PHYSL 468 - Undergraduate Research Thesis (1)

Students taking PHYSL 468 are asked to:

(i) have successfully completed PHYSL 210 or PHYSL212/214
(ii) review the following guidelines to understand expectations in this course.
(iii) fill in the attached form with the name and signatures of proposed supervisor & additional examiner and hand it out to the course coordinator for approval (Drs. Silvia Pagliardini or Emmanuelle Cordat) before September 15. Course coordinator email addresses are: Drs. Silvia Pagliardini (silviap@ualberta.ca) and Emmanuelle Cordat (cordat@ualberta.ca).
(iv) register for the course with Ms Donna Simpson in the Physiology office (7-55 MSB).
(v) Attend the mandatory introductory lecture that will be held at the end of September.

Objectives

PHYSL 468 is a one term (6 credits) course that will provide undergraduate students with basic training in physiology in a research laboratory. This course is designed to be followed by PHYSL469 in the winter term. Therefore, registration to PHYSL 468 automatically entitles the student to registration to PHYSL 469. Exceptions must be discussed with the course coordinators.

Students will have the opportunity to interact with a Physiology professor to be guided in the design, completion, and analysis of research experiments. Under the supervisor’s guidance, students will also learn how to prepare and deliver an oral presentation and to present their research proposal both in writing and orally in front of the examining committee (supervisor, co-supervisor and course coordinators). In PHYSL 469, students will continue their research project and produce and defend an honours thesis.

Students that have already taken PHYSL461 or PHYSL467 are allowed to take PHYSL468/469 in the same laboratory or in a different laboratory. In case the course is taken with the same supervisor, the project must have different aims and objectives from the previous project. Summer students who worked previously with the proposed supervisor are allowed to take PHYSL468/469 in the same laboratory as long as both proposal and final examination clearly state what was the summer contribution to the project.

Work performed in a laboratory within the Physiology Department is strongly encouraged. Co-supervision with Professors from other departments is possible, provided that a supervisor from the Department of Physiology is identified. In this case, it is the responsibility of the student and the hosting Professor (from outside the Department) to identify a Department member who will act as “Supervisor”. The hosting professor will be listed as “Co-supervisor”, even if the research project is conducted in his/her laboratory. We strongly encourage students to discuss with the course coordinators their choice of potential supervisors outside our department prior to contacting them.
Expectations

• Expectedations for lab work

Students are expected to dedicate a **minimum of 16 hours per week** in the laboratory. Depending on the type of research and project, students should be prepared to spend more time in the laboratory.

Students will be expected to regularly keep a detailed and up-to-date notebook transcribing all the experimental details, challenges, results and conclusions.

**Students are expected to understand and be actively involved in a research project.** This includes, but is not limited to experimental design, data collection, data analysis, participation to lab meetings, and reading of the scientific literature related to their research project. Because of different laboratory organization, experimental approaches and work philosophy of each Professor, students are encouraged to discuss course and workload expectations with the potential supervisor before registering for the course.

• **Expectations for oral presentations**

Students will orally present their **RESEARCH PROPOSAL** between **November 15 and 30**. Students, supervisor and external examiner need to be available during these dates. The presentation will be 20 minutes maximum and should not include more than 25 slides. The oral presentation will be followed by a question period. **The selection of a fourth examiner (in addition to the supervisor and Drs. Pagliardini and Cordat), who will be an expert in the research field and who will also grade the written research proposal, is the responsibility of the supervisor.** This examiner can either be internal or external to the department and must be chosen at the time of registration. **The student, supervisor and external examiner are required to attend both FALL (PHYSL468) and WINTER (PHYSL469) examinations.**

• **Expectations for written proposal**

Students are required to prepare and deliver a **10 page written original research proposal**, double spaced, not including figures, tables and references, presenting their research proposal. The report must be sent via email to the supervisor and other examiners **at least one week before** the oral examination, preferably as a PDF document. Marks for late papers will reduced by 10 % per day. **Supervisors are encouraged to provide students with suggestions on the structure and the content of the report, but are not required to revise drafts of the document and SHOULD NOT revise the final version of the document.**

This written report should be organized as follows:

Introduction/background- This section should provide the necessary but not excessive or superfluous background information to allow the reader to understand the context of the research and the experimental question investigated. Relevant references should be included. This section should also include the research hypothesis.
Methods to be used- Materials and techniques to be used during the research project should be described with sufficient detail to be reproduced. The origin of chemicals, antibodies and relevant materials should be provided. Statistical analyses to be used in the project should be reported.

Expected Results- Similar to a research publication, this section should describe expected research results based on the methods used, be logically organized, and explain the scientific reasoning and progression of the project. Alternative strategies should be included.

Discussion should provide an analysis of the expected results from the research project and should be put in the context of the scientific research field. Limitations of the research or technical approach, and alternative methodologies should be highlighted.

References, figures, tables and figure and table legends are not included in the 10 page limit. Figures and table legends should be comprehensible without reference to the text.

Grading

Final grading will be organized as follows:

10% for satisfactory and regular upkeep of notebook. The notebook will be regularly examined by the supervisor and the final document will be handed to the examining committee for evaluation.

30% for the Fall oral presentation. Time management, clarity of presentation and quality of answers to questions will be evaluated.

30% for student’s performance in the laboratory. This includes taking ownership of the project, the student’s performance at the bench, punctuality and behavior in the laboratory, quality of the results, and interaction with other laboratory members.

30% for the written research proposal. The proposal should follow the guidelines provided above.

Final grades will be assigned as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHYSL 468 &amp; 469 Grading Metric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outstanding</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Excellent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very Good</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Good</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Good</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B-</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C+</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Satisfactory</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C-</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimal Pass</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fail</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Academic Integrity

The University of Alberta is committed to the highest standards of academic integrity and honesty. Students are expected to be familiar with these standards regarding academic honesty and to uphold the policies of the University in this respect. Students are particularly urged to familiarize themselves with the provisions of the Code of Student Behaviour (online at http://www.governance.ualberta.ca/en/CodesofConductandResidenceCommunityStandards/CodeofStudentBehaviour.aspx) and avoid any behaviour that could potentially result in suspicions of cheating, plagiarism, misrepresentation of facts and/or participation in an offence. Academic dishonesty is a serious offence and will result in failing of the course and suspension or expulsion from the University.
PHYSL 468/PHYSL 469 Registration

Student Name: ____________________________________________________________

ID#: ___________________ Email: ____________________________________________

Title of proposed research project: __________________________________________

Supervisor name: ______________________________ email: _______________________

2nd examiner/co-supervisor: ____________________ email: _______________________

Has the student discussed with the supervisor and have both agreed to the requirements of the course (see guidelines) and proposed project?

Is Human/Animal Ethics approval in place for the project? If YES, indicate REO#, AUP#

What time is allocated in the student’s schedule for undertaking the proposed project (Day of the week, hours per day)?

What arrangements are there for supervision of the student by the supervisor in person? If supervisor’s lab members are involved in the day to day supervision, please indicate name and position.

Supervisor’s signature ________________________________ Date

Co-Supervisor’s signature ________________________________ Date

Student’s signature_________________________________________________________________ Date

Course coordinator’s signature for approval_______________________________ Date