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The Writing Process

Getting started
- Explore the assignment
- Make rough notes
- Pick a tentative topic

Drafting/revising
- Get feedback on your draft/revise: work on higher order concerns: structure, argument, organization

Editing
- Work on style and lower order concerns
- Proofread, consult checklist for assignment
5 steps:

1. Plan
2. Draft
3. Get Feedback
4. Revise
5. Repeat 3 & 4 as necessary
Genre: 1. (evidence-based) narrative description
2. (research-to-practice) critical reflection

Length: 1. 8-12 minutes
2. 300 – 500 words

Value: 25%

Due: Nov. 3\textsuperscript{rd} 2016

References: APA 6\textsuperscript{th} Ed.
A visual map presents a concise summary of the patient’s journey. The map highlights key steps of a patient’s experiences and their medical interactions.

This activity aims to give you a broader understanding of the processes and procedures associated with oncology diagnosis and treatment from the patient’s perspective, and better enable you to support patients in real-life as they go through similar experiences.
Summarize the steps taken and justify your reasoning for each step, especially how the steps relate/connect to each other (i.e. pathology informs staging of the patient, staging informs the treatment of the patient etc). Please make sure you include at a minimum:

- Clinical presentation of the patient
- Diagnostic investigations (4 different possible tools)
- Biopsy Types (3 different types)
- Staging, pathology, pathological tests
- Potential roots of lymphatic spread; how this links to treatment decisions
- Possible treatment options (surgery, chemo, RT, etc)
- Possible prognostic/survival indicators
Summary of course materials
• **includes** all steps of patient’s journey
• accurately **presents** key components in a correct and thoughtful order
• **describes** each step of the journey in detail; highlights key steps

Analysis
• **explains** relationships between the steps/processes (provides links)
• **justifies** each step (gives rationale/reason/purpose)
• **illustrates** concepts with appropriate examples

Originality/design

Format

References
Reflect on how your perspective may have or may not have changed through the assignment: you are free to reflect on what is meaningful to you.

- So What? Analyze the experience. What does it mean to you?
- Now What? Consider the future impact of the experience on you and your future practice.

Purpose: link the course materials, and your experience engaging with those materials, to your perspective/understanding and practice.
Purpose: link the course materials, and your experience engaging with those materials, to your perspective/understanding of the topic/field and your professional practice.

• **How** has this assignment changed or not changed your perspective on the patient’s journey through oncology?

• Might these key insights influence your interactions with future patients? If so, **how**?

• **How** could you use and implement this new knowledge into your delivery of patient care?

• **How** has this assignment influenced your perspective on interdisciplinary teams and/or inter-professional practice?

“**How**”: difference between *reflection* and *critical reflection*
Reflection:

• Communicates author’s thoughts with clear writing structure.
• Demonstrates in-depth reflection on, and personalization of, concepts.
• Includes insightful reflection; aims to inspire deeper thinking about the concept/idea.
• Discusses concepts relevant to visual map and materials.
• Follows “what, so what, now what” format.
“…reflexivity involves a commitment to attending to what we believe, think, and feel while examining how we came to hold those beliefs, thoughts, and feelings” (Qualley 1998 p.41, emp added).

“Critique and reassessment of the adequacy of prior learning, leading potentially to its negation, are the hallmarks of reflection” (Mezirow 1991 p.110, emp added).

“Reflection is a metacognitive process that occurs before, during and after situations with the purpose of developing greater understanding of both the self and the situation so that future encounters with the situation are informed from previous encounters” (Sandars 2009 p.685, emp added).
Should **not**:  
• offer a stream of consciousness narrative or personal story  
• solely relate your experience/feelings  
• be anecdotal
Should:

• involve discovery, examination/evaluation, and critique
• take the form of meta-cognition: *thinking about thinking*
• consider different perspectives
• allow you to (re)consider your own starting assumptions/thought process
• expand your understanding of both your experience and the course content
Cognitive tasks:

• **Summarize/describe** experience: what are the most important aspects for you?

• **Analyze**: what was most important/interesting/difficult/surprising for you? Why?

• **Synthesize**: how did the experience impact your perspective? How might this experience impact your practice?

• Don’t attempt to cover every aspect of your experience: focus on the most *pertinent, compelling, and interesting* aspects.
Strategies (Aronson 2011, p.203):

• link course content to past/present/future experience
• integrate mental and emotional experience
• adopt multiple perspectives to examine experience
• reframe experience in different contexts
• state lessons learned (or not)
• suggest areas for future learning or behavior/practice
Brevity with clarity:

- Use active, declarative sentences
  - Avoid overly-long and complex sentences
  - Avoid passive constructions
- Avoid modifiers (adjectives, adverbs)
- Avoid emotional appeals or attempts to blatantly spin the issue/ responses
- Be direct and explicit
  - “I argue that….”
  - “This shows….”
  - “Here we see….”
Strategies:

- use clear, explicit topic sentences
- repeat key terms/themes/concepts used in introduction and/or other annotations
- eliminate unnecessary words (*BPH 32a*)
- reduce wordy phrases (*BPH 32b*)
- have clear sentences
- use clear, explicit subjects in your sentences (*BPH 32c*)
- use active structure: Subject-Verb-Object
• Get feedback from a “trusted reader”
• Consider using other students in the course or the Centre for Writers
• Ask readers to read for specific purposes: thesis, structure, transitions, development of a particular paragraph or idea.
• Focus on higher-order concerns before lower-order ones
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