student’s stated research interests at the time of application. It is impossible to guarantee from this information that a “good fit” has been made - only time and experience will tell. During the course of a graduate program, a student’s expanding interests may lead her/him away from original research interests. Additionally, a student may find that his/her particular style of research or time management clashes with that of the supervisor.

Most issues can be resolved with a little good will and common sense. Keep a professional perspective on the process. Resolution of any concerns should first be attempted by communicating directly with the supervisor. If that fails, the next step would be to consult with the Graduate Coordinator (if your supervisor is the Graduate Coordinator, consult with a faculty member of the Graduate Program Committee).

Changing Supervisors
Under certain circumstances, students may wish to change supervisors. Such circumstances can include: lack of compatibility with the supervisory style; changing needs of a student; significant personality differences; or
changed research interests. Students are free to make alternate arrangements for supervision without fear of
censure. The student should first discuss any such change with the current supervisor and obtain agreement of the
alternate supervisor and the Graduate Coordinator. Keep in mind that it is only possible to change supervisors if
another supervisor is available in your research area. Begin by speaking with your current supervisor and the
Graduate Coordinator. A workable solution often can be achieved without actually changing supervisor.

Supervisors on Leave
It is the responsibility of a supervisor to make adequate provision for supervision of their graduate students during
their leave. Therefore, staff members who intend to take leave during a period (exceeding two months) in which
they have graduate students under their supervision shall submit to the Department Chair or Graduate
Coordinator and to the graduate student(s) involved a written statement describing the arrangements which have
been made to provide satisfactory supervision during the period of leave. The supervisor shall nominate a member
of the Department who will be empowered to act on behalf of the supervisor in matters pertaining to the graduate
student(s).

Role of Department in Supervision
The Department oversees the supervision of all graduate students enrolled in its programs and serves as the chief
liaison with the FGSR. The Department is responsible for ensuring that the student receives proper supervision and
that the regulations and requirements of the FGSR and the Department are met.

The Department is responsible for recommending and keeping the FGSR informed of any development in or
changes relating to the student’s program, including the appointment of the supervisor and supervisory committee
members (where applicable) and changes to that membership, change of student status, course and program
changes, scheduling of examination dates, and so on. The Department’s Graduate Coordinator is the official
representative of the Department to its graduate students and to FGSR.

REGISTRATION AND PROGRAM MAINTENANCE

Program Registration
You can register for courses after you receive your official admission letter. To do this, you need to:
1. Meet with your supervisor to plan your timetable using your program contract form;
2. Register using the UofA’s online registration and data management system “Bear Tracks”; and
3. Confirm your registration by paying your fees.

Bear Tracks
Students register using Bear Tracks, an interactive, web-based service for applicants, students and employees.
Information on how to register for Fall and Winter terms, including course listings describing each class and
indicating the units of course weight, class structure and fee index, and class schedules are on the Bear Tracks
website. You will need your Student ID to access Bear Tracks. With Bear Tracks, you can view information
regarding:
- Admission
- Academic record
- Contact information
- Registration
- Graduation
- Fee assessments and Student financial information
- Employee pay information for TA and RA salary and awards payments
- Direct deposit set-up so pay cheques are automatically deposited to your bank account
Paying Fees
Information about how to pay tuition fees can be found on the following website:
http://www.financial.ualberta.ca/StudentTuitionPaymentOptions.aspx.
If fees are not paid by the term fee payment deadline, a late penalty will be applied to the outstanding balance at the end of each month.

The “Program Contract and Progress Review” Forms
As a graduate student, you will have received a “program contract and progress review” form with the Department’s letter that recommended your admission to FGSR. By filling out this form, you and your supervisor will set out the requirements for your program of study and research. This contract form enables both you and the Department to have a document that can be regularly consulted to ensure that you are meeting the requirements of your program. This contract form also protects you and the Department against any unilateral rule changes (i.e., unilateral changes to course subject requirements, number of courses to be taken, etc.).

Although this document is called a contract, its terms are not written in stone; that is, the student and supervisor can discuss the conditions of the contract and make changes, if both parties agree. Details regarding the purposes of the form, and instructions for completing it, are described below.

Using the Program Contract and Student Progress Forms
The program contract and progress review forms serve three purposes:

1. The program contract sets out the expectations regarding course work, as negotiated by the supervisor and student, thus protecting both the student and the Department from either one unilaterally changing the rules.
2. The contract form provides a mechanism for the Department to fulfill its responsibility for ensuring that the regulations and requirements of FGSR (and the Department) are met (for example, with respect to course selections, advance credit, etc.).
3. The student progress form provides a mechanism for monitoring student progress so that the Department can fulfill its responsibilities for keeping the FGSR informed any development in or changes to the student’s program (e.g., change of student status following completion of qualifying or probationary conditions), and for ensuring timely completion of students’ programs.

It is the joint responsibility of the student and supervisor to ensure that the program contract and progress review forms are completed and filed in a timely fashion.

Before the student’s first term of study, the supervisor and student meet in order to complete the front page of the program contract form (the student’s supervisor can download the program contract from the HE Team Drive). The top portion of the form should be completed according to terms laid out in the student’s letter of acceptance. The student and supervisor will then agree on a course of study and indicate the following on the form:

• any courses to be completed as qualifying work (if applicable);
• the core course requirements, and optional and research methods/statistics courses that are to be completed as part of the student’s graduate program;
• the year and term in which it is expected that the student will take each of these courses (to be indicated in the space provided in the “program requirement” column); and
• any courses for which the supervisor is recommending advance credit be granted (indicate with a check mark in the “advance credit requested” column and provide any substantiating documentation - e.g., transcript).

The student and supervisor will date and sign the form, the original will be returned to the Graduate Program Administrator, and a copy will be made for the student and supervisor after the Graduate Coordinator has reviewed the contract and signed off. The original is retained in the student’s file.

If advance credit for courses(s) is being recommended, the Graduate Program Administrator will make the necessary request to FGSR, enter the date the request is made, and FGSR’s response on the program
contract form, and inform the supervisor and student of FGSR’s decision. Note: Courses must have been taken within the last six years and must be graduate-level courses in order to be eligible for advance credit.

To monitor student progress, the student progress form will be administered in the manner outlined below.

1. Master’s students will meet twice annually with their supervisor to review and report on the student’s progress to date. These meetings will take place after each term, ideally in January and May, after transcripts become available.
2. At the meeting, the supervisor will record the following on the program contract form:
   - any changes in the courses to be completed either as qualifying courses or as part of the graduate program (changes need to be agreed to by the student and supervisor);
   - program requirements met (e.g., professional presentations, thesis elements, etc.); and
   - brief comments on student progress (e.g., what progress has been made to date and whether this is judged satisfactory, reasons for lack of progress, milestones achieved, goals for the coming months, etc.).
3. The student progress form should be dated and signed by the student and the supervisor.
   - If more extensive comments are required than will fit in the space provided, they should be written in memo form, signed by the student and supervisor and copies provided to the student, supervisor and Graduate Program Administrator.
4. The supervisor should inform the Graduate Program Administrator and/or the Graduate Coordinator of any action required by them as a result of the meeting (e.g., request for change in student status as a result of completing qualifying or probationary requirements, request for a leave of absence, requirements to withdraw due to unsatisfactory performance, etc.).
5. The Graduate Program Administrator and the Graduate Coordinator will review the progress forms in February and July/August to ensure that everything is in order.

Maintenance of Registration

Course-based Programs

Students in course-based degree programs must register in coursework or in MREG 800 (Maintain Registration) for at least one term in each September to August period to keep their program active. When a course-based student does not register in any course(s) for a one term, s/he will lose campus privileges such as U-Pass, library access, Health Services, and Phys Ed facilities use for that term. The system will not recognize that you are an active student if you are not registered. Keep this in mind while you are planning your program with your supervisor. When you register again, the system will consider you an active student and the services you could not access will be reinstated.

Thesis-based programs (Students admitted after Fall 2011)

In order to keep their program active, students registered in thesis-based Master’s and Doctoral programs must register all year round in coursework and/or thesis research. To register full-time in a thesis program in Fall/Winter, students must register in a combination of course work and/or thesis research comprising a minimum of 9 credits each term. Students registered in less than 9 credits in a Fall/Winter term are considered part-time students. Students who are admitted to any thesis-based program and who initially register as full-time students must register full-time for the remainder of their program.

Thesis sections are scheduled according to units of course weight equivalency. Thesis sections can be used in combination with course registrations in order to achieve the correct units of course weight for registration status (i.e., full time or part time). For example, if a student registers in two 3-credit courses, the addition of THES 903, would bring the registration status to full-time or 9 credits. THES 903 has a 3-credit weight, THES 906 is 6 credits and THES 909 is 9 credits.

International Students: Take note of the conditions of your study permit. If you fail to maintain full-time registration, you may jeopardize your standing with Citizenship and Immigration Canada.
Change of Registration
Students can add or drop courses to their registration within ten working days after classes begin. After the close of registration, all changes to a graduate student’s registration require the approval of the Department and FGSR. Requests to change registration are reviewed by FGSR when a memo with compelling circumstances from the student and the Graduate Coordinator or Department Chair is submitted. FGSR may or may not approve the request.

ENSURE YOUR REGISTRATION IS CORRECT BEFORE THE ADD/DROP DEADLINE! Students who fail to keep their program active by registering will be considered to have withdrawn from their program and will be required to reapply. The readmission fee is approximately $1,500.00.

PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

Overview of Degree Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Requirements</th>
<th>Other Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program *refers to number of credits</td>
<td>HECOL CORE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Course-based Master’s Degrees</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSc in Family Ecology and Practice (*26)</td>
<td>HECOL 610, 611, 682, 691, 692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSc in Textiles and Clothing (*26)</td>
<td>HECOL 570, 571, 691, 692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA in Material Culture (*26)</td>
<td>HECOL 661, 662, 691, 692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSc in Aging (*26)</td>
<td>HECOL 604, 615, 610, 682, 691, 692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thesis-based Master’s Degrees</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSc in Family Ecology and Practice (*20)</td>
<td>HECOL 610, 611, 691, 692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSc in Textiles and Clothing (*20)</td>
<td>HECOL 570, 571, 691, 692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA in Material Culture (*20)</td>
<td>HECOL 661, 662, 691, 692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSc in Aging (*20)</td>
<td>HECOL 604, 615, 691, 692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PhD in Human Ecology</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*20 credits</td>
<td>HECOL 601, 693, 694</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research Methods and Statistics Courses (for all Grad Students)
Courses to gain competencies in research methods and statistics will depend on a student’s area of study, especially whether they are oriented towards the physical sciences, social sciences, or humanities. Those in the social sciences should have basic competencies in qualitative and/or quantitative methodology and statistics. Those in the physical sciences should have basic competencies in laboratory methods (particularly for textile
Below is a list of research methods and statistics courses offered at the UofA. See the Calendar or Bear Tracks for more details. Prior to selecting courses, it would be a good idea to request copies of the syllabus to review with your supervisor. This will help you select courses that align as closely as possible with your background preparation, substantive research interests, and research methods/statistics that you’ll be using in your thesis/dissertation research.

Most departments block registration in their graduate-level courses. To register in a course that is blocked, you need to contact the department offering the course to request registration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANTHRO 511</td>
<td>Ethnographic Field Methods I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTHRO 524</td>
<td>Visual Anthropology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMM 597</td>
<td>Introduction to Qualitative Inquiry (Faculty of Extension)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDPS 509</td>
<td>Research Design and Data Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDPS 535</td>
<td>Indigenous Research Methodologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDPS 500</td>
<td>Introduction to Data Analysis in Educational Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDPS 501</td>
<td>Introduction to Methods in Educational Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDPS 502</td>
<td>Single-case Research Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDPS 503</td>
<td>Qualitative Methods of Education Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDPS 505</td>
<td>Quantitative Methods I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDPS 605</td>
<td>Quantitative Methods II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSE 606</td>
<td>Theory and Practice in Action Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSE 611</td>
<td>Phenomenological Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSE 612</td>
<td>Arts Based Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSE 613</td>
<td>Participatory Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSE 621</td>
<td>Phenomenological Writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HECOL 522</td>
<td>Introduction to Structural Equation Modeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST 601</td>
<td>Philosophy of History and Methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST 604</td>
<td>Application of the Social Sciences to History</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT D 500</td>
<td>Introduction to Community-based Research &amp; Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT D 540</td>
<td>Principles of Qualitative Inquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIS 505</td>
<td>Introduction to Research in Library and Information Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARK 710</td>
<td>Research Methodology in Marketing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS 504</td>
<td>Statistics in Nursing Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS 512</td>
<td>Quantitative Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS 513</td>
<td>Qualitative Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS 682</td>
<td>Statistics for Causal Analysis in Health Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS 687</td>
<td>Advanced Principles and Practice of Qualitative Inquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYCO 522</td>
<td>Developmental Methods: Design and Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYCO 531</td>
<td>Design and Analysis in Psychological Research I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYCO 532</td>
<td>Design and Analysis in Psychological Research II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REN R 580</td>
<td>Biometrical Techniques in Agri-food, Environmental and Forest Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSOC 515</td>
<td>Quantitative Social Research Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSOC 516</td>
<td>Collaborative and Participatory Research Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPH 502</td>
<td>Conducting Research in Health Promotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPH 503</td>
<td>Introduction to Health Promotion Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPH 509</td>
<td>Biostatistics I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPH 531</td>
<td>Statistical Methods in Health Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPH 623</td>
<td>Qualitative and Community-Based Approaches in Health Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC 509</td>
<td>Quantitative Data Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC 515</td>
<td>Quantitative Methods in Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC 518</td>
<td>Qualitative Methods in Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC 519</td>
<td>Comparative and Historical Methods in Sociological Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC 616</td>
<td>Structural Equation Modeling with LISREL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STAT 532  Survival Analysis
STAT 561  Sample Survey Methodology
STAT 575  Multivariate Analysis
STAT 578  Regression Analysis

**Program Planning and Evaluation Courses**

Students in the course-based MSc programs in Aging and in Family Ecology & Practice are required to take HECOL 682, *Program Planning and Evaluation*. If students are not able to take HECOL 682, due to situations such as a scheduling conflict, they can take another graduate-level program planning and/or evaluation course to meet this program requirement. Additionally, for Human Ecology graduate students whose career goals include program evaluation, it is recommended that in addition to HECOL 682, they take a graduate-level course that focuses specifically on the topic. Other graduate level program planning and evaluation courses offered at UofA are outlined below.

- EDPS 577  Foundations of Adult and Higher Education
- EDPS 585  Needs Assessment and Program Evaluation
- EDPY 615  Program Evaluation
- NURS 506  Program Planning
- SPH 504  Health Promotion Planning and Evaluation
- SPH 517  Strategies in Health Promotion Practice
- SPH 631  Health Program Evaluation

**Required Master's Level Competencies for PhD Students**

PhD students are expected to possess, at the time of admission, basic competencies in:

1. Research methods and/or statistics (*6)
2. The stream of study
   a) Aging: HECOL 604, 615
   b) Children, Youth and Families: HECOL 610, 611
   c) Material Culture: HECOL 661, 662
   d) Textile and Apparel: HECOL 570, 571
3. Professional Development: HECOL 691, 692

If students do not have these competencies when they are admitted to the doctoral program, they will be required to complete them as part of their program of study.

**Additional Course Requirements**

Students and supervisors will work together to find courses that meet program requirements and ensure that students acquire requisite knowledge and skills to successfully complete their thesis/dissertation, directed research project, or practicum. The first place to look for relevant courses is among those offered by the Department of Human Ecology. These may be supplemented, according to individual needs, by courses from other departments. Additional courses are suggested by your supervisor when completing your contract form.

Independent Study courses (HECOL 501, 651, 652): To register in an independent study course, students and supervisors must complete an *Individual Study/Directed Research Form* [https://www.ualberta.ca/agriculture-life-environment-sciences/programs/graduate-programs/degree-programs/human-ecology](https://www.ualberta.ca/agriculture-life-environment-sciences/programs/graduate-programs/degree-programs/human-ecology). Once a form is reviewed and signed off by the Graduate Program Coordinator, it should be submitted to the Graduate Program Administrator ([grad.ales@ualberta.ca](mailto:grad.ales@ualberta.ca)) and Francine Hodder, Course Management Team Lead, Faculty of ALES (fhodder@ualberta.ca). Francine will register the student in the Independent Study course.

**Note**: Independent Study course forms need to be submitted prior to the add/drop deadline.
Additional Program Requirements
In addition to courses, there are a number of program requirements that students complete as part of their graduate programs. Students in all graduate programs must complete an ethics requirement and at least one presentation. As well, starting in Fall 2016, all graduate students at UofA must complete a professional development requirement. Course-based Master’s students complete a directed research project or practicum placement and thesis-based Master’s students conduct research and write a thesis. Doctoral students complete a written comprehensive exam and oral candidacy exam, and they conduct original research and write a dissertation.

Graduate Ethics Training
Ethics and academic integrity training is mandatory for all UofA graduate students. Each student is required to complete the equivalent of at least eight (8) hours of structured academic activity in ethics to meet this requirement. Human Ecology offers the ethics training requirement by the completion of two components:

1. Completion of the Graduate Ethics Training (GET) Program course: [https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/current-students/academic-requirements/ethics](https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/current-students/academic-requirements/ethics). This web-based course is offered by FGSR and focuses on a number of ethical issues in the academic environment including Conflict of Interest, Conflict Resolution, Intellectual Property, Integrity and Scholarship, Graduate Student-Supervisor Relationships.

2. Completion of two research ethics seminars as part of HECOL 692.

Once a student completes the FGSR GET course, the student will provide evidence of completion to the Graduate Program Administrator. Once confirmation is received that the student attended both research ethics seminars in HECOL 692 and satisfactorily completed required assignments, the ethics requirement will be signed off as complete on your contract form.

You are required to complete all ethics components to convocate.

Ethics Review
An Ethics Review is required when research involves living human participants (course-based directed research project, Master’s thesis, doctoral dissertation). This is done online through the Human Ethics Research Online (HERO) program. Please visit this site for more information: [http://www.reo.ualberta.ca/](http://www.reo.ualberta.ca/). Details about the ethics review application and review process are provided in the ethics seminars in HECOL 692.

Professional Presentations
All researchers are ethically obligated to share the results of their research with practitioners, policy makers, the general public, and especially their colleagues.

Course-based Master’s Students
Course-based Master’s degree students are required to make at least one professional presentation related to their directed research project or practicum placement in the Department or to relevant agency staff (where the practicum and/or directed research project was completed).

Thesis-based Master’s & PhD Students
Thesis-based Master’s and PhD students are required to make at least two professional research presentations as either a sole or first author (at least one of which must be oral and at least one of which includes results of their research) prior to submitting their thesis or dissertation.

The presentation requirement provides a practical opportunity for students to learn about research dissemination, and to ensure that students live up to their obligation to circulate their research findings. In addition, critical feedback from colleagues is essential to producing high quality research. Therefore, the presentation requirement also provides opportunities for students to get critical feedback from colleagues about their work, outside of a classroom setting. The presentation requirements represent the minimum activity that should occur. Students are strongly encouraged to present at least once before a professional/academic audience and at least once outside the Department.
Professional presentations may include:

- presentations (papers, workshops, posters, symposia, exhibitions) at professional conferences (e.g., Alberta Home Economics Association, National Council on Family Relations (NCFR), International Association on Gerontology and Geriatrics (IAGG) World Congress, International Textile and Apparel Association); and
- presentations at colloquia or seminars on campus (e.g., Department of Human Ecology Lunchtime Research Seminars).

_Not eligible for fulfilling the presentation requirements are:_

- presentations done for course credit; and
- guest lectures in classes.

_Procedures for Scheduling Lunchtime Research Seminars_

1. Students and supervisors work together to determine appropriate content and scheduling of presentations; that is, students need to book a time only after consulting with their supervisor.
2. Lori Moran (Department Administrative Professional Officer) will confirm with supervisors when students request a time slot to do a presentation; priority will be given to students nearing the completion of their programs.
3. Students will be asked to write a sentence or two, maximum, to describe the nature of their presentation to alert audience members about whether the focus will be on formative evaluation or the delivery of research findings. However, the requirement for dissemination of research results must be met by all students.

_Professional Development Requirement_

All graduate students at the UofA who started programs in September 2016 and onward are required to complete an FGSR Professional Development Program, which includes the creation of an Individual Development Plan (IDP) and eight hours of professional development activities. There is a workbook available to students to facilitate the development of the IDP. Although graduate students are responsible for taking the lead on meeting the PD Requirement, graduate supervisors have a role to play. Once students complete their IDP, they are to discuss it with their supervisor and their plans for professional development. _Note that the IDP must be finalized and reviewed by your supervisor within the first 12 months of Master’s students’ programs and the first 18 months of doctoral students’ programs._

The IDP will assist students in identifying areas, topics etc. for PD. It is up to departments to determine what counts as PD – although FGSR has some guidelines about what “counts” and what does not “count.” _Students will receive no more than four hours of credit from any single category of PD options listed in FGSR PD documents._ Not all students will do the same PD workshops, experiences etc. Decisions about PD activities should align with students’ career paths and goals, and their self-assessment of professional skills. Some of our HECOL 690 seminar topics may be relevant for some students -- and certainly can be "counted." If supervisors and students have questions about whether a specific session or experience will "count" as PD hours, they should touch base with the Graduate Coordinator.

Students need to provide evidence that they have participated in PD activities. If students use a HECOL 690 seminar, the Department will have a record of their attendance. For other sessions, students should use the _declaration of attendance form_, which is available on the FGSR professional development website. Students need to keep track of the sessions they attend using the _record of activity form_, which is also available on the FGSR website.

Additional information about the program, as well as various documents and forms and details about information sessions for supervisors and students, can be found on FGSR’s website: [https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/professional-development/professional-development-requirement](https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/professional-development/professional-development-requirement).
Course-based Master’s Directed Research Project or Practicum

Course-based Master’s students can choose to complete a directed research project or practicum placement, both of which represent *6 course credits. The directed research project (HECOL 900) and practicum (HECOL 613) should be completed in the last term of study so that students have an opportunity to build on, integrate, and apply theoretical and empirical knowledge generated through course work.

Directed Research Project (HECOL 900)

- To register in HECOL 900, students and supervisors must complete the Directed Research Project Form, which is available on the Human Ecology website: [https://www.ualberta.ca/agriculture-life-environment-sciences/programs/graduate-programs/current-students](https://www.ualberta.ca/agriculture-life-environment-sciences/programs/graduate-programs/current-students). Once the form is reviewed and signed-off by the Graduate Coordinator, it should be submitted to the Graduate Program Administrator (grad.ales@ualberta.ca) and Francine Hodder, Course Management Team Lead, Faculty of ALES (f hodder@ualberta.ca). Francine will register the student in HECOL 900.
- There are a variety of projects that students can complete for HECOL 900. They can conduct a small-scale study that provides answers to one or more specific research questions. Alternatively, they can do an applied project that is based on practice or policy problems, perhaps for a specific client, agency, or government ministry. Examples include: needs assessments, program development or evaluation, mounting a museum exhibit, a design project, or a critical analysis of policies and/or programs.
- The directed research project requires a written report or some other finished product to be retained by the Department, as well as a presentation in the Department or to relevant agency staff.
- The directed research project and report must demonstrate the student’s ability to integrate theory, research, and if it is an applied project, aspects of practice and/or policy.
- The scope of a directed research project and report is less than that of a Master’s level thesis.

Graduate Practicum (HECOL 613)

Course-based Master’s students can choose to do a *6 credit practicum. Master’s students in thesis-based programs and doctoral students can also complete a practicum (*3 or *6 credits) as part of their additional course requirements. All graduate practicums must include the requirements outlined below. Additional details about the requirements can be found in the sample syllabus available on the Human Ecology website: [https://www.ualberta.ca/agriculture-life-environment-sciences/programs/graduate-programs/degree-programs/human-ecology](https://www.ualberta.ca/agriculture-life-environment-sciences/programs/graduate-programs/degree-programs/human-ecology). The syllabus also includes other course requirements that can be included in practicum placements, as well as evaluation forms, an affiliation agreement, and ethical guidelines. A syllabus will be developed by the academic supervisor so that the student, academic supervisor, and field supervisor have consistent information about the requirements for and expectations of the practicum.

A field placement in an agency/organization, government ministry, business etc.

- *3 practicum = 120 hours
- *6 practicum = 240 hours
- the decision about the field placement site will be mutually agreed upon by the student and supervisor.
- to avoid potential conflicts of interest, the field placement site cannot be the student’s current or recent place of employment.
- although the student might make some preliminary inquiries with a practicum site and/or field supervisor, it is the responsibility of the supervisor to make the formal arrangements.

Within the practicum hours, students will conduct an independent project, the focus of which is determined by the student and the field supervisor. The project can be a small or large part of the total practicum hours, depending upon its nature, but it must be a project for which the student takes major responsibility.

A written report and oral presentation about the independent project and/or practicum experience will be completed by the student. Although the specific requirements of the report and presentation will be agreed upon
by the supervisor and the student, they should both integrate scholarly literature. The presentation can be done in
the Department or at the practicum agency, whichever is most appropriate.

Additional Information on PhD Program Requirements

Acceptance into the PhD Program without a Thesis-based Master’s Degree

The doctoral program in Human Ecology is a research degree. Thus, the Department of Human Ecology requires
that students entering the doctoral program in Human Ecology have a thesis-based Master’s degree in a relevant
discipline with a minimum GPA of 3.0 on a 4.0 scale over the last 60 credits. Applicants who have not completed
original empirical, academic research (thesis or equivalent) will not be considered for direct admission into the
doctoral program. Instead, if they meet all other admission criteria, they may be considered for admission into a
Master’s program. Once they complete an original, empirical, and academic piece of research (the equivalent of a
Master’s thesis but without being defended at an oral exam), which is submitted for presentation at a national or
international conference or submitted to an academic journal for publication, they may be considered for a change
of status into the doctoral program.

Acceptance into the PhD Program without a Prior Master’s Degree

In general, a Master’s degree is regarded as a requirement for admission to a doctoral program (particularly, a
research-based Master’s that resulted in a written thesis). Occasionally, exceptional applicants holding a
baccalaureate degree may be considered for admission to the PhD program without first completing a research-
based Master’s degree. Such applicants will normally be subject to the following requirements:

 They must present the equivalent of a four-year baccalaureate degree in a related field from a recognized
  institution, with a minimum grade point average of 3.7 on a 4.0 scale, or its equivalent, in the last *60
  course weights.
 They must present evidence of the ability to conduct original academic research (such as a completed
  honours thesis or its equivalent).
 They must present three letters of recommendation from academic referees who speak to the applicant’s
  research and scholarly capabilities.
 There must be an appropriate supervisor available.

Upon the recommendation of the Graduate Program Committee that the applicant be admitted, and FGSR’s
approval of that recommendation, the applicant will be admitted to the thesis Master’s program as a full-time
student for a period of one academic year and will be required to complete the following courses: HECOL 691 and
692, two research methods and/or statistics courses, and one of the following pairs of courses: 1) HECOL 610 and
611 or 2) HECOL 604 and 615 or 3) HECOL 570 and 571 or 4) HECOL 661 and 662. They also will be required to
provide further evidence of their ability to conduct original PhD-level academic research (e.g., submit an academic
paper for publication and/or presentation at a professional conference).

The decision to accelerate the student to the PhD program at the end of the year is not automatic and depends on
above-average performance and strong recommendation from the student’s supervisory committee and the
Department’s Graduate Coordinator. At the end of the student’s first academic year, the Graduate Program
Committee will assess the student’s performance and, if satisfied that they have met the above requirements
(passed all courses, achieved a minimum GPA of 3.3, and demonstrated research capability), the Graduate
Coordinator will normally recommend to FGSR that the student be admitted to the PhD program. Such admissions
will normally be made only in September. Students who fail to meet these requirements will be permitted to
continue in the Master’s program as long as they meet the minimum FGSR and Department standards for
continuing in the Master’s program. Such students who successfully complete the Master’s program will be eligible
to apply to the PhD program through the usual channels.

PhD Comprehensive Examination

The written comprehensive review is critical for the purpose of assessing the adequacy of a student’s knowledge of
the theory, content, and research methods that are relevant to their chosen field of study. It is also intended as an
opportunity for mentoring; students receive the opportunity to respond to feedback on their writing from their committee. Together with the candidacy exam, the comprehensive review allows the assessment of the student’s preparedness to do original research at an advanced level.

The comprehensive review allows students to:
- demonstrate in-depth knowledge of their research area
- demonstrate their ability to think critically
- connect theories with a substantive research area
- develop an argument
- demonstrate original thinking
- gain experience responding to scholarly feedback

The comprehensive review takes place after completion of course work and before the candidacy exam, normally within the first two years of the program if the student had the expected basic competencies on admission. Normally the oral candidacy exam will occur within six months of the written comprehensive review.

**Procedures for Comprehensive Exams**

The supervisory committee will set the review questions which will normally cover four areas:
- Research and theory related to the student’s stream of research (ie., aging; children, youth & families; material culture studies; textile & apparel science)
- Research and theory related to the student’s substantive area
- Research design and methods
- Human ecology theory

A minimum of six weeks prior to the review, the student, in consultation with the supervisory committee, will develop a reading list. The reading list will cover the same four areas that will be covered in the comprehensive review. Its purpose is to define and set reasonable boundaries around the content which will be considered “fair game” for the review. The student may refer to literature they have read but is not included on the reading list during the exam, but they should not have to do additional library research in order to answer the questions adequately. The final reading list will be submitted to the Graduate Coordinator and the Graduate Program Administrator at the same time, so that they may check that sufficient time is allowed for the student to prepare for the review, that reading lists cover the required four areas, and that the reading lists are reasonably consistent in breadth and depth.

In setting the review, the committee will refer to the PhD comprehensive review question bank maintained by the Department in order to ensure that emphasis and difficulty of review questions are comparable for all students. The review question bank includes copies of the questions that have comprised previous reviews/examinations. They are intended to serve as examples to committees in setting reviews, and as study aids for students, but each review experience will be tailored to the student’s area of study.

Each committee member will submit two to three questions related to one or more of the four review areas; the supervisor will be responsible for setting the overall review in consultation with other committee members (this may involve selecting the most appropriate questions, clarifying questions, integrating similar questions from different committee members, etc.). The review will comprise two to three questions in each of the four areas; the student will answer their choice of one question in each of the four areas. The comprehensive review questions will be submitted to the Graduate Coordinator at least one week prior to the review so that they may ensure that the review adheres to the expected format and that reviews are reasonably consistent in breadth and depth. The final version of the questions will be filed with the Graduate Program Administrator.

The review will be take-home; the student will return the typed answers to the committee within seven days. The seven-day format was chosen after extensive consultation with previous graduate students. It is believed to provide the best opportunity for students to demonstrate their depth and breadth of knowledge while still
allowing them to meet other personal (but not employment) obligations. That is, it is not expected that students will work on their review 24 hours/day, 7 days/week. Students should organize their lives accordingly and should allow for adequate breaks, meals, exercise and sleep.

Guidelines for Evaluating Comprehensive Exams in Human Ecology

Questions will be evaluated independently by each committee member. Their evaluations will be based on the extent to which the student demonstrates:

- an accurate understanding of the relevant theory and research in their chosen field of study (i.e., Aging; Children, Youth & Family; Material Culture Studies; Textile & Apparel Science);
- the ability to correctly and adequately reference the relevant literature;
- an ability to synthesize and integrate theories and research findings to arrive at a deeper and more comprehensive understanding of the issues in their chosen field of study;
- critical thinking;
- original thinking;
- a broad understanding of the range of methodological approaches that may be used to address relevant questions in their chosen field of study; and
- an understanding of the conditions under which different research methods and techniques should be applied.

In evaluating each answer, each supervisory committee member will assign the student’s answer to one of the following 4 categories:

1. Pass with excellence - exceeds expectations
2. Pass - clearly satisfactory/adequate; meets expectations
3. Marginal Pass - minimally acceptable - not the quality of response expected, but nonetheless, demonstrates the basic minimal understanding deemed necessary to pursue doctoral research. If more than one answer falls into this category, then students are assigned a conditional pass or a fail for the review as a whole—see below
4. Fail - answer to question is not acceptable at the doctoral level (lack of critical thinking and original thought, lack of knowledge of the literature, etc.

Each committee member will, within two weeks of receiving the student’s responses (unless otherwise agreed by the committee and student to accommodate vacation or work schedules), provide to the supervisor one of the following recommendations, based on their overall assessment of the student’s responses:

1. Pass - answers to the questions are adequate (i.e., pass—excellent, or pass—clearly satisfactory/adequate).
2. Conditional Pass - answers to at least two questions are adequate, while one or two are judged to be marginal (not up to expectations but demonstrate sufficient basic understanding to serve as a foundation for revisions)
3. Fail - answers to more than two questions are judged to be marginal; OR answers to one or more questions are judged to be deficient (do not demonstrate even a basic understanding)

Guidelines for How an Exam as a Whole is Judged as a Pass

Should two or more committee members assign the review a conditional pass, the committee shall recommend one of the following:

- That the student complete additional course work in the area(s) in which they are judged to be marginal; OR
- That the student be permitted to revise their answer(s) to the questions judged to be marginal; the committee shall provide the student with written feedback as to the deficiencies in the answers; the student will again be given one week to complete the revisions.
Should two or more committee members assign the review a fail, the committee shall recommend one of the following:

- That the student complete additional course work in the area(s) in which they are judged to be deficient AND write a new review; OR
- That the student be required to withdraw from the program.

**Tips for Comprehensive Exams**
by R. Breitkreuz, prepared for HECOL 693: Professional Seminar III

*When do I do my comprehensive review?*

The comprehensive review takes place sometime after the successful completion of coursework required for the PhD program. Normally, a student spends a minimum of six weeks in intensive full-time study in order to prepare for the review experience.

*What is required?*

Students are required to write a paper answering one question (from a choice of two) in each of the areas listed above. Students have exactly one week to complete the review (the time and day of the week you start is up to you and your committee to determine). Papers are typically 12-15 pages each in length (double-spaced, 12 point font). To prepare for the review, students review readings that are compiled into a reading list. This reading list is typically drafted by the student. It is then shared with the primary supervisor, and then the supervisory committee. The committee then adds and deletes readings in order to ensure that the depth and breadth of knowledge required is covered.

*How should I prepare for the comprehensive review?*

The best way to prepare for your review is to review knowledge you’ve obtained in coursework and through independent reading in a disciplined and strategic manner. Remember, you have the first opportunity to prepare your reading list, so you have an opportunity to shape the parameters of your review questions.

**Tips for preparing for the review:**

1. Read widely: You are becoming an expert in a particular scholarly area, and your knowledge should reflect a nuanced understanding of that body of literature.
2. Engage in ACTIVE reading: Take notes, make connections between the articles, and constantly consider how this article relates to other work you’re read, considering the similarities and differences between this piece of work and other work in the area.
3. Talk to others: Talk to other students, to your supervisor, and to experienced faculty members to get ideas for questions, as well as tips for how to prepare and write the review.
4. Organize information you read: Because you’re reading comprehensively in several different areas it is helpful to organize your readings. To this end, create literature charts that summarize key aspects of the article such as the location of the study, theory utilized, methods used, key findings, poignant points, and how you think it relates to your topic. Also, create reference lists ahead of time so you can quickly import your references into your papers. In addition, physically organize your readings. Have a pile for each subject area, and further organize these piles of articles in ways that make sense for you.
5. Anticipate questions: Based on knowledge you’ve gleaned from your reading, your coursework, and ideas you’ve discussed with your supervisory committee, anticipate what you think might be asked. Review other students’ questions and responses (available on-line; ask Graduate Program Administrator about how to access the data based). In particular, think about the intersections between the various areas of expertise. For example, how is your content area human ecological? How could particular theories be utilized to develop your content area?
6. Practice questions: After anticipating questions, actually write out answers! You may be able to use pieces of these answers in your review, and disciplining yourself with this practice will help you to do the review more easily.
Tips for writing the comprehensive review:

1. Be strategic: As soon as you get your questions, read them all over carefully and jot down notes to brainstorm possible approaches to the answers. In doing so, it may become immediately apparent to you which question to choose for each category.

2. Create outlines first: For questions you know for certain you’re going to answer, create immediate outlines. This will give you some ideas for how to approach the questions and organize your paper before you get bogged down in details. It will also give you confidence later on when you’re fatigued, because you’ve already produced an outline to start with when you return to the questions (you may want to spend a half day on developing your strategy and creating your outlines).

3. Manage your time carefully: You half approximately 1.5 days for each question. Plan your time accordingly. You may need to stop working on one paper before it’s finished to start on another. Some students like to start with the most difficult question. I recommend starting with the easiest question, and doing the most difficult question second.

4. Choose your location carefully: if you can, find a place to work where you will not experience interruptions while you write. You will want to be able to focus on your review during this week. This will reduce your stress considerably.

5. Take breaks: Choose times daily for one or two breaks. Breaks may include activities like exercising or watching TV, but arrange some short periods of downtime to refresh yourself.

6. Get adequate rest.

Typical problems with comprehensive reviews:

1. The question was not answered, or the student answered the wrong question. Sometimes students prepare a beautiful answer to the wrong question. When developing your paper, ensure that you answer the question that was asked.

2. Answers are superficial
   In some cases, the answers provided do not reflect an acceptable amount of depth or breadth. In your answer, you want to ensure that you demonstrate that you have a solid and comprehensive command of the literature in this area. You should be able to elaborate on the key understandings, debates, issues, and gaps in the body of literature you are utilizing for this particular question. In addition, you want to ensure that your level of analysis is in-depth, scholarly, and reflective of a high-level of understanding of the subject matter.

3. Answers are disorganized and the key points are unclear
   In some cases, students have difficulty in organizing their paper in a clear and intelligible way. You can avoid this problem through having the literature you anticipate using well organized, identifying key points in the literature, creating literature charts and summaries, having your references organized and easily accessible for quick entry into your papers, and writing practice answers that you may be able to cut and paste from for your review. Remember that the answers do not have to be eloquent, but you do want them to be as clear and well-organized as possible.

The PhD Proposal

Proposal writing can begin any time during the program and should begin sooner rather than later. The proposal is distributed to members of the candidacy exam committee prior to the candidacy exam. Before the proposal is distributed to the full committee, the supervisory committee members provide feedback and approve its distribution to other members. Following the candidacy exam, the supervisory committee gives final approval of the proposal (which might not occur until after revisions are made in response to feedback and discussion during the candidacy exam).
The PhD Candidacy Exam

The candidacy exam is an oral exam. It allows a student to demonstrate that they have an adequate knowledge of the discipline and subject matter that is relevant to the thesis. It also allows the student to demonstrate his or her ability to pursue and complete original research at an advanced level. Since the purpose of the candidacy exam, together with the comprehensive exam, is to assess the student’s preparedness to do original research, this Department’s policy is that the candidacy takes place after the proposal has been submitted to the student’s committee but before beginning the research itself.

In addition to the requirement and guidelines for doctoral candidacy exams set out by FGSR (https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/about/graduate-program-manual/section-8-supervision-oral-examinations-and-program-completion/8-3-conduct-of-examinations), the Department of Human Ecology requires that students provide copies of their CV and copies of their UofA graduate program transcripts (or lists of all courses taken toward graduate programs at the UofA and the grades obtained) to doctoral candidacy examination committee members at the same time as copies of the research proposal are distributed. At this time, the supervisor should contact those candidacy examination committee members who do not also sit on the supervisory committee, and let them know that copies of the student’s answers to the comprehensive exam are available upon request. If requested, the supervisor will provide electronic copies of the student’s answers to the comprehensive exam prior to the candidacy exam. The comprehensive exam answers may provide committee members with additional information about areas of student strength or areas that may warrant further exploration during the candidacy exam.

As per FGSR policy, the candidacy examination must be held within three years of the commencement of a student’s program. Students who do not meet the three-year deadline, must apply for an extension. The procedures for doctoral timeline extensions in the Department of Human Ecology are outlined below.

First Extension:
The student and supervisor each must write a letter to the Graduate Coordinator. The student’s letter requesting an extension should provide an explanation as to why the 3-year deadline has not been met, the program requirements that have been completed, and a schedule/timeline and plan for completing the remaining program requirements. The supervisor’s letter should indicate whether they support the student’s request for an extension. As well, the supervisor should provide additional information to that provided in the student’s letter, which is relevant for the Graduate Coordinator to consider. Once the Graduate Coordinator receives the letters, they will meet with the student and supervisor. An internal department decision will be made by the Graduate Coordinator, and the FGSR extension form will be completed and submitted to FGSR. If the conditions and deadlines outlined on the FGSR extension form are not met, a second extension may not be supported by the Department of Human Ecology. If the Graduate Coordinator makes a decision that an extension will not be granted, they will recommend to the FGSR that the student’s program be terminated.

Second Extension:
The student and supervisor each must write a letter to the Graduate Coordinator. The student’s letter requesting another extension should provide an explanation as to why a second extension is being requested, progress that has been made since the first extension, and a schedule/timeline and plan for completing the remaining program requirements. The supervisor’s letter should indicate whether they support the student’s request for an extension. As well, the supervisor should provide additional information to that provided in the student’s letter, which is relevant for the Graduate Coordinator to consider. Once the Graduate Coordinator receives the letters, they will meet with the student and supervisor. The Graduate Coordinator will then write a letter to the FGSR indicating whether they support the request for the extension. If an extension is being supported, the FGSR extension form will need to accompany the Graduate Coordinator’s recommendation. FGSR will make the final decision about whether a second extension will be granted.
PhD Dissertation Research

Execution of the proposed research commences after the successful completion of course work and comprehensive and candidacy exams, after approval of the proposal by the student’s supervisory committee, and after approval is received from the Human Ethics Committee (if needed). See additional details about undertaking doctoral research on pages 28 – 29.

- Must embody results of original investigations and analyses.
- Must be of such quality as to merit publication, meeting the standards of reputable scholarly publications.
- Must constitute a substantial contribution to knowledge in the candidate’s field of study.
- May be traditional or paper-based format. Students should consult with their supervisory committee about the relative merits and challenges/limitations of both the traditional and paper-based formats. Paper-based dissertations completed by students in Human Ecology should adhere to the guidelines outlined below.

Paper-based Dissertation Format

The basic components of paper-based dissertations are 1) a preface, 2) an introductory chapter, 3) three to four published, submitted (under review), and/or publishable manuscripts, 4) a discussion chapter, and 5) a reference list/bibliography that includes all sources drawn on in the dissertation. It may also be appropriate to include a separate literature review and appendices.

1. Preface: Consistent with FGSR policy, all paper-based dissertations that include co-authored manuscripts must include a preface at the beginning of the dissertation that indicates the nature and extent of the student and co-authors’ contributions to the research and manuscripts included in the dissertation.

2. Introductory chapter: Similar to a traditional dissertation, an introductory chapter will set the stage for the dissertation by outlining the purpose, objectives, rationale for, and the significance of the research. It is likely that this chapter will situate the research in relevant scholarly literature. As well, the introduction should clearly outline the focus of each manuscript and how they relate to the general focus of the dissertation.

3. Manuscripts: Dissertations will include three or four manuscripts that have been published, are under review, and/or are publishable. There is no limit on the number of published manuscripts. The manuscripts should each address an individual question or objective that relates to the broader focus of the dissertation. The specific focus of each manuscript will be detailed in the dissertation proposal, and approved by the supervisory committee. At least one manuscript will be an empirical study. Others can be reviews, theoretical, and/or methodological papers. Each manuscript must have a title page that indicates the title, authors, and the journal to which the manuscript has been published/is under review/will be submitted. Each manuscript must have a reference list of all sources drawn on.

4. Discussion chapter: Paper-based dissertations will conclude with a chapter that draws the dissertation to a close, highlighting key findings and new knowledge, as well as strengths and limitations of the work and implications for research, theory, policy, and/or practice.

5. Comprehensive reference list/bibliography: Consistent with FGSR policy regarding all dissertations, regardless of format, a comprehensive reference list/bibliography will outline all sources used in the dissertation.

6. Additional chapters/components: Although a review of relevant literature will likely be part of the introductory chapter, some paper-based dissertations might include a separate literature review chapter. As well, appendices may be included.

General formatting guidelines: The format of the dissertation must adhere to FGSR requirements. A consistent referencing and formatting system is used throughout the dissertation. That is, the referencing style and format of manuscript chapters do not adhere to specific journal requirements (within the dissertation).

Evaluation guidelines for supervisory and examining committees: The supervisory committee has final approval of the research proposal and dissertation content. If a manuscript has been published solely by the student (with no supervisor/supervisory committee input and/or approval) the supervisory committee can require that this manuscript not be included as part of the dissertation. If manuscripts and/or the dissertation as a whole are not consistent with the dissertation proposal, the committee may also require revisions. Examining committees will
not be able to require revisions to manuscripts that have already been published. However, final oral exams comprise two components, the written document and the oral defense of the document. Doctoral candidates must understand and be able to defend their work to the satisfaction of the examining committee. Examining committees can require revisions to all components of the dissertation except manuscripts that have been published.

**Citation/Referencing Style for Written Reports, Theses, and Dissertations**
The Department requires that a commonly-accepted academic citation and referencing style be used in all documents. These include APA, Harvard, MLA, Chicago, Turabian, Vancouver/CSE, IEEE, ACS, etc. The citation/referencing style used will be determined by the student’s supervisory committee. In the social sciences, typically APA or Harvard referencing styles are used. In the humanities, typically MLA, Chicago, or Turabian styles are used. In the physical sciences, typically ACS, Vancouver/CSE or IEEE referencing styles are used.

**Completing Your Program**

**Course-based Programs**
When a student in a course-based Master’s program completes all coursework and other required assignments and the Department notifies the Graduate Program Administrator that a program completion form needs to be completed. The Department also submits a Report of Completion of Course-based Master’s Degree form to FGSR and the student’s name will be placed onto the list for the next convocation.

**Thesis-based Master’s and PhD Programs**
Students in thesis-based Master’s and PhD programs must register in "Thesis" during the registration period in which the thesis and accompanying evidence of program completion is submitted to FGSR. This enables FGSR to award credit for the thesis at that time. Upon successful completion of the final oral exam and completion of any revisions, a “Thesis Approval/Program Completion” form is sent to FGSR by the Department. Students must apply for convocation using the feature found under Academics menu in Bear Tracks. Additional details can be found on FGSR’s website: [https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/current-students/academic-requirements/convocation](https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/current-students/academic-requirements/convocation).

Theses and dissertations are submitted to FGSR in electronic format: [https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/current-students/academic-requirements/thesis-requirement-and-preparation](https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/current-students/academic-requirements/thesis-requirement-and-preparation). As well, the Department of Human Ecology requires one hard copy for inclusion in the Human Ecology Reading Room.

**Human Ecology Policy on Academic Standing in Graduate Programs**
In accordance with FGSR policy, the standards for acceptable academic standing in graduate programs offered through the Department of Human Ecology exceed those set by the FGSR. The minimum acceptable grade in any course taken by students registered in the Master’s and Doctoral programs in Human Ecology is a grade of B-. Grades below a B- count as failing grades for graduate students in Human Ecology. Graduate students in Human Ecology who achieve a grade of C+ or lower will be required to re-take the course for which they received a failing grade or take an equivalent/replacement course. Should a student fail a course or obtain a grade of “C+” in a course, the Department may recommend to FGSR that the student be placed on academic probation. Should a student receive a “B-” multiple times, the Department may also recommend that the student be placed on academic probation.

All students pursuing a Master’s degree (MSc and MA course-based and thesis-based) in Human Ecology must maintain a minimum cumulative grade point average of 3.0 throughout the course of the program. Should a student’s cumulative grade point average fall below the required minimum, the Department may recommend to FGSR that the student be placed on academic probation or be required to withdraw.

All students pursuing a doctoral degree in Human Ecology must maintain a minimum cumulative grade point average of 3.3 throughout the course of the program. Should a student’s cumulative grade point average fall below
the required minimum, the Department may recommend to FGSR that the student be placed on academic probation or be required to withdraw.

**The Process of Academic Probation**

When placed on academic probation, the student will be required to meet with their supervisor and the Graduate Coordinator to determine the conditions of probation. The supervisor will be responsible for writing up the conditions of probation and this document will be signed by the student, supervisor, and Graduate Coordinator and placed in the student’s file. The Department will then recommend to FGSR that a student be placed on academic probation for a specified period by submitting a Change of Category or Academic Standing form to the FGSR detailing the conditions of the probation. If approved by the FGSR, a comment of “On Academic Probation” is added to the student record and reflected on the student’s transcript.

If the student satisfactorily meets the conditions of the probationary period, the supervisor will request to the Graduate Coordinator to remove the student from academic probation. The Department will then submit a Change of Category or Academic Standing form to the FGSR for approval. If approved by the FGSR, a comment of “Cleared Academic Probation” is added to the student record and reflected on the student’s transcript.

If the student does not meet the conditions of academic probation, a recommendation will be made to FGSR that the student be required to withdraw from the program. Only in unusual cases with extenuating circumstances will a request for a second probationary period be made.

**UNDERTAKING MASTER’S and PHD RESEARCH**

**Research Objectives**

Graduate research accomplished by the student/supervisor team has two objectives. The first is to undertake creative, intellectual activity. This requires that the student and supervisor undertake the dialogue necessary to select and work together on a research problem. The outcome of this aspect of the program depends, in part, on developing a successful collaboration between the student and the supervisor, and sometimes also between the student and committee members. It is, therefore, essential that students get acquainted with their supervisor, and their supervisor’s research and supervisory style in order to understand their supervisor’s approach.

The second objective is for graduate students to progress through the program and successfully complete it. It is a good idea for the student and supervisor (and sometimes the committee) to “personalize” the general plan/time-line for achievement of the various milestones. To do so, the student and supervisor should be aware of each other’s goals for progress, in order to identify potential problems, and to suggest changes that will help ensure the student’s success.

As a student, you should discuss with your supervisor expectations about time management, organization, and how often you will need to meet. Also discuss the degree of independence and/or collaboration required, and the frequency with which you require assessment and feedback. Remember: when in doubt, ask! Supervisors will generally be happy to assist in any area that is confusing or about which you are unsure.

**Master’s Thesis**

- Should reveal that a student is able to work in a scholarly manner.
- Shows the candidate is acquainted with the principal works published on the thesis subject.
- As far as possible, it should be an original contribution to the body of knowledge.
- Will be traditional format (vs. paper based).
Choosing Your Research Topic

Graduate students may feel overwhelmed by the number of choices they face regarding research topics, but it is important to focus your topic as soon as possible in order to maximize the benefits of your course work. Your supervisor is your best resource here. Since your supervisor was assigned to you because of their expertise in the subject matter in which you are interested, they will be able to steer you in the right direction. As you read for courses, or while working as part of your supervisor’s research team, make notes, jot down ideas, think about those issues that have recurring interest, and keep track of potential research questions that appeal to you. As you review these you may find that a common thread emerges – identifying this thread will make it easier to settle on a research topic. Time, experience, and on-going discussions with your supervisor will help you to define a manageable research question.

Resources for Ideas

If you are have trouble narrowing your topic, or deciding on an area of research, you should look at theses, dissertations, and applied research projects completed by previous Human Ecology graduate students (or students from other Departments). The work of previous Human Ecology graduate students is available in the reading room located in room 302B. Your fellow graduate students can also be helpful – ask them about their research. Attend seminars, talk with others, ask questions, and read other students’ theses to get as full a view as possible. Research seminars hosted in the Department and throughout the university can also be valuable sources of information. Keeping a journal or list of ideas, topics, and questions that interest you can be a great help.

Bibliography/Reference Management

The best thing you can do for yourself as soon as you start reading the literature is set up a bibliographic database on software such as RefWorks, ProCite or End Note. A program like this allows you to enter all the information needed for references, along with keywords and notes that permit you to search your own records as they begin to accumulate. ProCite can be used with Micrososft Word to enter citati ons as you write your papers or thesis. At the click of a button, your reference lists will be formatted automatically in the style you choose. You also have the option of setting up your own styles for both citations and reference lists. RefWorks is a web-based citation manager offered through the UofA library. See: http://guides.library.ualberta.ca/refworks.

The Department requires that a commonly-accepted academic citation and referencing style be used in all documents. These include APA, Harvard, MLA, Chicago, Turabian, Vancouver/CSE, IEEE, ACS, etc. The student’s supervisory committee will determine the citation/referencing style to be used.

Thesis formatting (Thesis-based Master’s and PhD dissertation)

In order to satisfy the rules for what must be included in the thesis or dissertation and what it should look like, review the Regulations and Guidelines for Thesis Preparation and Thesis requirement & preparation on the FGSR web site: https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/current-students/academic-requirements/thesis-requirement-and-preparation.

Student Tips

- Authorship and Intellectual Property: Completion of a thesis or dissertation is often a collaborative process between student and supervisor (and sometimes supervisory committee members). Thus, it is often the case that both students and supervisors feel some intellectual ownership of the work. In the dissemination of collaborative research findings, students and supervisors naturally wish to ensure that their contributions to the collaboration are fairly represented. From early in the relationship, be sure to discuss issues of authorship to ensure clear parameters.
- For more information about authorship, see FGSR’s guidelines: https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/about/graduate-program-manual/section-10-intellectual-property/10-2-guidelines-for-authorship.
- Work closely with your supervisor—they have the expertise to help.
- Pay attention to research topic “trends,” keeping in mind that any topic chosen will be your focus for the foreseeable future.
• Pick a research topic and/or question as soon as possible so as to maximize the feedback from professors during your courses and assignments.

SUPERVISORY AND EXAMINING COMMITTEES

Composition & Role of Thesis-based Master’s Committees
The Department of Human Ecology requires that supervisory committees be established for all students in thesis-based Master’s programs. The supervisory committee will include a minimum of two faculty members, one of whom is the supervisor and the other who may be from inside or outside the Department. A third faculty member, who will serve as an arms-length examiner, will be added for the student’s final oral examination (as per Section 8 of FGSR’s Graduate program manual for Master’s final oral examining committees). One of the members of the examining committee must be from outside the Department.

Typically, it is expected that the supervisory committee will be established by the end of the first year of the student’s program (or upon completion of all course work). The names of the supervisory committee members must be sent to the Graduate Program Administrator, who will keep a record of it in the student’s file. The supervisory committee will guide the student’s development of the research proposal, approve the research proposal, provide advice to the student during the research process as appropriate, and will usually serve on the final oral examining committee.

Composition & Role of Course-based Master’s Committees

Directed Research Project
Course-based Master’s students do not have a supervisory committee. Students completing a directed research project as part of their course-based program require a supervisor and a reader to evaluate the written report from the directed research project, which typically comprises an academic report or major paper that integrates scholarly literature.

The role of the reader is to conduct a critical review of the document and provide written feedback on its quality. The main criterion for readers is that they must have expertise in the topic area and normally be a current or former University faculty member (i.e., from the Department of Human Ecology, another department at the UofA, another university, and may include professors emeriti).

One month before the report from the directed research project is to be sent to the external reader, the supervisor should submit the name of a reader to the Graduate Program Administrator for approval by the Graduate Coordinator. If the recommended reader is not a faculty member (or professor emeritus) at the UofA, a curriculum vitae for the reader should be provided.

Evaluation of the Directed Research Project
The directed research project is evaluated by the supervisor and one reader. The procedure for the evaluation is as follows:

- The supervisor works with the student throughout the development and writing of the directed research project document. When the supervisor determines that the document is complete and ready for evaluation, it is sent to the reader for review.
- The reader will have three weeks to evaluate the student’s document. The role of the reader is to conduct a critical review of the document and provide written feedback on the document’s quality. The reader will submit the Course-Based Master’s Directed Research Project Evaluation form to the Graduate Program Administrator.
- Any suggested revisions to the document are sent to the supervisor with a copy to the student.
- The supervisor consults with the student about how revisions will be handled, including which of the suggested revisions must be undertaken.
• The student submits the final document to the supervisor who grades it on a pass/fail basis.
• In cases where there is a discrepancy between the supervisor’s and reader’s evaluations, final approval of the document will rest with the Graduate Coordinator. In such cases, the Graduate Coordinator may request a third evaluation.

Graduate Practicum
Course-based Master’s students do not have a supervisory committee. Students completing a practicum (*6) as part of their course-based program only require a supervisor. However, the field supervisor for the practicum placement is involved in the determination of the work to be completed during the practicum placement, including the independent project. Although the academic supervisor has ultimate responsibility for determining whether the student has satisfactorily met the expectations of the practicum placement, the field supervisory typically provides feedback to the academic supervisor about the student’s performance.

Composition & Role of PhD Committees
Consistent with FGSR policy, doctoral supervisory committees comprise at least three members, and candidacy exam committees and final oral examining committees comprise at least five members. The supervisory committee includes the supervisor and two other members with expertise that aligns with the student’s proposed research. The names of the supervisory committee members must be filed with the Graduate Program Administrator and approved by the Graduate Coordinator in advance of the comprehensive examination. The supervisory committee works with the student to develop a reading list for the comprehensive exam, develops questions and evaluates the comprehensive exam, provides the student with guidance around the development of the research proposal, approves the research proposal (both the draft that goes to the candidacy exam committee members and the final draft), provides advice to the student during the research process as appropriate, and usually serves on the candidacy and final oral examining committees.

Additional committee members (beyond those who are on the supervisory committee) are added for the candidacy and final oral examining committees, and are required to be “arm’s length.” Arm’s length examiners are not a member of the supervisory committee; not connected with the thesis research in a significant way; not associated with the student, outside of usual contact in courses or other non-thesis activities; and not a close collaborator of the supervisor. They may, however, be from same department. These committee members may serve as arm’s length examiner for both the candidacy and the final.

The approval of the appointment of the final examining committee, including the appointment of an external examiner or reader is by the Deans of the teaching Faculties. In the Faculty of ALES, the Dean sub-delegates this power to the Associate Dean (Research and Graduate Studies). The approval of the membership of a doctoral candidacy examination committee has been delegated to the departments.

The following table provides information about Master’s and doctoral examining committee membership. As well, additional information can be found on FGSR’s website:  
https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/about/graduate-program-manual/section-8-supervision-oral-examinations-and-program-completion.
## Master’s and Doctoral Examining Committees Membership Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Master’s final</th>
<th>Doctoral candidacy</th>
<th>Doctoral final</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of committee members</strong></td>
<td>At least 3</td>
<td>At least 5</td>
<td>At least 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supervisor/supervisory committee is part of the examining committee?</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Examination committee chair?</strong></td>
<td>Must be from the Department; cannot be the supervisor</td>
<td>Must be from the Department; cannot be the supervisor</td>
<td>Must be from the Department; cannot be the supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required number of U of A Faculty Examiners (full-time tenure/tenure-track, full-time FSO, or retired faculty/FSO)?</strong></td>
<td>At least half</td>
<td>At least half</td>
<td>At least half; there cannot be fewer U of A Faculty Examiners than non-UofA examiners. For the definition of “University of Alberta Faculty Examiner” see section 8.2.5 of the Graduate Program Manual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of arm’s length examiners?</strong></td>
<td>At least 1</td>
<td>At least 2</td>
<td>At least 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number from outside the U of A (external examiner/reader)?</strong></td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>1; An external examiner is present at the exam (in-person, telephone, teleconference); and external reader is not.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Eligibility as Supervisor(s)**
Each of the following criteria must be satisfied by at least one of the supervisor(s):

1. a tenured, tenure-track, or retired faculty member, or a Faculty Service Officer, of the University of Alberta;
2. active in the general subject area of the student’s research;
3. demonstrate continuing scholarly or creative activity of an original nature; and
4. either hold a degree equivalent to or higher than that for which the student is a candidate, or have a demonstrated record of successfully supervising students for the degree.

**Recruiting and Appointing Committee Members**
The procedure for approaching potential committee members should be discussed and agreed upon by student and supervisor, but normally the approach would be made by the supervisor. The supervisor should confirm that the potential committee members are willing to serve and request that the Graduate Program Administrator complete the necessary paperwork for the student’s file. The choice of committee members (appointment and/or removal) must be jointly agreed to by student and supervisor, and approved by the Graduate Coordinator.

**Replacement of Committee Members**
As with a supervisor, there may be occasions when a committee member should be replaced. The committee member should first be consulted and reasons for suggesting replacement should be explained. If, even after this consultation, student and supervisor agree that replacing the committee member is in everyone’s best interest, the committee member being replaced should be informed in writing and a copy of the letter filed with the Graduate Program Administrator. The supervisor should then request approval of the new committee member so that a new committee appointment form can be put on file.
Student Tips

- Pay attention to, and take every opportunity to interact with professors from whom you take courses. This is a great way to identify and get to know some possible committee members.
- As with your supervisor, get to know as much as you can about your other committee members’ research and expertise.

Opening Final Oral Exams to Observers

FGSR policy now permits persons other than the examining committee (and the student, of course) to attend the final oral exam (i.e., the defense). The HECOL Graduate Program Committee believes that there is value in opening defenses to observers such as other HECOL graduate students and faculty members because it would represent an important learning opportunity for both students and supervisors (especially new faculty members who have as yet participated in few defenses). At a minimum it would give everyone some idea as to what to expect at their own defense. FGSR policy gives the Chair of the examining committee the responsibility for determining whether observers should be permitted to attend the defense they are chairing. We encourage examining committee Chairs to give this permission as the default position, but we feel that s/he should also consult with the supervisor and student in making this determination in each individual case. Only HECOL graduate students and faculty members should be invited to attend, and only members of the examining committee would be permitted to ask questions.

STUDENT FUNDING

Getting a post-secondary degree is a costly business. A variety of sources of financial support are available to graduate students, many of which are described below. Students have the primary responsibility to seek out and secure financial resources adequate to complete their graduate program in a timely manner. Supervisors and the Department are responsible for helping students identify and pursue appropriate sources of funds. As well, for university and external awards (e.g., tri-council) the Department supports students in developing strong applications through the provision of feedback about applications (after the supervisor has worked with the student to develop a polished application). As deadlines for applications arise, relevant information will be circulated via e-mail.

The Department’s goals related to graduate student funding include:

- ensuring that as many students as possible receive funding during a period reasonably required to complete their degree through a variety of sources (department graduate teaching/research assistantships, department and university awards, scholarships and grants, faculty member research grants, and external scholarships);
- equitable allocation of funding, within constraints imposed on specific funding sources; and
- transparent policies and procedures for graduate student funding.

Decisions about allocation of all departmental and university funds for student support are made by members of the Graduate Program Committee, with input from the Department Chair.

Graduate Research and Teaching Assistantships (GRA, GTA) – Department Policies & Procedures

Decisions about graduate assistantships (Research Assistants (RAs) and Teaching Assistants (TAs)) that are funded at least in part by the Department will be made by the Graduate Coordinator with input from other members of the Graduate Program Committee. To inform decision making, requests for research and teaching assistantships are solicited from both academic staff and graduate students. The Department Chair reviews and gives final approval for the assignments. The assignments are recorded by the Graduate Program Administrator and forwarded to Human Resources for letters of appointment to be completed.
Any graduate student can apply for assistantships. The application deadline is April 30. The Graduate Program Administrator will send the form to current and incoming graduate students.

If you are appointed to an assistantship, any tuition fees owing after the payment deadline are automatically divided into 3 equal installments each term and deducted from your pay. For Term 1 fees, one installment is deducted from pay issued in each of the following months: October, November, and December; Term 2 fees are deducted from your pay in February, March, and April.

Two general criteria guide decisions about assistantships supported by department funds:

- Students who hold major awards typically are not eligible for a departmental TA or RA. However, faculty members can offer research assistantships from grants to students who have received a major award. Some awards limit the amount that may be received in addition to the major award.
- Departmental support for a student typically does not exceed the equivalent of one semester of 12 hours/week of combined RA and/or TA funding. Some students receive more funding than this when their supervisor provides RA funding from a grant.

The Department’s priorities for Graduate Assistantships are outlined below.

**Teaching Assistantships:**

- Provide teaching assistant support to lab courses.
- Provide teaching assistant support to larger undergraduate courses with heavy marking loads.

**Research Assistantships:**

- Recruitment of excellent students.*
- Financial support of students working with faculty members who have research projects that will provide excellent research training for students.*
- Support to faculty members to provide research assistance during periods between grants, especially to support projects that will provide excellent research training for students.

*With respect to Research Assistantships, the Department will give priority to cases in which the supervising faculty member provides matching funds from a grant.

**Appropriate Activities for Graduate Teaching Assistants**

The following kinds of activities are considered appropriate for a graduate teaching assistant to carry out: writing exam questions; preparing lab assignments or exercises; library research in support of lectures or labs; setting up and maintaining lab equipment; teaching, demonstrating, supervising, or providing feedback to students during labs; facilitating group discussion; meeting with students during office hours; proctoring exams; marking assignments, papers, and exams.

In contrast, the following kinds of activities should not constitute a significant part of any teaching assistantship and typically will not be approved to be a paid responsibility of a teaching assistantship: cutting fabric swatches; photocopying; attending or sitting in on lectures (without an active role).

**Student Tip**

- Although the Department endeavours to meet as many student requests as possible and distribute the funding available for TAs and RAs in an equitable manner, we often are not able to fulfill all the assistantship funding requests that we receive. Importantly, faculty members may receive research grants throughout the year, and as such, RA opportunities could become available. So, it’s a good idea to keep your ears and eyes open.

**Scholarships and Awards**

Details about scholarships and awards can be found on FGSR’s website: [https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/awards-and-funding/scholarships/departmentspecificawards?faculty=Agric%2C%20Life%2C%20%26%20Environ%20Sciences&department=Human%20Ecology&deadline=no-filter&search=&maxResults=5](https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/awards-and-funding/scholarships/departmentspecificawards?faculty=Agric%2C%20Life%2C%20%26%20Environ%20Sciences&department=Human%20Ecology&deadline=no-filter&search=&maxResults=5).
Department and University Scholarships and Awards
On an annual basis, students can apply to be nominated for a variety of Department and University scholarships and awards (listed below). Every spring, the Graduate Program Administrator sends the application form to current and incoming students. Members of the Graduate Program Committee review the applications and determine the nominations. Supervisors and nominated students are required to prepare the nomination forms. Members of the Graduate Program Committee review University scholarship and award nominations and provide feedback to students and their supervisors so that the strongest possible nominations are submitted.

- Doris Badir Graduate Research Fellowship in Human Ecology
- Bereavement Society of Alberta Graduate Award
- BMO Financial Group Graduate Scholarship
- Chinese Graduates Association of Alberta Graduate Scholarship
- Betty Crown Graduate Scholarship
- Helen Desilva Buchanan Memorial Scholarship in Clothing & Textiles
- David and Marion Duggan Memorial Scholarship
- Engberg Graduate Scholarship in International Home Economics
- Louise Davies Memorial Graduate Scholarship
- Delta Delta Delta Alumnae Fellowship
- Dr. William A. Fuller Memorial Graduate Scholarship
- Dr. E. W. Gauk-Westfield Award Rudelle Hall Graduate Scholarship
- Myer Horowitz Graduate Scholarship
- Dianne Kieren Graduate Award in Human Ecology
- Thelma R. Scambler Scholarship
- John and Patricia Schlosser Environment Scholarship
- Jean Isabel Soper Memorial Graduate Scholarship in Science
- Pansy and George Strange Graduate Scholarship
- Ivy and William Thomson Graduate Scholarship

Killam Memorial Scholarship and Andrew Stewart Memorial Prize
Typically in December FGSR requests applications for the Izaak Walton Killam Memorial Scholarship and the Andrew Stewart Memorial Graduate Prize. The FGSR deadline for applications is February 15. The Graduate Program Committee identifies competitive doctoral students and invites them and their supervisors to prepare an application. The Graduate Program Committee adjudicates these award applications and provides details to students about internal department deadlines.

Queen Elizabeth II Graduate Scholarships
Each year, FGSR allocates a certain number of masters and doctoral QEII Graduate Scholarships to departments. Graduate students in Human Ecology can apply to be nominated for these scholarships as part of the annual awards application process (described above).

Recruitment Scholarships
The applicant is notified by the potential Supervisor that a recruitment nomination will be submitted. The supervisor works with the applicant to prepare the nomination package. These scholarships are campus-wide and awarded on a competitive basis.

- Thesis-Based Master’s Recruitment Scholarship
- Course-Based Master’s Recruitment Scholarship
- Doctoral Recruitment Scholarship
Travel Awards
Support for travel related to your research is available from various sources such as:

- Department of Human Ecology Graduate Student Research and Travel Grant (see details below)
- FGSR Graduate Travel Awards: https://www.ualberta.ca/graduate-studies/awards-and-funding/scholarships/fgsr-graduate-travel-awards.
- Alice E Brewer Graduate Travel Award: Administered by Human Ecology for PhD Students that are Canadian or Permanent Residents

Human Ecology Graduate Student Research and Travel Grant
As part of the department’s commitment to provide financial support to graduate students, graduate students are eligible to apply for the Human Ecology Graduate Student Research and Travel Grant to support research and/or travel costs during enrolment in their graduate program. Students enrolled in a Master’s program are eligible to apply once during their program for up to $500. Students enrolled in the doctoral program are eligible to apply twice during their program for a total amount up to $1000.

Eligible expenses include:
- Costs associated with traveling to a conference at which the student is first author on a paper or poster presentation. Eligible items include: travel, accommodation, conference registration fees, poster printing.
- Costs associated with conducting thesis research. Eligible items include: copyrighted measures or resources, printing, special equipment* (tape recorders, cameras, external hard-drives for data storage, etc.), production or purchase of special materials (fabric, videos, etc.), software, postage, travel for data collection purposes, participant honoraria.
- Costs associated with printing and binding up to two copies of the thesis, one of which is for the Department.

*NOTE: Equipment purchased through the Human Ecology Graduate Student Research and Travel Grant belongs to the Department of Human Ecology and must be left in the Department once the student completes their degree.

Ineligible costs include:
- Travel to a conference at which the student is not presenting a paper or poster or is a co-author (rather than first author) on a paper or poster.
- Computers, printers, or cell phones.

Application forms can be obtained from the Human Ecology website: https://cloudfront.ualberta.ca/-/media/ales/programs/graduate/documents/hecol-graduate-research-and-travel-grant-1.pdf. Applications are accepted anytime throughout the year, and should be submitted to Lori Moran (302 HEB). Applications are evaluated and approved by Lori (in consultation with the Graduate Coordinator or a member of the Graduate Program Committee as needed) within six weeks from time of submission.

Conditions include:
- If travel to a conference is dependent on confirmation of approval of funds, the requests should be submitted at least six weeks prior to the conference.
- Students must submit receipts for travel or research costs to receive approved funds.

External Scholarships

Tri-council Scholarships
The Graduate Program Committee identifies domestic students who will be competitive for scholarships from SSHRC (Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council), NSERC (Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada), and CIHR (Canadian Institutes of Health Research). Students work with their supervisor to
develop an application, which undergoes internal adjudication by the Graduate Program Committee before it is submitted. Master’s-level scholarships are due by December 1 and PhD scholarships are due in October. Check the FGSR website for additional details about the applications and deadlines. The Graduate Program Committee will inform students about internal deadlines.

**Other Agencies**

Refer to agency websites for award descriptions, deadlines, and application processes.

- Alberta Centre on Aging: [www.aging.ualberta.ca](http://www.aging.ualberta.ca)
- Alberta Museums Association: [http://www.museums.ab.ca](http://www.museums.ab.ca)
- Alberta Innovates: [albertainnovates.ca](http://albertainnovates.ca)
- PolicyWise for Children & Families: [https://policywise.com](https://policywise.com)
- International Development Research Centre (IDRC): [https://idrc.ca](https://idrc.ca)
- The W.L. Mackenzie King Memorial Scholarships: [www.mkingscholarships.ca](http://www.mkingscholarships.ca)
- Canadian Federation of University Women (CFUW): [www.fcfdu.org](http://www.fcfdu.org)
- Mitacs: [https://www.mitacs.ca](https://www.mitacs.ca)

**Student Tip**

- Ensure that you apply for funding in a professional manner. If you need to get letters of reference/support, make sure that you allow your referees ample time (at least three weeks), and provide them with sufficient information, so they can prepare their documents in an effective manner.
APPENDIX: Terms of Reference

2.5 GRADUATE PROGRAM COMMITTEE

2.5.1 Membership:

- Graduate Coordinator (appointed by the Department Chair)
- 2 Faculty members of the Department of Human Ecology (appointed by the Department Chair in consultation with the Graduate Coordinator)
- 2 Graduate student representatives (graduate student representation is determined through a nomination and election process involving all graduate students in the Department of Human Ecology)
  - 1 representative from Aging or Child, Youth, and Family areas; and,
  - 1 representative from Material Culture or Textile and Apparel Science areas
- Graduate Program Administrator
- Department Chair, ex-officio

2.5.2 Chair: Graduate Coordinator

2.5.3 Accountability: Department Chair, Department Council, FGSR

2.5.4 Frequency of Meetings: on a monthly basis or as needed

2.5.5 Term of Service:

- Three (3) year term for Graduate Coordinator
- Three (3) year term for Faculty members
  - faculty members’ terms of appointment to the committee will be staggered to ensure that there is always a faculty member with experience on the committee
- One (1) year term for Graduate students; renewable for up to two additional years.
- Ongoing for Graduate Program Administrator

2.5.6 Objectives:

- (1) to ensure that policies and procedures are in place and followed to sustain high quality graduate programs; and (2) to generally administer the graduate programs.

2.5.7 Specific Responsibilities:

2.5.7.1 Liaise with FGSR

- Act as a liaison between FGSR and the Department through representing the Department on monthly FGSR council meetings
- Inform Human Ecology faculty and graduate students of decisions, recommendations, and information emanating from the FGSR Council meeting
- Support the work of FGSR through serving on FGSR standing and ad hoc committees as appropriate

2.5.7.2 Policies

- Develop, review, and revise policies and procedures related to Human Ecology graduate programs as needed
- Ensure that department and FGSR rules and regulations are administered in a fair, equitable, and transparent manner
- Develop and maintain the student handbook and supervisor’s manual

2.5.7.3 Program Quality
• Periodically review Human Ecology graduate programs and make recommendations for program revisions/additions/deletions to ensure high quality and relevant programs
• Regularly monitor and review graduate course offerings and make recommendations for update and change

2.5.7.4 Recruitment
• Initiate and coordinate the recruitment of graduate students locally, nationally, and internationally
• Ensure adequate promotion of graduate programs to maintain adequate enrolment and quality students
• Coordinate the development and revision of promotional materials as needed
• Coordinate recruitment efforts with ALES Faculty and FGSR recruitment initiatives and events

2.5.7.5 Admissions and Enrolment Management
• Establish policies and procedures for receipt, review, and decision making regarding applications for admission to graduate programs
• Review all applications in a timely manner and make recommendations to FGSR about acceptance/rejection
• Monitor the balance between masters and doctoral level students in the department
• Monitor the student to supervisor ratio to ensure reasonable and equitable supervisory loads

2.5.7.6 Student Financial Support and Awards
• Nominate students for relevant University-wide scholarships and awards.
• Support students in applying for Tri-Council awards
• Make recommendations on awarding of graduate assistantships
• Work with students and supervisors to develop the strongest applications possible
• Develop and maintain policy/strategy to ensure maximum funding support for graduate students
• Maintain a list of scholarships and awards for which Human Ecology graduate students are eligible

2.5.7.7 Graduate Student Programs and Academic Progress
• Ensure appropriate matching of students with supervisors
• Approve student program plans/contracts, supervisory committees, and examinations committees
• Monitor academic progress of graduate students

2.5.7.8 Graduate Student Support around Graduate Program Matters
• Offer an orientation session for new students at least once per year
• Ensure the availability of a current Graduate Program Manual
• Ensure regular communication to students about program matters, scholarships/awards, etc.

2.5.7.9 Faculty Support around Graduate Program Matters
• Ensure that new faculty have support around graduate program matters and supervision