Motion 1 – archivist and research thing
No debate
Motion passes 9-1-0

Motion about the speaker
How to be selected? Elected with the class council but not a voting member. Open to all 4 years
Motion passes 11-0-1

AGM
Why saw SU flagging us?
Something about deficits
Other options on students giving feedback?
Could be an option but other students wouldn’t have a chance to officially vote
Council retreat still happening? In the past that’s where the budget was approved.
That’s still happening – when it’s approved by council, the AGM would approve that budget a week later. If the vote goes negatively, it would be repealed and we’d have to do that as the council again.
If our budget was turned down at the AGM, that necessitates another general meeting?
Yes. You don’t usually see stuff turned down at mtgs lke that before.
Why has this not been addressed before?
They didn’t used to be as diligent about reviewing.
Moving the date of the AGM to line up with the financial year? Now that they’re separate would it make things easier?
Maybe. The issue here is with the transition in April and May, it’s the new exec who propose the budget. Do they work together?
If it doesn’t happen this year it could happen next year after this is more official
Motion asses 11-0-1

Amendments to constitution and bylaw – voted on by majority of general members at an AGM rather than just council members. Because the students should be responsible for the constitution not the council, be aware of changes in practices.
Was this also flagged by discover governance?
No, but when we talked about financial practices and constitutional changes it was brought up i.e. this is the point of an AGM
What constitutes an AGM? Optional meeting to all? Quorum associated with this?
Yes, every year you have to have one big meeting for all stakeholders. Any additional ones are just called a general meeting. Anyone who comes and attends can vote. No quorum.
Ratified at a general meeting? Or is there any mechanism where council can approve bylaws subject to approval at an AGM?
People who attend at AGM might get frustrated by being bogged down on constitutional changes and things like that. So council should discuss/approve tentatively, then just run it by the voters
Is there discussion so we’ll know what to change if it gets turned down?
So the AGM would allow conversation about the piece itself but it’s just a Y/N vote. If it’s repealed it goes back to the council for changes to get approved and then ratified at a new GM. Constitution changes actually really frequently – if we limit ourselves to making amendments only at the AGM it will be difficult to make changes as we need. Talked to DG about this – those changes from the entire year are presented to the AGM together as a Y/N approval vote for these changes yearly. It’s not like you have a GM every time.

After council approves a change do we operate under those changes right away and before the next AGM?

   Yes (basically with some discussion)

Rephrase – council votes to make changes in policies on how we operate, AGM votes to make it an official change in constitution. Council votes for duration of year to suspend the change of the constitution pending a positive vote by the AGM. Obviously some things we pass in council are not that pertinent, can wait until an AGM but some things are really big changes – who has the ability to call a general meeting outside of the AGM? What is that process?

   Lots of the practice and procedural policies aren’t stated in constitution so don’t have to go through the AGM. So it’s only really big things.

Lots of things in constitution change quickly ex. job descriptions. It was a suggestion at one point to take the changeable things that we amend all the time and put them in a separate policy manual. Maybe that would be a good thing to do before putting in a motion like this. Constitution shouldn’t really be amended every 2mo but we do because lots of the things require that.

   Agree – table this until we can look at the constitution and decide on which things should be permanent and which things can be changed?

Motion to table this one 11-0-1

Who will be responsible for deciding what is permanent, what is policies and procedures?

   That will be brought before the council

Someone in the exec will look over this?

   VP Admin

   For such a huge undertaking it could be a year long project, we have a good relationship with DG who have experience with this stuff – they’ll help

So not likely to be voting on this before the next AGM

   No probably not

Report on Intramural Fee update

Similar idea proposed last year – Dean said there was a problem because there isn’t a definite number on how much you’re giving out, you have a cap to the loan but not an actual value ascribed to it.

   Creating a budgetary line you don’t want to spend any of but probably will.

   Idea is to talk to the sports reps of last year, see how much they lost out on and set aside that much to help the sports reps of next year. Set amount w/i budget for this purpose, knowing we might not spend all of it.

Is there a way for us to regulate records?
Yes there is a system in place – those sports reps should have collected all of their fees by the end of the semester and then return the entire loan, this would just alleviate the short term stress for them.

Proposal – because it’s a short term measure, we should only approve it for a term of 1 year and then reevaluate.

Yes – plan is that when we see the budget in the next council mtg, this will have a certain amount attached to it.

The idea that the sports reps repay the loan at the end of the semester – hinges on that students repay them. If the students don’t repay the sports reps who takes on that cost.

Purpose of the loan is to give them more time to chase down those students, that’s kind of part of the job. Idea is that they do recoup all their losses.

Has it been thought about having a sign up form – deadline for sign up being separate from the deadline for rec services to get the money, sign up and then when there’s enough money they sign up for the team.

Kind of already have that. Online survey, they break down the cost based on that sign up.

Deadline where students have to pay before.

Discussion about the idea of free sports was very very popular. The vote at council about this was very positive. Does this have to be all or nothing? Could we set aside a smaller budget for a certain number of sports teams?

But then who gets to go on the paid teams?

When we said some were free, some were out of pocket students

Extra year to collect data

Lots of other question about free things – would people still show up if they signed up?

Would free sports increase registration and then we can’t afford for everyone who wanted to but didn’t want to spend the money before? Do a pilot or trial with a couple teams

Definitely bring this back to Rohan to play with numbers

Possible to pay for it out of the reserves on a temporary basis for an experimental year?

Yes but we’ll ask Rohan, talk to him about putting it into the budget proposal for next year.

Daniel presenting about curriculum w/ MSA

Currently no curriculum representation on MSA, no VP ed or curriculum. We’re the only canadian MSA like this

We have a new student evaluation process to roll out this year – focus groups. Worked really well in neuro block last year, way better than MedSIS evals

Faculty liked it better too – Dr Hillier really liked it, proposed that we bring it to all blocks for preclinical years.

May need to make some changes to the MSA as a result

Proposal:

Want to bring the curriculum reps in as MSA members – cross appointed from Class Council

Below them would be “course representatives” who are appointed by curriculum reps from class council – people would apply and be appointed by year above them

(Sam left to get some form)

Also adding year 1 reps
MSA Council
Curriculum reps – oversee the entire structure. They represent a lot of students but still aren’t on MSA council.
Course reps – one for each course from each year, would lead the focus groups and that takes a lot of time off the curriculum reps do. Which is a lot.
Focus groups
This year we’d have temporary measures but ultimately we want the curriculum reps immediately senior to the course reps – after class council elections, the course reps are elected for the year. This needs to be in place right away
For second year course reps, they will be elected at the end of first year, and so on. The first years have to go right away though.
Currently nothing planned for yr 3 and 4 – an alternate focus group plan is in place we’re trying out. Meeting focus groups in the middle of clerkship would be really difficult
How would they be selected?
Voluntary – he could talk about in length why