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Abstract

This dissertation offers a performative, playful, postmodern exploration of the recovery paradigm and its shortcomings. Survivors, researchers, and therapists struggle to understand traumatic experiences and respond to them. Many try to make sense of trauma through a process of testimony and witnessing which can seem both necessary and impossible. Some turn to creative methods, but it is unclear if this resolves or compounds the practical and ethical problems we face when representing trauma. Although spousal abuse is a much-studied field, survivors’ long term processes and prospects remain poorly understood. This project uses arts-based inquiry, collaborative feminist group interviews, and autoethnographic methods to complicate and enrich our understanding of the post-abuse journey. It probes the impact of the dominant recovery framework and proposes a generative reframing of the post-abuse process using metaphors of haunting and death.
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Act I – In which our story begins.

Scene One: 2001

Sophie enters and speaks directly to you.

Sophie: I am 29 years old, washing dishes in a rented run-down three-bedroom row house in a small Canadian town. One daughter is at junior kindergarten; the other is drooling beside me in an exersaucer. The phone rings. I dry my hands.

Hello?

-Is Joel there? (It’s a woman, around my age).

He’s away on business until next week. Can I take a message?

-Who’s this? (That’s rude.)

This is his wife. (Pause) Can I help you?

-Are you serious? You can’t be.

I put one hand on the counter. I am using my best reasonable voice.

I have been for ten years. He lives here. We have two children.

-Oh my God.

Can I help you? (Now I am irritated).

-I’m his fiancé.

The room is very still. Even the baby is quiet.

I’m afraid you must be mistaken. Would you like to leave a message?

-Oh my God.
She hangs up. The winter sun is kissing the geraniums in the kitchen window. I call my husband. I can’t reach him. I can never reach him when he goes away, no matter how many times I ask him to make sure his cell phone works. I continue washing the dishes.

Later, he calls. He sighs. He meant to tell me. There’s a mentally unbalanced woman named Angel who works at one of the companies he’s been visiting. She’s delusional, stalking him, and he’s going to have to speak to her boss about it. He’s been putting it off because he feels bad for her and doesn’t want her to get the sack. I believe him.

Three days later, she calls again. I am boiling pasta; the kids are watching a *Maisy* movie.

Hello?

-I am so sorry. (She is in tears). I am sorry to call, I won’t do it again, I just wanted to say I am so sorry, I had no idea.

Can I help you? (I am impervious, the matron of a psych ward).

-You don’t understand.

I understand perfectly. I’m sorry, you seem very distressed.

-Oh, my God, you don’t believe me.

What I believe or don’t believe is not your concern. I understand you believe it.

-He bought me a ring, he sends me money. He said he was divorced. I’m so sorry. I don’t remember the rest of the conversation. I am cold, barely polite. I treat her as if she is crazy. She *is* crazy, almost hysterical. I eventually hang up. My hands are shaking. He never bought *me* a ring. It’s not true, I decide. So it isn’t.
Scene Two: 2004

Sophie: I am in a group working on a community festival for International Women’s Day. I have written and directed a play based on the group’s priorities and experiences. It is a stupendously successful show, offering a gritty, funny look at small-town married life. It is particularly hilarious because I have cast my own husband as the bad partner, the passive-aggressive, dishonest, philandering, narcissistic bastard who dies on stage. He plays the role brilliantly. I am so grateful for his support. We’re such a great couple.

We strike the set and go home, but something is wrong. An avalanche is coming from somewhere inside me. I can’t sleep in his bed. Then I can’t sleep on the couch. Then I can’t even look at him. Please, he cries. Don’t do this to the kids. Be careful, he yells. I’ll give you fifty bucks, keep the girls, and kick your ass to the curb. I leave him.

I go to the shelter’s outreach office, to ask if I’ve been abused. A stout, friendly woman, the kind who drinks diet soda all day and collects teddy bears, opens the bulletproof door. She gives me a highlighter and a legal size piece of paper, thickly printed on both sides with various abusive behaviors. It takes a long time to send each question out like a sonar ping into the abyss. She gives me her card, a handful of flyers, legal aid papers, housing referral forms, and a hard candy. Call anytime, she says. It makes no sense, even as I unfold the wads of memory, like nasty tissues left in coat pockets. The stories I cannot tell. I am afraid of making him angry, of not being believed, of my children reading awful things about their father.

I have written a play that has ruined or saved my life. When I have panic attacks, the man who will become my lover wraps me in a blanket, brings me clear sweet tea, and
plays with the girls. I am a disgusting imposter. I don’t understand. If only, I think, if only I could talk to that woman again. If only she could tell me what was really going on in my life. More than anything, I need to apologize for not believing her, for being so rude. I am so sorry.

But Angel never calls, and I cannot find her.

Scene Three: 2005

Sophie: I have a Master’s Degree in Canadian Studies, but have been a stay-at-home mom for eight years. In September the baby will start school. I have been earning a bit as a gardener and figure drawing model, but there is no work around here. I could probably get a job in the city, but the idea scares me and I don’t want my freshly-traumatized kids to suddenly be in day-care full time. I need a better way to support my family. I visit a former professor and she suggests I apply for the department’s new PhD program. The deadline is four days away. Why not? What am I interested in? Recovery from spousal abuse. I am accepted, and the scholarships pay way better than welfare.

I am good at school; my brain knows all the tricks. I have run out of money for therapy. Surely here, in the books, I will find the decoder ring, the knowledge that makes sense of this mess called my life.

Sophie exits.
Act II – In which we ask books.

Scene One: Trauma

A hot pool the size of two parking spots, at the local community center. Sophie is sitting on the edge in a black lap suit. The rest of the cast rolls and lolls in the chlorine froth like poached fish.

Sophie: Why didn’t I DO anything about it sooner? Why didn’t I LEAVE?

Kearney: You’re committed. You want to save him, it’s under control, it’s not that bad, or it’s at least partially your fault. Maybe its tradition or religion. You can’t see any options.

You rationalize it.¹

Krystal: Surrender to what seems to be inevitable, inescapable, immediate danger, initiates an affective process of paralysis of initiative, followed by varying degrees of immobilization leading to automatic obedience.²

Swift: (leaning back, eyes closed) That’s a prejudicial question, Sophie. Your choices are not the problem here.³

Sophie: But why am I still such a basket-case?

Herman: Ordinary, healthy people can become entrapped in prolonged abusive situations, but after your escape you are no longer ordinary or healthy.⁴

Caruth: It’s trauma, dear. A memory you cannot integrate into your experience, or a catastrophic knowledge you can’t communicate to others.5

van der Kolk and van der Hart: You experience current stress as a return of the trauma. Your ‘alarm bell’ is over-sensitive.6

Krystal: It causes cognitive constriction, episodic ‘freezing,’ inability to act assertively or aggressively, passivity or blundering, ‘surrender’ patterns when under stress, dread or avoidance of memories, numbing, hypervigilance, and the inability to feel joy or articulate emotions.7 (Smiles and shrugs, spreading his hands). You’re perfectly normal.

Tutty: Also anxiety, nightmares, sleep and eating disorders.8

Herman: (laying back to float) Disconnection, alienation, shame, doubt, guilt, feelings of inferiority, oscillating intolerance to and outbursts of anger, self-isolation and clinging to others, suicidality, and depression. Protracted depression. Your identity is “contaminated with shame, self-loathing, and failure”.9

Krystal: And despair, which, they’ve proven, can cause all sorts of physical ailments.

Only a vestige of the self-observing ego is preserved.10

---

7 Krystal, "Trauma and Aging," 81.
9 Herman, Trauma and Recovery, 94.
10 Krystal, "Trauma and Aging," 81.
Erikson: You’ve lost the illusions of safety that make life seem manageable. The laws of the natural world and human decency have been revealed as false. You lose faith in the good will of others, in reason and logic, and often, in God. The real problem is that, over time, inhumanity starts to seem normal.11

Kelly: Trauma also delays psychological maturation and memory integration.12 So you’re a little delayed. See, it produces a temporal paradox.

Sophie: *(mumbling)* This isn’t Star Trek.

Kelly: No, listen. You’ve suffered a fundamental dislocation of time and space. It’s fascinating.

Herman: Both the future and the past are eventually obliterated.13

Kelly: Durkheim called it anomie: “a state of hopelessness, disorientation, loss of belief and sense of purpose, and social isolation”.14

Herman: *(sits up and shakes water out of her ears)* Honey. You’ve been entrapped by appeals to your most cherished values and your empathy for your abuser. Your history of affection for him. *(Sighs.)* It alters your personality. You get a kind of “atrophy in the psychological capacities that have been suppressed”.15 Do you have many friends?

Sophie: Uh… no.

---

13 Herman, Trauma and Recovery, 87.
14 Kelly, "Trauma Narratives," 3.
15 Herman, Trauma and Recovery, 87.
Herman: *(shaking her head).* Tsk. The over-development of a solitary inner life. *(Sighs again.)* It stinks, but there it is. All the signs of post-traumatic stress disorder.

Ristock: I’ll grant that PTSD does name some of what women experience as symptoms, and that “can help lessen the self-blame. But it is a limited psychological framework that ignores women’s subjective experiences of violence” and the complexity of its effects.¹⁶

Young and Nadeau: Your response to oppression becomes a deficient personality trait or dysfunctional syndrome for the trauma industry to medicate and manage.¹⁷

Ranck: You can’t ignore the historical and political context. PTSD misses “an encounter with the moral and social imperatives that emerge from the wound. Traumatization becomes a pathological condition to be treated rather than an experiential knowledge demanding legitimation”.¹⁸ It’s individualized and depoliticized.

Ristock: It’s disempowering because your symptoms are seen as a betrayal of the body - external, outside of your control and involuntary.¹⁹

Herman: But “the essential insult of trauma” is helplessness.²⁰

Edkins: That’s right. Powerlessness and betrayal of trust.

Sophie: So how was I helpless?

Edkins: Someone you thought would protect you turned out to be dangerous.²¹

---

²⁰ Herman, *Trauma and Recovery*, 41.
²¹ Jenny Edkins, *Trauma and the Memory of Politics* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2003), 4.
Sophie: But I’m smart and strong and middle class and he was just some poor wanna-be rock musician…

Herman: Through “disassociation, voluntary thought suppression, minimization, and sometimes outright denial”, you learn to alter an unbearable reality.²² It’s Orwellian doublethink.

Sophie: But –

Miller and Stiver: The more hurt and violated you are made to feel, the more you leap to the belief that you are the bad one.²³

Landenburger: You internalize his view that you are useless without him and you blame yourself.²⁴


Herman: Honey, all traumatized people "search for faults in their own behavior in an effort to make sense of what has happened to them".²⁵

Sophie: But I really think –

Gillis and Diamond: You were made to feel responsible for your own abuse and even to assist in covering up the abusive behavior.²⁶

²² Herman, Trauma and Recovery, 87.
²⁵ Herman, Trauma and Recovery, 103.
Miller and Stiver: Women often come to believe deep down that they are themselves unworthy because of the abuse they have suffered.  

Sophie: Maybe, but -  

Kearney: You were just responding to “cultural and personal expectations for romance, service, and commitment.” You experienced “changed perceptions of self and reality” in your violent relationship. 

Sophie: But he never hit me.  

Herman: Oh, so it’s all right, then?  

Sophie: No, but –  

Gillis and Diamond: He didn’t have to hit you. Any behavior or pattern of behavior used to coerce, dominate, or isolate your partner is abuse.  

Ristock: I hear what you’re saying. The “image of the victim as pure, innocent and helpless” looms so large in the dominant culture. There’s no room for your agency, strength, resiliency, or even anger. At the same time, like anyone, you respond to the label “victim” with aversion.  

Sophie: Yeah.  

Ristock: We blame victims because we don’t want to believe it could happen to us. What is so complicated about it are “these contradictions of agency and passivity, strength and vulnerability”. Most women sit somewhere in the middle. But usually the “‘victim’ is

27 Miller and Stiver, *The Healing Connection*, 78.  
29 Gillis and Diamond, "Same-Sex Partner Abuse," 128.  
constructed as always already in a state of oppression no matter what she does... victim and perpetrator become constructed as polar opposites: perpetrators choose to act violently and are never understood in a context; victims on the other hand are in a state of oppression and therefore cannot be held accountable for making choices".32

Sophie: But I know I made choices. Denying my agency just seems like a cheap way to absolve myself of all accountability. It’s what he would do.

Swift: Of course you made choices, but they were determined by the sanctions and options you encountered – both internal and external.33

Sophie: (a bit sullen) But I had power.

Ristock: We assume that we will find power and control as “the core feature of an abusive relationship, and assume that we will find in that relationship a pattern of fear and intimidation that restricts the abused woman's movements and thoughts and traumatizes her.” We over-simplify. "It is not that power and control, fear, and trauma are all wrong; it is just that… they assume too much about what is going on without telling us anything." Then we “end up seeing women who do not experience fear as having false consciousness or as perhaps not being true victims.”34

Herman: But were you afraid?

Sophie: I don’t know. Sometimes. (sliding down into the pool). This one time we got home from somewhere. He parked and I wanted to go right in so the babysitter could go home. It was late, and it’s five bucks an hour, you know? But he kept going on about how

32 Ristock, No More Secrets, 119.
33 Swift, "Women and Violence."
34 Ristock, No More Secrets, 114, 137, 115.
he loved me so much but I was so judgmental and incapable of love and it hurt him so bad and – you know. There was nothing I could say, or it would just get worse. So I waited. Sat there, shivering, for, oh, an hour and a half, maybe? I could have said, look, this is crazy, and gone in. The door wasn’t even locked. Was that fear? Was I just trying to be nice?

Ristock: Victims often express compassion for their abusers.35

Sophie: I must have had a reason.

van der Kolk and van der Hart: Feelings of physical or emotional paralysis are integral to traumatic experiences.36

Sophie: I just wanted it to stop. I think. (shrugs) I don’t remember.

van der Kolk and van der Hart: "Severe or prolonged stress can suppress hippocampal functioning, creating context-free fearful associations, which are hard to locate in space and time. This results in amnesia for the specifics of traumatic experience but not the feelings associated with them".37

Brison: You lose your memories and your ability to envision a future. Your basic cognitive and emotional capacities are gone, or radically altered. It’s a total epistemological crisis.38

Sophie: So I forget things.

Brison: Right.

35 Ristock, No More Secrets.
36 van der Kolk and van der Hart, "The Intrusive Past."
Sophie: Like I forgot I went to court. He hadn’t paid the insurance or renewed the plates, but he lied and said he did. I didn’t want to know so I deliberately didn’t look. I’d never been to court. I went and got an outfit from the second hand store – a moss green knit suit. Afterwards I threw it out and literally, totally forgot about it for three years. Even once I remembered, I forgot again. If a friend told me her memory did that, I’d say, whoa. Red flag. So maybe…. But I could just be forgetful, you know?

Laub: That’s what happens. There was no unencumbered, unviolated, sane point of reference for insider witnesses. You could not bear witness to yourself.

Sophie: I guess.

Laub: This loss of the capacity to witness from the inside is “perhaps the true meaning of annihilation, because when your history is abolished, identity ceases to exist as well”.39

Sophie: Great. I no longer exist (blows out air to lie on the bottom of the pool).

Laub: It’s complicated.

O’Neil: Don’t blame yourself. Memories of trauma both beg to be forgotten and cry out to be remembered.40

Caruth: You’re carrying an impossible history.41

Herman: Where did she go?

Miller and Stiver: Sophie?

---

Sophie: (listening, from the bottom of the pool, while her name is called. Holding her breath, gently, her heart beating in her ears. Surfacing, getting her goggles off the edge)

But where do memories go?

Levy: Your trust of memory and language has been so impaired by trauma that the very act you are trying to bring to justice renders you unable to do so.\(^42\) It’s a different empirical world.

van der Kolk and van der Hart: Trauma memories are generally unconscious, precise and somatic. They appear as behavioral reenactments, nightmares and flashbacks and are not easily expressed in language.\(^43\)


Naples: That’s exactly what Mary Gilfus was worrying about. These guys treating trauma like an individual psychological response that is ultimately constructed and diagnosed as psychopathology.\(^44\) You’re not crazy, you’re hurt.

Sophie: But couldn’t you say the same thing about him? I’m sure he’d come up with some sob story to justify every shitty thing he ever did, or ‘forgot’….

Mann: Bear in mind that “virtually all who provided testimony to the lived reality of abuse described an all-encompassing emotional sickness that threatens to entrap, to engulf, all participants”.\(^45\)

\(^{42}\) Sophie Levy, “‘This Dark Echo Calls Him Home’: Writing Father-Daughter Incest Narratives in Canadian Immigrant Fiction,” *University of Toronto Quarterly* 71, no. 4 (2002), 874.

\(^{43}\) van der Kolk and van der Hart, "The Intrusive Past."


Sophie: You said ALL participants?

Mann: Er… right.

Sophie: So then, what? We’re both sick?

Mann: But he has the control drive.

Sophie: He’d beg to differ.

Maggie: But, Sophie, lying is an act of violence. Did you lie?

Sophie: (shrugs) I don’t know. Sometimes.

Maggie: On purpose to hurt or control?

Sophie: I don’t think so, but -

Maggie: And did he?

Sophie: (sitting down, quietly) I don’t know. Maybe. (louder) But why would he?

Mann: That depends on how you look at it. In the family violence model, the problem is damaged selves, male and female, trapped in mutual, often intergenerationally patterned, cycles of abuse and dependency, based on risky situations, histories, attitudes, and behaviors. In the violence against women model, it’s about using violence, coercion and intimidation to maintain male dominance.46 They’re competing myths. Take your pick. They both go back to fear of powerlessness.

Gillis and Diamond: The abuse is fitted to your specific vulnerabilities.47

---

47 Gillis and Diamond, "Same-Sex Partner Abuse," 113.
Martz and Saraurer: You don’t need to be embarrassed, Sophie. Trying to please your partner is a common coping strategy.48

Sophie: You end up doing…

Maggie: It’s okay. We know.

*Ruth and Dora appear at the pool side, dripping.*

Dora: Mom! MOM! You’ve GOT to go down the slide! It’s AWESOME!

*They splash into the hot pool.*

Sophie: Hey, girls.

Dora: Come ON!

Sophie: *(a little snippy)* I HEARD you.

*Dora is a little crestfallen. Ruth slips into Sophie’s lap.*

Ruth: Whatcha doing?

Sophie: Just thinking. Okay. *(takes a breath)* Okay. *(dumps Ruth off her lap)* Let’s go.

Dora: YEAH!

---

Scene Two: Haunting

An unfinished, long narrow space. At one end, a desk; at the other, an enormous heap of laundry. Sophie is sitting at the desk, writing. She stands, and pauses to write on a post-it: Is spousal abuse colonial? She crosses to the laundry. Turns on the radio and starts folding and sorting.

Radio Host: Good evening. Tonight on Ideas: This Haunted Land. Sophie Tamas on memory, trauma, and the Canadian identity. Many Canadian cultural producers seem almost obsessed with trauma, as revealed through the trope of haunting. This trope offers empowering stories of “loss, rupture, recovery, healing and wisdom” and is “at its core, political. It provokes (and insists upon) questions about ownership, entitlement, dispossession, and voice” while challenging false dualities of self and other, inside versus outside. Haunting confronts us with our “buried or forgotten history and the necessity of being led somewhere, elsewhere”.

The foundational trauma of our settler-invader society has produced a rich body of haunted Canadian literature. Tonight, Carleton University’s Sophie Tamas takes us through Canadian gothic and magic realist work, which represent the unspeakable by accessing the spiritual, mystical, or supernatural. These works reckon with ghosts and call us to “change our way of seeing or apprehending experience”.

---

they may also exploit and romanticize trauma, as an envied source of cultural depth, while containing past horrors and positioning the present as a happy ending.54 Our ghost stories may be a melancholy, narcissistic attempt to forget the impossible.55

Sophie: (on the radio) We begin with Derrida. "There has never been," he says, “a scholar who really, and as a scholar, deals with ghosts. A traditional scholar does not believe in ghosts - nor in all that could be called the virtual space of spectrality. There has never been a scholar who, as such, does not believe in the sharp distinction between the real and the unreal, the actual and the inactual, the living and the non-living, being and non-being....in the opposition between what is present and what is not, for example, in the form of objectivity. Beyond this opposition, there is, for the scholar, only the hypothesis of a school of thought, theatrical fiction, literature, and speculation".56

_Sophie turns off the radio._

Sophie: What a load of crap.

_Crosses back to the desk. A moth flies over her shoulder and lands. She picks up an empty glass and lowers it, upside down, over the moth. Sits, watching. Adds to the post-it note:_

Am I haunted? Carefully slides the glass onto a piece of paper, and exits, carrying the moth.

54 Castricano, “Learning to Talk with Ghosts.”
Scene Three: Recovery

Maggie’s office. Sophie sits on a blue couch. Maggie sits opposite, in an office chair. The carpet is pale pink; the artwork is local; there is an enameled faux wood stove in the corner.

Maggie: How are you doing?

Sophie: Oh, I don’t know. Not too bad. (shrugs, kneads a Kleenex). Do you think I’m haunted?

Maggie: (smiling) That’s a great question. What do you think?

Sophie: I don’t know. I’ve been thinking about Angel. (changes direction) I was reading this thing about Anne Michaels’s Fugitive Pieces. She said the ghosts which haunt the traumatized whisper, not for us to join them, but so that we get close enough for them to push us back out into the world.57 (Maggie waits). Do you think I’m recovering?

Maggie laughs.

Maggie becomes Brison.

Brison: You’ve landed beyond the moral universe, beyond the realm of predictable events and comprehensible actions, and you don’t know how to get back”.58

Sophie: Exactly.

Brison: Trauma is like a nonsensical entry in the sequence of our lives. Whatever trajectory your life is on doesn’t seem to be one of recovery. There’s no discernable

58 Brison, Aftermath, x.
pattern. I remember one therapist telling me it’s precisely because you’re doing so well that you’re feeling so much worse.\textsuperscript{59}

Sophie: That’s no help.

Brison: You’ve got to wager or will yourself to believe that the future may hold pleasure as well as agony. There never was a coherent self, things never did make sense. What you need to do is “reestablish the illusory sense of the permanence of hope”.\textsuperscript{60}

Sophie: So, be delusional.

Brison: “Whoever was tortured, stays tortured”.\textsuperscript{61} You can, to some extent, piece your shattered assumptions of safety back together. (winks) They’re illusions, but necessary illusions.

\textit{Brison becomes Landenburger, with her arm around Didion.}

Didion: (wanly, to herself) “Grief is a place none of us knows until we reach it…” nor can we know or imagine “the unending absence that follows, the void, the very opposite of meaning (to Sophie, eyes flashing, getting louder) The relentless succession of moments during which we will confront the experience of meaninglessness itself”.\textsuperscript{62}

Landenburger: (to Didion, a bit sternly) Joan.

Didion: (putting a hand to her head) Sorry. I cannot present a coherent face to the world.\textsuperscript{63} (Sits, facing the wall, sniffling, rocking slightly).

\textsuperscript{59} Brison, \textit{Aftermath}, 111.
\textsuperscript{60} Brison, \textit{Aftermath}, 116.
\textsuperscript{62} Joan Didion, \textit{The Year of Magical Thinking} (New York: Knopf, 2005), 189.
\textsuperscript{63} Didion, \textit{The Year of Magical Thinking}, 168.
Landenburger: (to Sophie) Recovery is “an overwhelming prospect with unclear chances of success”. It can be a lifelong process.

Sophie: Great.

Landenburger: You’ve got to grieve the loss of the relationship, and your dreams for it. But if you grieve openly, people assume you miss the abuse. You might outwardly seem recovered but “the abuse is often an ever-present phenomenon” that affects your reactions to life and other people. You might return –

Sophie: Fat chance.

Landenburger: Most women do. Or you might remain connected to him for years.

Sophie: Of course. It never ends, ‘cause there’s the kids.

Landenburger: Until you free yourself from guilt and from your partner, you cannot recover. You must learn how to trust yourself and others. Concrete outcomes, constant reinforcements. Put it in the past. You are what’s important, not your partner! Recognize and accept the abuse and give up self blame. You need balance. Spontaneity. (pounding the arm of the chair) Rely on and believe in yourself! You can do anything you want to!

Sophie: Right.

Landenburger: If you can’t make sense of it, you’ll do it again.

Sophie: Do what?

Landenburger: Get involved with an abusive partner. Ask for it.

Sophie: But I thought it wasn’t my fault?

---

64 Landenburger, "The Dynamics of Leaving and Recovering," 702.
65 Landenburger, "The Dynamics of Leaving and Recovering," 704.
66 Landenburger, "The Dynamics of Leaving and Recovering," 704-05.
67 Landenburger, "The Dynamics of Leaving and Recovering," 704.
Landenburger becomes Price.

Price: It’s not your fault. But like Hannah Arendt said: “the outrageous requires not only lamentation and denunciation, but also comprehension.” 68

Sophie: How’m I supposed to comprehend something meaningless?

Price: It takes intellectual courage, a determined willingness to bear the burden of events, to face the facts unflinchingly.

Sophie: (incredulous) So it’s about courage and will. My lack of courage and will.

Price: Not denying the outrageous, deducing the unprecedented from precedents, or explaining away the shock of experience.

Sophie: Right.

Price: Not submitting meekly as though everything could not have happened otherwise. Not identification, not moral relativism. But the “unpremeditated, attentive facing up to, and resisting of, reality”. 69

Sophie: (sighs) I’ll get right on that.

Price: Understanding can be “intellectually, emotionally, and spiritually taxing. It is nevertheless both possible and necessary”. 70

Sophie: Okay. (discouraged). I just don’t see it.

69 Arendt, Origins of Totalitarianism, viii.
70 Price, Feminist Frameworks, 79.
Price: Women do survive. They emerge from experiences of violence and degradation strong and knowledgeable and whole.\(^71\) Of course… (leaning in, confiding) “at base, the problem is men. Accordingly, the solution must rest with men”.\(^72\) (sitting back)

Sophie: So women don’t abuse?

Price: Well -

Sophie: If it’s men that’s got to change, how does that help me?

*Price becomes Orlie.*

Orlie: You can’t change the past, but you can change its meaning for you.

Sophie: I’m supposed to understand it and change it?

Orlie: Not by recognizing its intrinsic worth, not by simply desiring or deciding not to be burdened by it, not by abstracting our own and others’ interests in past actions, not by forgetting.\(^73\)

Sophie: Then how?

Orlie: How what?

Sophie: How do I -

Orlie: You can act unpredictably, “upset expectations based on what you appear to be in order to reveal who you are becoming”.\(^74\)

Sophie: How is that going to help?

*Orlie becomes Smith.*


\(^72\) Price, *Feminist Frameworks*, 95.


\(^74\) Orlie, *Living Ethically, Acting Politically*, 197.
Smith: To be honest, we don’t really know what helps.\textsuperscript{75}

Sophie: You don’t \textit{know}?

Smith: No. Just ask Landenburger. There hasn’t been much focus on “the needs of women after they have left an abusive relationship and how they recover”.\textsuperscript{76}

Sophie: So you’re making this shit \textit{up}?

Smith: \textit{(snorts)} Of course not. Here’s what you should do \textit{(ticking it off on her fingers)}. First, release your anger, bitterness, grief and guilt. Second, grow your independence, self-reliance, voice, and forgiveness. Otherwise you’ll be stuck in the past, unable to rediscover yourself, find your purpose in life, feel self-compassion, or \textit{(with a contented sigh)} the joys of self-reliance”.\textsuperscript{77}

\textit{Sophie puts her head in her hands.}

Smith: You can choose to embark on your journey,\textsuperscript{78} \textit{(shrugs)} Or you can fail.

\textit{Smith becomes Herman.}

Herman: Honey. \textit{(pats Sophie’s shoulder)}. Is it okay if I touch you? Don’t worry about them. To the extent that you recover, you’ll do it on your own.\textsuperscript{79}

Sophie: What’s wrong with me?

Herman: That question gets “hopelessly muddled and ridden with moral judgment”.\textsuperscript{80}

Right?

\textsuperscript{75} Marilyn Smith, “Recovery From Intimate Partner Violence: A Difficult Journey,” \textit{Issues in Mental Health Nursing} 24 (2003), 547.
\textsuperscript{76} Landenburger, "The Dynamics of Leaving and Recovering," 700.
\textsuperscript{77} Smith, "Recovery From Intimate Partner Violence," 568.
\textsuperscript{78} Smith, "Recovery From Intimate Partner Violence," 568.
\textsuperscript{79} Herman, \textit{Trauma and Recovery}, 122.
\textsuperscript{80} Herman, \textit{Trauma and Recovery}, 158.
Sophie: *(nods, looking up).* They’ve all got these taxonomies and steps and stages and do this, do that.

Herman: There are stages, but they’re not tidy and sequential. You need safety, you need to remember and mourn, and you need to reconnect with ordinary life. But “recovery is never complete”.81

Sophie: Do you know how much I have spent on therapy? I have gone to the freaking food bank so I could pay for it. Do you know how *humiliating* that is?

Herman: That’s a hard place.

Sophie: Hard? *(laughs)* None of it makes sense.

Herman: Survivors come to a point where all questions are reduced to one: why? Or the equally incomprehensible, why me? You have to examine the moral questions of responsibility and reconstruct a system of belief that makes sense of your undeserved suffering.82

Sophie: Systems of belief aren’t things you just choose because they’re handy or convenient. I have *lost* my faith. Gone. You can’t just go the mall, you know, and pick up a flattering new one that fits. It’s not *like* that.

Herman: You find meaning by looking beyond yourself.83

Sophie: Being less self centered. *(flops back on couch)* Perfect.

Herman: Activism helps heal.

Sophie: A survivor mission.

____________________

81 Herman, *Trauma and Recovery*, 211.
82 Herman, *Trauma and Recovery*, 178.
83 Herman, *Trauma and Recovery*, 207-08.
Herman: Right.

Sophie: Or is that just me working out my issues on other people?

_Herman becomes Brown._

Brown: It could be. But you’ve got to deprivatize the issue. You’ve been turned into an agent of patriarchal oppression, telling yourself a powerful story of your own badness. You’re a failure, right? If only you’d tried harder, your distress would be under control.84 But the problem doesn’t lie solely or even primarily in you. It’s evidence of what’s wrong with society and culture. It’s a sign of survival in the face of oppression. Maybe even healthy protest against patriarchal norms.

Sophie: But I don’t want to be a symptom.

Brown: You’ve got to connect with other women. You’re not uniquely flawed.85

Sophie: I don’t like people.

_Brown becomes Henderson._

Henderson: You’re scared, that’s all. Groups offer mutual support. They’re excellent for survivors. They counter the notion that you deserved your abuse, or are alone in it, and offer a forum for problem-solving.86

_Henderson becomes Kesby_

85 Brown, _Subversive Dialogues_, 50.
Kesby: It’s a relational opportunity to disentangle the complex web of everyday life, deconstruct norms and conventions, reflect on everyday life, and rehearse performances of alternative realities.87

*Kesby becomes Tutty.*

Tutty: “Of five published studies, four reported statistically significant ... improvements in areas such as self-esteem, anger levels, attitudes toward marriage and the family, and depression. The results of two qualitative studies ... further support the utility of women's groups”.88

*Tutty becomes Conquergood.*

Conquergood: It offers an arena where you can invent and circulate counterdiscourses, which permit you to formulate oppositional interpretations of your identities, interests, and needs!89

Sophie: I HATE groups! Okay? I have tried. I did the coloring, I did the affirmations and the worksheets. I ate the snacks. I’ve sat through groups where one of them was currently shacked up with another one’s abuser. I have listened. I have *shared*. But I can’t just say what I think, oh no, because then they look at me like I have three heads, because they don’t understand the words I am using, or I am dumping my cynicism all over the nicey-nicey bullshit that gives them hope. I have no idea what it’s like to be a twenty year old

---


with four kids by four different men, all of whom are wards of the state, and be sitting in a survivor’s group pregnant with number five. Or to hear the voices of your dead parents or let your cop husband beat the shit out of you, even though you’re a black belt and could kick his ass, because you’ll lose your job if you’re charged with assault. I don’t know how these people get through the day. And I am happy to listen to them. But if I open my mouth, if I don’t be small and quiet, they all shut down. The whole tragically futile, pathetically hopeless charade of getting better together fails. Somehow, that’s got to be my problem. Clearly, I am doing something wrong. Maybe I’m projecting, maybe I’m afraid, I don’t know. It’s supposed to help. Maybe it’s helping. Maybe it’s all in my head. Honestly, the most useful thing I ever got from a women’s group, or therapy group, or mindfulness group, or whatever, was a pair of slippers.

_Conquergood becomes Maggie_

Maggie: There’s a lot of feelings there.

Sophie: It probably just shows what a narcissistic, shitty human being I am. My bad.

Maggie: Well (looks at watch, turning to Didion). Joan? Joan. It’s time to go. (to Sophie, smiling, folding her hands) We’ll pick up here next week. Okay?
*Scene Four: Sex*

*A king size mattress on a low platform. Sophie sits down on the bed, naked. Shawn rolls over.*

Shawn: How was Maggie?

Sophie: *(pulls a nightshirt from under her pillow, turns it rights side out)* Eh. You know.

Shawn: *(lifts the covers, pulls her down to spoon)* That good?

Sophie: I’m reading this book by Bud Goodall.\(^{90}\)

Shawn: *(stroking her belly)* Mmm?

Sophie: Somehow I’m supposed to give the reader chills AND goosebumps AND make them cry AND laugh AND make the world a better place AND not be self indulgent.

Shawn: *(stroking her hip)*: Mmmm.

Sophie: So far I’m thirty pages in, and it’s all depressing. Me-me-me. *(sighs)* I’m going to lose the reader.

Shawn: Throw in a sex scene.

Sophie: *(laughs)* I can’t do that.

Shawn: Always gets my attention.

Sophie: You’re not on my committee.

Shawn: Just shake your bon bons *(jiggling her breasts)*.

Sophie: *(laughs)* Yeah. *That* ‘ll work.

Shawn: *(stroking her head)* You’ll be fine. Who’s my lion.

---

\(^{90}\) H.L. Goodall, *Writing Qualitative Inquiry: Self, Stories and Academic Life* (Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press, 2008).
Sophie: It’s like David Mamet said. In the second act it all comes undone and you lose your way and fall into despair and then somehow the real goal of the quest is revealed.91

Shawn: *(Massaging her back)* Mmm.

Sophie: Except this isn’t really a play. It’s probably everything Mamet hates. It should be in the first act you set out with some high-minded goal. In the second act you realize you were arrogant and wrong but you somehow create the will to continue. Strength and will. To face your own character, turn despair into some higher resolve, whatever. D’you know Tolstoy said, if you don’t undergo this revision sometime in your thirties, the rest of your life will be intellectually sterile?92

Shawn: *(stroking her arm)* Mmm. Despair. You should nail that.

Sophie: *(smacks him)* Bugger. *(sighs)* It’s too manipulative and rational.


Sophie: I know, I know. So what have you been thinking about? Sir Thomas Moore?

Gilgamesh?

Shawn: No.

Sophie: The big bang?

Shawn: Warmer.

Sophie: *(nuzzling in)* Lemon meringue.

Shawn: Go hang.

Sophie: Wu-tang *(turns to kiss him)*. Good night.

---


Shawn: That’s it?

Sophie giggles; sits up to put on nightshirt.

Shawn: You will definitely lose your reader.

Scene Five: Play

Dora (age 9) is sitting at Sophie’s desk, reading the previous scene, on her laptop. Sophie enters from the bathroom, with a towel, naked.

Sophie: (startled) Whatcha doing?

Dora: This is funny.

Sophie: You like it?

Dora: Yeah. I didn’t realize you were writing a play. I thought you were just writing. Am I in it?

Sophie: Yeah.

Dora: It’s funny. (smiling; she follows Sophie into the bathroom) What am I doing?

Sophie: Drooling. And splashing. It’s not a big part.

(Dora laughs).

Dora: Drooling?

Sophie: You’re a baby.

Dora: Oh. Can I be in it?

Sophie: (turning on the shower) It’s not going to be performed.

Dora: Oh.

Sophie: But someday I’ll write a play you can be in.
Dora: Okay. *(smiles, starts loading her toothbrush)* What’s it for?

Sophie: My dissertation.

Dora: *That’s* your dissertation?

Sophie: Yeah.

Dora: *A play?*

Sophie: Well, sort of. *(getting into the shower)* It’s not supposed to be.

Dora: What’s it supposed to be?

Sophie: About how or if women recover from spousal abuse.

Dora: Oh. *(starts brushing teeth)* I think they’ll like it.

---

**Scene Six: Testimony**

*A lecture hall on campus.*

Sophie: Today we will be talking about testimony, the act of representing your experience. According to Eli Wiesel, testimony is the characteristic genre of our era.93 Felman explains that testimony "seems to be composed of bits and pieces of a memory that has been overwhelmed by occurrences that have not settled into understanding or remembrance, acts that cannot be construed as knowledge nor assimilated into full cognition, events in excess of our frames of reference".94 It is implicated in almost every form of writing and “has become a crucial mode of our relation to the events of our times

---


Testimony appears in a range of discourses, but today we’ll look at three of its uses: as recovery, as social activism, and as remembrance.

Many therapists and some researchers see testimony as integral to personal healing from trauma. Traumatic experiences that you are unable or unwilling to narrate become distorted, pervasively invade and contaminate your daily life and may even be “incorporatively passed down to subsequent generations”. As Sophie Levy argues, “the release of the voice ensures survival… escape is only possible… by repetition of events in language”. Not telling a story perpetuates its tyranny while telling in vivid emotional and bodily detail heals survivors, releases creative energy, converts traumatic memory into narrative memory, and reconnects us to others and to time.

Some argue that testimony heals by enabling us to establish relational connections. Our losses are irredeemable, but we need not carry them in isolation. Others suggest that testimony works by reconstituting an inner witness. This enables us to reintegrate and accept the othered aspects of ourselves, and, by extension, the otherness of others in the world.

---

95 Testimony appears in a range of discourses, but today we’ll look at three of its uses: as recovery, as social activism, and as remembrance.

Many therapists and some researchers see testimony as integral to personal healing from trauma. Traumatic experiences that you are unable or unwilling to narrate become distorted, pervasively invade and contaminate your daily life and may even be “incorporatively passed down to subsequent generations”. As Sophie Levy argues, “the release of the voice ensures survival… escape is only possible… by repetition of events in language”. Not telling a story perpetuates its tyranny while telling in vivid emotional and bodily detail heals survivors, releases creative energy, converts traumatic memory into narrative memory, and reconnects us to others and to time.

Some argue that testimony heals by enabling us to establish relational connections. Our losses are irredeemable, but we need not carry them in isolation. Others suggest that testimony works by reconstituting an inner witness. This enables us to reintegrate and accept the othered aspects of ourselves, and, by extension, the otherness of others in the world.

---

97 Levy, "This Dark Echo Calls Him Home," 876.
98 Laub, "Truth and Testimony," 64.
99 Herman, Trauma and Recovery; Susan Brison, Aftermath.
101 Krystal, "Trauma and Aging,"; Orlie, Living Ethically, Acting Politically.
In theory, we can do this work on the page as well as face to face. Testimonial or
autoethnographic writing can get us to places where we can feel hope, stimulate recovery,
lead us into tales we can’t quite remember, or help us deal with what has happened.\textsuperscript{102}
The past becomes a scrapbook that can safely be opened. Healing is sometimes even
attributed to testimonial writing about other people.\textsuperscript{103} My own doctoral work is a sort of
test of these claims.

Many feminist researchers, therapists and activists agree with most of the
preceding, but argue that the point is social change as well as personal healing. Trauma
narratives enable us to empathize with the life stories of others, which can lead us to
examine and act on social problems.\textsuperscript{104} They reveal “the contingency of the social order
and in some cases how it conceals its own impossibility. They question our settled
assumptions about who we might be as humans and what we might be capable of”.\textsuperscript{105}
They offer what Jan Patocka called “the solidarity of the shaken”.\textsuperscript{106} If "my pain or my
silence or my anger or my perception is finally not mine alone… it delimits me in a
shared cultural situation which in turn enables and empowers me in certain unanticipated

\textsuperscript{102} Carolyn Ellis, “Being Real: Moving Inward Toward Social Change,” \textit{Qualitative Studies in
Creek, CA: AltaMira, 2002); Levy, "This Dark Echo Calls Him Home"; Robyn Read,
\textsuperscript{103} Peter Reason and Hilary Bradbury, “Introduction: Inquiry and Participation in Search of a
World Worthy of Human Aspiration,” in \textit{Handbook of Action Research}, ed. Peter Reason and
\textsuperscript{104} Laurel Richardson, \textit{Fields of Play} (New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 1997);
Frank, “Between the Story and the Ride”; Ellis, "Being Real."
\textsuperscript{105} Edkins, \textit{Trauma and the Memory of Politics}, 5.
\textsuperscript{106} Jan Patocka, \textit{Heretical Essays on the Philosophy of History}, trans. Erazim Kohak (Chicago:
Open Court, 1996), 134-135.
ways”.107 This opens up trauma as an opportunity for structural analysis and collective activism. As bell hooks describes,

moving from silence to speech is for the oppressed, the colonized, the exploited, and those who stand and struggle side by side a gesture of defiance that heals, that makes new life and new growth possible. It is the act of speech, of 'talking back,' that is no mere gesture of empty words, that is the expression of our movement from object to subject - the liberated voice.108

(taking a sip of water)

The third use of testimony is to support public remembrance. In this mode, testimony is entirely about its collective impact. It appears in two common forms. As a strategic, memorial pedagogy, it is used as a moral lesson, in the consolatory (if futile) hope of preventing future injustices. As a difficult return, it confronts us with the necessity and difficulty of living with irredeemable loss.109 These public testimonies offer a counter-memory which confronts current norms and recognizes the oppressed and marginalized.110 For example, Judith Thompson writes plays about trauma because

“ultimately, as a culture, we can stop these things if we experience them, if we go through what other people have to go through”.111

So those are three ways that we use trauma testimony. There are a number of questions or problems about testimony which I’d like to explore. The first is, how do we testify.

Language is part of the social order, so when the social order falls, so does language. “What we can say no longer makes sense; what we want to say, we can’t. There are no words for it”.112 Susan Brison attributes this to “emotional illiteracy that prevents most people from conveying any feeling that can’t be expressed in a Hallmark card”.113 We find trauma unspeakable; according to Homi Bhabha, this is because it is located outside of memory and text in an enunciatory void.114 Brison contends that we have the words; we just find them unpleasant. Nonetheless, there is “an imperative to speak, and a determination to find ways of speaking that remain true to the trauma”.115

This problem often leads away from the tidy specificity of positivist science and into the messier terrain of literary and artistic forms. Both the arts and social sciences

112 Edkins, Trauma and the Memory of Politics, 8.
113 Brison, Aftermath, 12.
115 Edkins, Trauma and the Memory of Politics, 15.
seek shared cultural understanding through representation and interpretation. But the arts may be much better suited to representing the emotional, embodied incoherence of catastrophe and pain. Theodor Adorno argues that “it is now virtually in art alone that suffering can still find its own voice, consolation, without immediately being betrayed by it…. It is to works of art that has fallen the burden of wordlessly asserting what is barred to politics”. So we see scholarship about trauma written as poetic, generative, or performative texts.

(Sophie loses her thread a little; clears her throat, shuffles her papers.)

Any question so far? No? Okay.

The second problem with testimony has to do with the issue of making sense. We tend to believe there is an anterior, definitive, singular, constant, passive reality ‘out there,’ which is independent of our actions and perceptions, and that things have causes. We assume our life stories are linear, directional, cumulative and coherent; that the past explains the present (not vice versa), that contradictions are resolvable, and that

---


117 Kelly, "Trauma Narratives"; Naples, Feminism and Method; Levy, "This Dark Echo Calls Him Home'."
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there is a knowable, persistent self, a unified agent, sitting at the center of our stories. Faced with inexplicable loss, we look for causes and reasons, justifications and explanations. Making trauma make sense is seen as a sign of recovery.

The problem is that this modernist, teleological drive is part of the “way of seeing, the way of being, the way of organizing human society” which is in large part responsible for the brutal situations many survivors must heal from. As Melissa Orlie argues, the irrationality of the rational is one of the twentieth century’s “gravest and most unnerving practical lessons”. Making sense takes a lot of editing and ignoring. We try to erase the experiences that don’t fit. Out there, it’s oppression, colonialism, or objectification. In here (patting her chest) it’s repression. Personally and socially, we are haunted by the return of the unassimilable (stumbling on the word, smiling) Bleah. That’s a hard one.

Unassimilable otherness we repress in order to “make sense”. We may read morals into our stories, simplifying the characters into evil villains and innocent victims, and re-casting ourselves as survivors in a culturally familiar tale of ennobling suffering which rewards us with grit and wisdom. The promise of post-traumatic growth offers us “something for which to be grateful, something to redeem the

---
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unmitigated awfulness”. Trauma is reframed as a sort of hard lesson, the romantic spice of a dull society. This makes it easier for us to live with the idea of suffering, our own and others, and easier to do nothing to prevent or minimize it.

If we presume that healing and recovery are possible and that post-traumatic growth balances the losses sustained, we can see those who remain traumatized as somehow wallowing, refusing to feel better, stubbornly and perversely clinging to their maladaptive coping mechanisms. We become irritated by their failure to recover because it threatens our need to believe in justice and the possibility of healing. The victims become the bearers of pathology – post-traumatic stress disorder, mental illnesses, eating disorders, sleep disorders, phobias, and addictions – and thus the focus of social intervention. This enables us to see suffering as an exceptional and perhaps deviant condition that we should and can get over within a “reasonable” amount of time. The world remains sensible. Our trauma stories are reframed as morbidly fascinating confessions subject to expert interpretation, and stripped of diversity, complexity, and subversive potential.

Some scholars argue that postmodern and poststructural approaches open up a space in between the necessity and impossibility of ethically making sense. As Amy Novak explains, “justice requires not the act of rationalizing, of constructing a smooth and logical account of this moment, but of remembering and maintaining

---

contradictions”. They thus try to retain ambiguity and uncertainty in their work, without being completely incomprehensible. They call into question or deconstruct both how we’re telling our stories – negotiating the available discourses – and what we’re saying. John Law argues that ephemeral, elusive, emotional, indistinct, and changeable experiences, like trauma, can only be known in embodied, deliberately imprecise, situated, allegorical, reflexive terms. We can’t “make sense” in any definitive way. Instead, we try to balance mystery with mastery. We tell stories which are more or less real, or more or less useful, rather than offering tidy narratives with clear truths and morals. Such stories never really understand or enlighten, but, in theory, anyway, they help us bear the unbearable.

The issue of erasing alterity also pops up in relation to a third problem, which has to do with empathy. We often think of empathy as ‘putting yourself in someone else’s shoes.’ But two people can’t be in a pair of shoes at the same time. It implies displacement. Theodor Adorno says, when you believe you have mirrored the other, you

---
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have robbed them of their happiness, which is their ability to speak back to us.\textsuperscript{134}

Empathy is supposed to be nice, but it can also be “a dangerous act of enfolded cultural projection”.\textsuperscript{135} When we empathize with an other’s story, we make sense of it in the context of our lives, often appropriating their story and hearing it only in terms of our own concerns.\textsuperscript{136} As Tzvetan Todorov notes, “the illusion of fusion is sweet, but… its end is bitter, to recognize others as others permits loving them better”.\textsuperscript{137} Overwhelming or alienating otherness is a form of blasphemy.\textsuperscript{138} It leads to much scholarly anxiety about the “violence of objectification” required to turn a life into a spectacle.\textsuperscript{139} We may see empathy as a cure for objectification. However, as the feminist critiques of friendly research have noted, our feelings of warmth and communion are not guarantors of justice and respect.\textsuperscript{140} Moreover, empathy may be beside the point, if the objective is not to communicate that discrimination hurts but rather that it is morally wrong and demands redress.\textsuperscript{141} But not having empathy, not feeling with and for others, and the othered aspects of ourselves, also seems unethical.

\textsuperscript{136} Salverson, "Risking Friendship," 67-68.
\textsuperscript{137} Tzvetan Todorov, “A Dialogic Criticism?,” \textit{Raritan} 4 (1984), 72.
\textsuperscript{138} Homi Bhabha, \textit{The Location of Culture} (London: Routledge, 1994), 323.
So what are we to do? Stephen Greenblatt describes a sense of wonder as “the decisive emotional and intellectual experience in the presence of radical difference”. Rather than empathize, Claudia Eppert asks us to withdraw from identification, from “imagining ourselves into the particularities of [others’] experiences”. Instead, we’re asked to problematize our emotional and intellectual responses, to notice how they enable or obstruct our attentive engagement with otherness.

(Sniffs, pulls a tissue from her cuff and dabs her nose).

The fourth problem I want to raise concerns memory and forgetting. While the traumatized may be told that they must, literally and figuratively, “come to terms” with their history, they are also encouraged to forget. This forgetfulness is vigorously promoted by those who are responsible for or threatened by our trauma. Trauma narratives risk being received as old news - redundant, consumable, and relatively insignificant. The over-exposure and misrepresentation of these stories inoculates us against their enormity and meaning. As Norman Denzin notes, “anyone’s personal troubles can now serve as a front-page story, couched as a banal morality tale with a happy ending”. Even public memorialization “can be a forgetting, a way of saying to

---
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survivors, … ‘now you can put this behind you’”.  

148 Minimization and forgetting are also common coping strategies.  

149

The process of testimony itself might paradoxically incline us to forget. In fact, that may be part of how it heals. Once a trauma memory becomes conscious narrative memory, a tellable story, the survivor may be able to change its meaning, alter it, and move on.  

150 This sets up a collision between our need for cohesion and closure, and our fear - both personal and social - that forgetting invites repetition.  

151 This tension was described by Freud in terms of melancholy – with its chronic internalization and attachment to what has been lost – versus mourning.  

152 The “right” choice, in most therapeutic frames, is mourning. However, it may involve “complicity with hegemonic assimilationist strategies”.  

153 It renders trauma as a personal, manageable, temporary setback, rather than compelling evidence of enduring social problems, and enables us to forget. Some see this as “a sacrilege of the traumatic experience”.  

154 If our quest for social justice relies on our wounds, it may seem “virtuous to ‘feed’ righteous indignation, and treasonous to stop the rage”.  

155 Henry Krystal calls this a masochistic perversion that prolongs our suffering. We can’t expect the wounded to stay bleeding in order to sustain a
social revolution that may never come. But what should we do if the survivor mission that enables us to live with and make sense of our losses requires us to never heal?

(Puffs out air). I’m almost done. Are you with me?

The last problem with testimony that I want to bring up has to do with truth. Truth is a problem on a number of levels.

The first thing we generally want to know when we’re told a story is whether or not it is true. However, if it’s a trauma story, the question itself can seem vulgar.\footnote{Rinaldo Walcott, “It's My Nature’: The Discourse and Experience of Black Canadian Music,” in \textit{Slippery Pastimes: Reading the Popular in Canadian Culture}, ed. Joan Nicks, and Jeannette Sloniowski (Waterloo, ON: Wilfrid Laurier University Press, 2002), 265.} By the 1990s some scholars had sacralized personal testimony as simple evidence to be affirmed and honored rather than interrogated and theorized.\footnote{Darlene Hantzis, “Reflections on ‘A Dialogue with Friends’: ‘Performing’ the ‘Other/Self” OJA 1995,” in \textit{The Future of Performance Studies: Visions and Revisions}, ed. Sheron J Dailey (Annadale,VA: NCA, 1998).} The feminist literalist view argued that "women, whose experience of violence has been ignored, silenced, trivialized, and in general denied throughout history, must always be believed, \textit{verbatim}, period".\footnote{Ristock, \textit{No More Secrets}, 135.} In Freirian popular education models, stories were “unproblematically conceived of as suppressed knowledge.” There was an assumption that the living voices or written texts of the oppressed “express a truth that will win out”.\footnote{Razack, "Storytelling for Social Change," 61.} Unless, of course, those ‘truths’ were self-deprecating – in which case, they were seen as signs of internalized oppression, somehow without casting doubt on the general veracity of the testimony.\footnote{Brown, \textit{Subversive Dialogues}.} Language simply represented reality rather than constructing it.\footnote{Razack, "Storytelling for Social Change," 61.} Trauma survivors accessed
painful, but irrefutable, truths, and told these truths in order to heal. The origin and organ of their authoritative knowing was a unified self, which could discern truth from falsehood through quasi-mystical means such as resonance.

Critics of this view – and they have been many – argue that we have no such self, and even if we did, it could not produce such truths. We readily resonate with lies or with representations that “reveal ourselves to ourselves as we like to think we are – a re-enchantment and depoliticization of experience and identity”. Our cultural obsession with authenticity, sincerity, true confessions, and sentimentality leads us to invoke ‘personal truths’ which are, according to Richard Schechner, “all too often a combination of clichés of intimacy, unexamined cultural fact, and romantic distortions”. What is happening inside my heart or gut or whatever well-spring of personal knowing I may claim is as subject to conventions and as socially structured as any other epistemology. These relations of ruling are invisible to me and inescapable. As Melissa Orlie notes, “there is a mystery at the heart of our being, a dependence that renders us fundamentally nonsovereign”.

---

162 Caruth, "Introduction."
163 Herman, *Trauma and Recovery*.
166 Kaufman, "The Long Goodbye."
The problem lies not in willful deceit, although that is always possible, but rather in the nature of memory. We assume the past is determinate, complete, and leaves a neutral, if somewhat inaccessible, archive in our minds. However, memory is informed by ideological shifts, personal and historical events, and changing relations of power. Social institutions instruct us in what we should remember, what we must forget, how far back we should remember, how deeply to remember, and how to narrate the past. Memory is thus a selective, complex, social construction. Pamela Sugiman explains, “we remember what we need to remember, what is safe to remember, that which we have the cultural tools to express.” Memory is shaped by our audience and our own "intellectual inquiries, personal needs, and moral imperatives". We may be taught “to lie, or at least belie, ourselves as well as others”. As such, testimony given in good faith may simply reflect the prevailing discursive constraints and dominant narratives.

Testimony may be better understood as a performative discursive practice. Performative utterances “make things happen rather than describing them… they are judged by criteria of effectivity rather than accuracy”. Testimony cannot offer totalized, complete accounts. It is both formally and temporally complex, and may

---

169 Edkins, *Trauma and the Memory of Politics*.
171 Edkins, *Trauma and the Memory of Politics*; Ristock, *No More Secrets*.
172 Sugiman, "Memories of Internment," 364.
behave like fantasy.\textsuperscript{176} Thus testimony can be “inaccurate, even flat-out wrong” without being invalid.\textsuperscript{177}

This presents quite a challenge for scholars using testimony as a form of knowledge production. As Patty Kelly explains,

\ldots the testimony of the survivor does not, in its articulation, determine meaning, and thus close a familial, cultural, or historical chapter. Rather the speaking of the trauma \textit{opens} meaning, is productive of meaning, and necessitates a willingness on the part of the listener to bear witness to the catastrophic event, to untangle the narrative knots, and to listen through the gaps and ruptures, which takes precedence over any desire for finality.\textsuperscript{178}

The challenge is not to adopt some ideal position of respectful skepticism, but rather to accept that the truthfulness of testimony may not be meaningfully adjudicable, and is probably not the most important measure of its value.

Are there any questions?

\textsuperscript{176} O’Neill, “Traumatic Postmodern Histories.”
\textsuperscript{177} O’Neill, “Traumatic Postmodern Histories,” 164.
\textsuperscript{178} Kelly, "Trauma Narratives," 9.
**Scene Seven: Dream**

*Sophie is waiting for an elevator, calling home on her cell phone. Tahirih (age 15) answers.*

Tahirih: Hello?

Sophie: Hey, T. How was your day?

Tahirih: It was okay. Are you at school?

Sophie: Just finished teaching. Is Shawn there?

Tahirih: No. His car’s gone.

Sophie: Okay.

Tahirih: How was your class?

Sophie: Oh, I gave a really long boring lecture on testimony.

Tahirih: *(laughs)* That’s good.

Sophie: Yeah. I even tried not using big words, but.

Tahirih: I’m sure it wasn’t *so* bad. Hey, I had this really weird dream last night.

Sophie: Yeah?

Tahirih: I remembered it at school. I was going to tell you about it.

Sophie: Mm hmm?

Tahirih: I forget. But it was *really weird.*
Scene Eight: People

An untidy faculty office. Peter is hunched over a laptop, his back to the door.

Sophie: (knocking, in doorway) Hey.

Peter: (wheeling around on his chair) Hey yourself. How’s it going?

Sophie: (steps in to lean on the filing cabinet, groans) Ugh. I don’t know.

Peter: Excellent. (smiles)

Sophie: I have to start talking to people.

Peter: Oh, don’t do that. People are annoying.

Sophie: They’re scary.

Peter: Just do a theory piece.

Sophie: I know. But books only get you so far.

Peter: And you’ve got that (waving his hand dismissively) whole do-good thing going on.

Sophie: Yeah.

Peter: You’ve really got to get over that.

Sophie: I know. (sighs) People are so complicated. I have to deal with ethics and methods and power and subject positions.

Peter: I’m telling you. A nice literature review, you know – some analysis –

Sophie: (teasing) I could be you.

Peter: Hey. My life is meaningless. (smiles) It’s great.
Scene Nine:  Pee

The empty women’s washroom on the 12th floor of Dunton Tower, Carleton University.

Sophie enters, puts knapsack on counter. Sighs. Stares at herself in the mirror.


Sophie: (talking to herself) What am I doing?

Sophie comes out of the stall. Time jumps ahead; it is the pee break in her dissertation defence. Sarah is at the sink, washing her hands.

Sarah: How are you feeling?

Sophie: Tired.

Sarah: You’re doing really well. Just remember to lead with the data.

Time jumps back. Sophie is alone. She washes her hands and exits. She does not notice the two moths clinging to her knapsack.
Act III – In which we ask others.

Scene One: Theory

Sophie is lying in bed with Cricket, a small scruffy white dog.

Sophie: You’re the only thing in my life that makes any sense.

Cricket: (sighs) And again, it’s all about you.

Sophie: Pardon?

Cricket: You’re self-absorbed. Studies consistently find about 30% of women have been assaulted by an intimate partner.¹ Health Canada estimates that woman abuse costs $4.2 billion dollars annually.² That’s billion, with a B. “There is no null hypothesis in the moral universe”.³ Either you’re part of the solution or you’re part of the problem (yawns).

Sophie: That’s harsh.

Cricket: You’re dithering over methods because you’re afraid of getting it wrong. First problem: who are you talking about?

Sophie: Survivors.

Cricket: Sticking that sign on them signifies power relations, your willingness to identify with them, and your hope or cynicism about their capacity to change.⁴ Even your most

---

⁴ Brown, Subversive Dialogues, 133.
preliminary formulations and terminologies can have profoundly formative impacts on the parameters and terms of your work.  

Sophie: Maybe I’m just being opportunistic. After all, there’s romance and cultural capital in working with the marginal in the academy.  

Cricket: But there’s a deafening silence about the ethics of doing research with ‘sufferers’ in general.  

Sophie: So, what—we don’t make spaces for the suffering to speak outside of their own contexts?  

Cricket: Just do it carefully. Defining your research population has “profound intellectual and moral implications,” so you have to think it through. You shouldn’t label people without their consent.  

Sophie: There’s also the service providers. A lot of them are survivors, but they may not openly identify as such. I want to talk to some of them.  

Cricket: They’re easier.  

Sophie: They’re part of the puzzle. But I don’t want to create a false dichotomy between them and the survivors.  

Cricket: But if you lump them all in together…  

Sophie: I’m not making authoritative truth claims anyhow.  

---

8 Halse and Honey, “Unraveling Ethics,” 2145.  
9 Ristock, *No More Secrets*. 
Cricket: *(shrugs)* It’s your defense.

Sophie: I’m also worried about subjectivities.

Cricket: Explain.

Sophie: Alldred and Gillies argue, "despite the political intentions of researchers, research can reinforce not only the particular and narrow range of ways of being a 'modern subject', but the broader political relations that stem from the modernist foundation of the research enterprise" - that is, the colonial project. However, the alternative - performative, unfixed subjectivity - may be impractical given our formative influences, norms of ethical practice, and "our common-sense understanding of (and commitment to) treating interviewees decently". ¹⁰ As such, we may be are ethically bound to support an unethical construction of subjectivity.

Cricket: Do you buy that?

Sophie: I think they’re on to something. It’s the tension between the postmodern or poststructural self – as constituted by matrices of power and discourse¹¹– and our experiences of ourselves as generally coherent, continuous agents. Like Laurel notes, We *experience* our lives as personal, emotionally meaningful, narratively knowable, and tellable”.¹² Where is agency if we don’t have identity?

Cricket: In reworking and resisting the way your subjectivity is constituted?¹³

---

¹⁰ Alldred and Gillies, "Eliciting Research Accounts," 152.
¹² Richardson, *Fields of Play*, 62.
Sophie: In theory, sure. But we see ourselves as conscious, creative guarantors of meaning, not decentered actors.¹⁴

Cricket: What about Avery Gordon’s “complex personhood?”

Sophie: She’s so clever. *(sighs)* And she’s right. People’s lives are “simultaneously straightforward and full of enormously subtle meaning.”¹⁵ But what if they don’t see it that way? To some extent you have to use the modernist subject, if only because it’s most familiar. You just have bear in mind that it’s a fiction with consequences.

Cricket: The lines between and within subjects are wobbly at best.¹⁶

Sophie: I agree. But I also need to respect the terms of others’ identities.

Cricket: What about yours? Are you a scholar? An insider?

Sophie: *(Sophie sits up, gets a bag of knitting from beside the bed, and starts untangling various balls of yarn)* That’s tricky. I love how Lather and Smithies ask, “who is this we/they?”¹⁷ I think posing as an outsider is a way of insulating ourselves and our readers. It also makes us look less biased.

Cricket: But?

Sophie: Well, I worry about it when I see a black scholar like Patricia Hill Collins calling black women “them,”¹⁸ or when we ascribe all kinds of epistemic privilege to various

---

¹⁶ Conquergood, "Rethinking Ethnography: Towards a Critical Cultural Politics."
¹⁷ Lather and Smithies, *Troubling the Angels*, 34.
marginal positions without being overt in claiming those positions for ourselves.

Cricket: But location does matter: so-called insiders often can’t just walk away with the data.\footnote{Linda Tuhiwai Smith, Decolonizing Methodologies (London: Zed Books, 1999), 137.} We have varying degrees of mobility and access to different discourses and communities.


Cricket: On the one hand, you don’t want to deny your investments and experience.

Sophie: That would seem dishonest.

Cricket: On the other, you don’t want to appropriate or romanticize “the vision of the less powerful while claiming to see from their positions.” Like Haraway says, “to see from
below is neither easily learned nor unproblematic, even if ‘we’ naturally inhabit the great underground terrain of the subjugated knowledges”.23

Sophie: Right. I think she got it bang-on when she said that drawing inside-outside boundaries is a power move, not a move toward truth.24 The point for me is not that some positions offer better truths.

Cricket: You don’t really believe that.

Sophie: Fine. (sighs) Nobody has complete or authoritative truth, but there is more and less true, and there are truths with varying outcomes.25 There are also truths with more or less air-time.

Cricket: So who’s the expert?

Sophie: (starts knitting) I want to say, survivors. We’re supposed to see women as the absolute experts on our own experiences and needs.26 The only one who knows what’s right for her, even if she thinks she’s ignorant.27

Cricket: And she’s the expert on the oppressor?28

Sophie: Right, the victim knows best. That knowing may be groping and uncertain, may be self-corrective, but it’s still privileged.29


28 Brown, Subversive Dialogues, 22.

Cricket: But everyone can “claim to stand as oppressor and oppressed in relation to someone else”. 30

Sophie: And I don’t know…. I don’t think I necessarily know best about what’s up with me. I want to see other women as authorities, as more reliable and insightful than the books. I want to trust them to know and explain what’s happening in a useful, reliable way. I believe in the value of first-hand experience and I don’t think detached objectivity is ethical or possible. But we have different degrees of capacity and education, different degrees of self-perception or internalized oppression or magical thinking or whatever. Cricket: All truths aren’t equal.

Sophie: Maybe you can’t adjudicate truth across discourses. But I also have problems with the idea of something being true-for-you. Its too atomized and relativist. I don’t want kingdoms of one; I want a negotiated, complex account, that respects community.

Cricket: You want to piece all the truths together like a quilt.

Sophie: That would be comforting, yeah. If they all fit together. But of course they don’t. We pretend they do, so stuff makes sense. We don’t know how to think non-dichotomously. If the expert researcher is oppressive and bad, then the expert has got to be the participant, or intuition, or the body. Or the expert is nobody. But maybe we’re all both experts and delusional. What you trust may not have much to do with what seems intellectually defensible anyhow.

Cricket: It’s emotional, intuitive, whatever.

Sophie: You believe what you need to be true. But of course the ability to make that truth stick depends on your power. And nobody wants to be caught being powerful.

Cricket: “Power is presumed to equal oppressiveness”.31

Sophie: Right. The only ethical approach, for a feminist researcher, anyhow, is to minimize power differences. But of course we both have and want power.32

Cricket: Being wounded can make you even more interested in controlling others.33

Sophie: Which is really hard to deal with if controlling others is also part of how we define abuse. Maybe there’s an important difference in the motivation or rationale behind it, but I worry that we’re replicating harmful patterns.

Cricket: Even if you wanted to, you can’t equalize power in a research relationship.34

Sophie: But there are more and less ethical ways of using it. And it’s not a simple relationship. Power is “capillary, nomadic, and circulating,” not unidirectional.35 The participants have their own priorities and goals, and I am totally dependent on their willingness to play along. At the same time, this is my project. I take their voices and run with them.36

Cricket: Negotiating power or control over the data and analysis only works if both parties are invested, interested in negotiating.

31 Brown, Subversive Dialogues, 106.
33 Orlie, Living Ethically, Acting Politically, 27.
35 Lather, Getting Lost, 47.
Sophie: Right. And if an autonomous agent gives you her story, gives you her opinions, it seems a bit of an insult to turn that gift into me using my power to take something from her.

Cricket: You’re denying the violence of objectification and appropriation?

Sophie: You’re denying the possibility of non-exploitative generosity. They’re not fools. They know research is not friendship.

Cricket: Doesn’t that just solve a lot of your ethical problems.

Sophie: (sighs) It’s a non-reciprocal process. But that doesn’t mean it’s inherently bad.37 Trying to establish non-hierarchical research relationships means looking for a position outside the text - “a position that is politically irresponsible, empirically impossible, and epistemologically indefensible”.38 Ignoring my power is the surest way to misuse it.39 I define the parameters of enlightenment.40 I decide who to talk to, about what. When I write it up I use other people’s words to make my points. The best I can do is to make it really obvious that I am at the center of the text and that I don’t know the answers.

Cricket: (with a toothy, sly smile) No worries there.

Sophie: (leaning over to blow a raspberry on Cricket’s belly) Be nice.

Cricket: So what are you going to ask?

---

37 Wolf, "Situating Feminist Dilemmas in Fieldwork."
39 Orlie, Living Ethically, Acting Politically, 4; Brown, Subversive Dialogues, 105.
40 Val Gilles and Pam Alldred, “The Ethics of Intention: Research as a Political Tool,” in Ethics in Qualitative Research, ed. Melanie Mauthner et al. (London: Sage, 2002), 44.
Sophie: I don’t know. *(sighs)* Supposedly that’s okay. Potts and Brown say I can use the hunches, tensions, or disjunctions in my own life. I can go from clarity to fuzziness. My questions can change as I go along, and I might not see what was answered until the end of the process.41

Cricket: It sounds like you’re reaching for cooperative inquiry.

Sophie: Hmm?

Cricket: You know. “Working with other people who have similar concerns and interests to yourself, in order to: (1) understand your world, make sense of your life and develop new and creative ways of looking at things; and (2) learn how to act to change things you may want to change and find out how to do things better”.42

Sophie: Exactly! Yes. That’s my methodology.

Cricket: And your methods?

Sophie: Something like feminist interviewing.

Cricket: Which supports the modernist fantasy that unified, authentic subjects can accurately represent themselves.43

Sophie: Accuracy isn’t available. The best we’ve got is multiplicity. And you don’t get that without listening, somehow, to others.

Cricket: Finally.

---


43 Alldred and Gillies, "Eliciting Research Accounts", 150.
Sophie: But that’s not easy either. If trauma reveals the contingency of the social order, and how it conceals its own impossibility - who wants to hear that?44

Cricket: They’ll put on their best face and try to give right answers. They’ll follow the “culturally defined rules for appropriate behavior between strangers”.45

Sophie: And so will I. And yet.

Cricket: You’ve got to give up your desire for victory narratives.46

Sophie: Which can feel like giving up hope. Which is a lot to ask, when hope may be all that is keeping you going. How do you learn to hear what you don’t want to hear, or can’t hear?

Cricket: Of course a move toward the abject isn’t always a move toward truth or reality. It isn’t always helpful.

Sophie: Or possible. Even if I can find a way to say it, I have to trust that the witness can hear it without me having to take care of them and make it better for them. Where is that trust supposed to come from?47

Cricket: The problems of testimony are also problems of witnessing.

Sophie: Sure. And before you can witness others, you’ve got to be able to witness yourself. You’ve got to deal with your resistance and dismay. The fear and incomprehension.

44 Edkins, *Trauma and the Memory of Politics*, 5.
Cricket: You should never ask someone else a question you’d not be willing to answer yourself.\textsuperscript{48}

Sophie: And you’ve got to make space for answers that may be beyond your intellectual horizons and your emotional comfort zone.\textsuperscript{49} You’ve got to let yourself “be wounded by their wounds.” To let their experience resonate with and destabilize your own.\textsuperscript{50}

Cricket: So you’re holidaying on other people’s misery?\textsuperscript{51}

Sophie: And calling it a career. (smiles)

Cricket: Excellent. So then the problem is guilt management.

Sophie: And nerves. You’re supposed to seem relaxed and capable, or they’ll think you’re an idiot.\textsuperscript{52}

Cricket: But you can’t control your feelings, or theirs.

Sophie: So you’ve got to open yourself up to sensory, emotional, and bodily life - all the stuff we usually suppress in our academic discourses\textsuperscript{53} - and see your anxiety as a sign of potential, not pathology.\textsuperscript{54}

\textsuperscript{48} Potts and Brown, "Becoming an Anti-Oppressive Researcher," 270.
\textsuperscript{52} Cotterill, "Interviewing Women," 601.
\textsuperscript{53} Conquergood, "Rethinking Ethnography," 355.
\textsuperscript{54} Salverson, "Risking Friendship," 72.
Cricket: But if you’re really going to take in information and allow it “to affect you, baffle you, haunt you, make you uncomfortable, and take you on unexpected detours,” isn’t that just contagion? Counter-transference? Vicarious trauma?

Sophie: No. It’s about trying to be human.

Cricket: That’s over-rated.

Sophie: If you don’t listen deeply you perpetuate colonization by reproducing dominant perspectives. You hear only what you want or expect to hear.

Cricket: So what are you hoping for - absolution?

Sophie: No. The witness offers “fairness, compassion, and the willingness to share the guilty knowledge of what happens to people in extremity”. It’s a non-interchangeable, sometimes involuntary responsibility. You can’t produce truth or meaning. All you can do is attend. You can engage in a relationship of attention.

Cricket: But “there is a risk, a stake, attention is not neutral, it is paid”.

Sophie: Salverson calls it “an embodied cognizance” that opens you to “the very terms of reading, listening, and viewing, to grasping the sense of one's limits and to what must be disturbed in order to realize remembrance as a radical position of learning”.

Cricket: As if you’re not disturbed enough.

---

57 Herman, Trauma and Recovery, 69.
59 Salverson, "Risking Friendship," 73.
60 Roger Simon et al., "Introduction: Between Hope and Despair," 7.
Sophie: Witnessing is an obligation, not a pleasure. It requires “an actual desire to go somewhere you may be hurt and stay there”.61

Cricket: But you can’t just take on some masochistic self-wounding schtick. Salverson also finds “an element of vitality, perhaps even joy, in the contact with another and the experience of being opened, of opening, of response”.62

Sophie: (sighs) I hope she’s right.

Cricket: You have an ethical obligation to refuse despair.

Sophie: The relational therapists would agree with her. I’m just having trouble getting past the idea that my despair is unethical.

Cricket: It’s just a little self-absorbed.

Sophie: Thanks.

Cricket: Being open to the other takes trust. And like Heather Lash says, "trust demands a quieting of the ego, a sort of giving over to an intelligent and uncontrollable world, one full of potentials we cannot yet imagine.” It’s a surrender.

Sophie: Well, I’ve got trust issues.

Cricket: You don’t say. Hence your voyeuristic need to 'find out,' or egotistical impulse to comprehend.63

Sophie: So I have to leave space for mystery.

Cricket: Or admit the space that’s already there.

61 Salverson, "Risking Friendship," 73.
Sophie: Lash says “art has the capacity to leave this silence intact”.\(^{64}\) So I’ll get my participants to draw or something, and we’ll see.

Cricket: You have three problems, don’t you: how to connect with yourself, how to connect with their stories, and how to connect with them.

Sophie: Yeah. \(\textit{(sighs)}\) It’s all about the connection.

Cricket: No sitting on the sidelines, safe-distance cop-out.

Sophie: \(\textit{(Gloomily)}\) Yeah.

Cricket: Caring, feeling-with, receptivity. All that warm fuzzy feminist goodness.\(^{65}\)

Sophie: \(\textit{(muttering)}\) You needn’t be so dismissive.

Cricket: Hey. I’m a \textit{dog}. We \textit{invented} the ethic of care.

Sophie: Receiving what is there as nearly as possible, without evaluation or assessment. Being in a non-instrumental world of relation, without striving for established goals. Not attempting to transform the world but allowing ourselves to be transformed”.\(^{66}\) Existing in a state of mutual concern, caring and trust.\(^{67}\) \(\textit{(sighs, looks at her knitting)}\)

Cricket: What about it?

Sophie: \(\textit{(starts unpicking her work)}\) It all sounds so right but something about it gives me hives.

---

\(^{64}\) Lash, "You Are My Sunshine," 227.


Cricket: Distance is your survival strategy. You don’t actually want to feel with anyone.

Not in an unmanaged kind of way.

Sophie: Possibly.

Cricket: You don’t have time for pain, yours or anyone else’s. This whole (makes air quotes with her paws) “project” is really about avoidance. But the feminist theory you prefer requires you to remain emotionally connected and present.68 So you’re in a pickle.

Sophie: But principles don’t magically make you empathic and non-manipulative.

Cricket: Try using them.

Sophie: A belief is not the same as a behavioral skill.

Cricket: So do something simplistic and shallow and call it feminist. All this angst is tedious. (yawns)

Sophie: I know the right answer is to look at them, and me, with loving perception.

Compassionate curiosity. Whatever.69

Cricket: The right answer is to keep asking the question.

Sophie: Just enough trust to initiate research, safe enough spaces for research relationships.70

Cricket: You’ll do it wrong. Who cares. That’s part of what makes it interesting.

Sophie: I care.

Cricket: (lifts her head to lick Sophie’s hand) I know, I know. You’re working on that.

---

Sophie: How do I be respectful? I can’t say they have false consciousness, because that implies I have true consciousness, but I also have to hear and analyse what they’re not saying.71

Cricket: Yup. You middle class intellectuals still tend to see the disadvantaged as victims of distorted perceptions.72 Unless you feel like they have something worth saying, participation is just a token phase “in which all have their say in order to feel better before the expert tells them what they need”.73 If you assume you understand the structural causes of their problems better than they do, your "consciousness raising becomes indoctrination and domination".74

Sophie: But sometimes I think I do know better. Or at least differently.

Cricket: You do get scholarships for being the expert.

Sophie: And I can’t just let their testimony speak for itself, either. I’m supposed to analyse it and deliver it to an audience and tell them what it all means.

Cricket: Yup.

Sophie: I’ve got to connect but not collapse differences.75 To try to see from an other’s


72 Gilles and Alldred, "The Ethics of Intention," 45.

73 Tim Prentki, and Jan Selman, Popular Theatre in Political Culture: Britain and Canada in Focus (Bristol, UK: Intellect Books, 2000), 158.


view without imagining that it’s possible to do so.\footnote{Molly Andrews, “Feminist Research With Non-Feminist and Anti-Feminist Women: Meeting the Challenge,” \textit{Feminism & Psychology} 12, no. 1 (2002), 60.}

Cricket: That one is tricky. I like how Salverson explains it: "Loyalty to and identification with the fate of another are not the same thing. We desire to empathize and understand the pain of another but end up making our own selves the focus of the inquiry. Such identification is not only voyeuristic, not only does it distort my ability to listen and respond, it is also too great a burden".\footnote{Salverson, "Risking Friendship," 67-68.}

Sophie: It’s that empathic unsettlement Dominic LaCapra calls for. Putting yourself in someone else’s position without taking their place.\footnote{Dominic LaCapra, “Trauma, Absence, Loss,” \textit{Critical Inquiry} 25, no. 4 (Summer 1999), 699.}

Cricket: Or Cotterill’s sympathetic listener. She says assuming you understand and can participate in someone else’s feelings about their experience “is, at the very least, condescending and insensitive”.\footnote{Cotterill, "Interviewing Women," 598.} Or Eppert’s reading for alterity.\footnote{Eppert, "Relearning Questions," 228.}


Cricket: Yup.

Sophie: I’ve got to bear witness to myself so I have space for the otherness of the other, but not get lost in myself and erase the other.
Cricket: Melissa Orlie calls it thinking. She says it “opens a space of freedom in relation to what appears to be necessary”.  

Sophie: I’ve got to get out there and try to make a difference in the world without presuming that I can actually do anything for anybody, or that they need something done for them, and I have something real to offer them.  

Cricket: Aiming to help suggests you are external and superior to the problem. As soon as you assume the women need or want conscientization, you stop listening. Being trustworthy means you avoid ‘rescuing’ others, even when asked, because this colludes in the suggestion that they can’t act on their own behalf.  

Sophie: (whining) But I want to be saved. I want to be rescued.  

Cricket: That’s clear. But you can’t pretend you’re going to save them with your healing power of art or truth or whatever you’ve got up your sleeve.  

Sophie: I’ve got to give up on certainty and use slow, uncertain, risky, troubling, vulnerable, quiet, diverse methods, but I also need to be able to explain and defend my findings.  

Cricket: Precisely.  

Sophie: (putting down her knitting, frustrated. She has unpicked the whole thing) But I don’t know how to do ANY of that.  

Cricket: (with a long lazy stretch and yawn) Sounds like you’re ready to begin.

---
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To: sarah_todd@carleton.ca

29 January 08

Hi Sarah -

I am working on the proposal, and it is a bit like trying to put back in order a few decks of cards that have been spilled on the floor, in the dark, with intermittent gusts of wind.

There is so much that I could say, I am not sure when to stop (or even how to organize it in a really succinct way).

What I am wondering is how brilliant does this have to be? Is the aim simply to (a) show that I know something about the field and (b) that I have thought through my planned inquiry? Or is the proposal meant to showcase me, represent my best thinking, etc?

Feb 6

Feeling very lost. So much stuff did not make it into my proposal draft. So many dots I don't know how to connect. I am dropping so much of the things I need to carry. Feeling pretty discouraged about the whole thing. How am I going to make it all make sense?

Feb 11

The proposal is not brilliant but at least now, with Sarah's input, I can feel the shape of it and know where it has to go.
22 Feb

I have a second draft for you.... this one was written with less ambient distraction (other than the freshly spayed, flea-ridden dog spastically flailing her cone-wrapped head around trying to get at her stitches).

I think it is better but I am sure you'll let me know ...

4 March

I hate titles.

I am never any good at them.

I can write anything but titles undo me.

I need a title for this dissertation.

I have spent the past half hour walking the dog all over town trying to come up with a good title. Now I have mud all over my kitchen floor and still no brilliant title.

27 March

None of this is urgent, so if you are in the grip of end-of-term madness, feel free to let it slide.

I have received feedback from the ethics office and made some edits accordingly.

I am waiting to hear back from the shelter - the director was away all this week but I am to call her Monday. She'd like me to come in and meet with her and a couple other people in order to see if they can support my work or not.
31 March

Hiya

About the defense... I have not really given it much thought, though I suppose perhaps I should. I've been too busy marking papers, installing joists and waiting for my interminable cold to end.

That being said, I have no familiarity with this sort of ritual and may be going into it with totally the wrong attitude. I am feeling pretty confident and hoping that all these clever people will offer encouragement and/or useful advice - I am assuming we are all on the same team and not seeing it as an inquisition. It seems to me that you are in charge, and if that is the case, I have no worries.

8 April

My proposal defense is tomorrow and I have no idea what is expected of me. Sarah says I should be ready to speak to the project off the top and then answer questions. I don't know what to say, I am feeling stupid and exhausted after four full days of working on the house. I just finished installing the bathroom subfloor today.

27 May

Is the ethics review ctee there to assess the rigor of my methods (my dissertation ctee's job, as I understand it) or to assess whether or not the methods will hurt anybody? It seems as though many of their questions pertain to evaluating the merits of my epistemology and methodology rather than determining the risks the inquiry might pose
to others. Are they allowed to reject my inquiry, not because it is unethical, but because, for instance, they think autoethnography and arts-based inquiry are flakey?

6 August

I hope your summer is going well.... school is probably the farthest thing from your mind but I have a quick question for you.

I have not heard back from the ethics ctee since they requested revisions (which I sent in on June 1). I have called and emailed several times. I am wondering if you think I ought to be doing anything other than waiting at this point, and if you know whether there is any compensation for students who can't do their work due to administrative delay (but pay full fees nonetheless).

18 August

I have been trying to woo my brain into functioning for me so that I'll have at least thought things through before we meet tomorrow. I am feeling entirely demoralized (predictably, I suppose) and am hoping that I'll feel more optimistic about my dissertation after seeing you. I don't know what options I ought to be considering but I thought I should go back to basics and try to restate what my dissertation is all about.

This is what I said in my proposal: "This thesis moves into the gap between traumatic experience and representation, and asks how we render trauma communicable, if representing trauma makes sense of it, and whether sense-making is essential for recovery."
So far, so good. I am still on board with that.

However, I go on to say this: "It pursues these questions through participatory action, arts-based, and autoethnographic research in Lanark County, Ontario, in collaboration with survivors of spousal abuse. This research hopes to offer survivors and service providers creative recovery strategies, scholars deeper insight into the ethical and practical challenges of representation, and the host community education through activist performance." This is now seeming (a) really ambitious and (b) impossible to get through ethics review.

I don’t know what their decision to send this to the psychology ethics review committee entails (or why - if that’s who has to review it - it wasn’t sent there sooner), but at minimum, it means more delay - which I can’t afford. I seem unable to help them understand the line between PAR and therapy... the whole thing is really frustrating. I think I’m going to have to cut the participatory arts based play-groups and ethnodrama and resubmit something which is (at least on the face of it) really conventional - which stinks, but so it goes. I can still do interesting things in the autoethnographic section (they don’t seem to care if I treat myself unethically) and there will be other projects. To that end, I’ve come up with a few possibilities for us to mull over..... See you tomorrow!

19 August

How lovely to hold your baby and be able to talk through all my worries about my thesis. Thank you so much Sarah and don't worry about sidetracking into baby-land.... I think
the world would be a much more civilized place if babies and children were more often
present at so-called important meetings.

I have emailed the other ctee members with a brief heads-up on where things are going
and how we propose to change my methods... I'll let you know what sort of feedback I
get.

Thanks again and good luck with the sleeplessness.... I came home to find mine had all
gone out cross-country running but it feels like just last week they were spitting up all
over my shoulder....

I also really appreciated you saying how you want me to love at least some part of my
work.... that is really important for me to remember as I am too prone to turning life into
a series of hurdles.

1 September

I have not heard anything from ethics and am wondering how long I should let it slide
before I storm in there and stand on a desk banging pot lids until somebody pays attention
to me.... or perhaps another email would suffice?

17 September

a bunch of little questions for you....

I've made a poster/flyer and am awaiting a call back from the shelter ED to hear if she
minds me distributing it at Take Back the Night. I'll leave little stacks of them in likely
places around town and put an ad in the local paper. I guess I'll give it a couple of weeks
after I put out posters and if I have not gotten enough response I'll start beating the bushes a bit more aggressively. Does that sound right to you?

I was thinking about focus group locations. My parents have a big beautiful house nearby that will be empty a lot this fall (she's in NYC, he is in Baghdad) ... Can you see a problem with having some of the focus group meetings there? It would make it logistically simpler.

I saw Andrea yesterday and she seems to feel things are on track and is going to send me a couple resources on feminist group interviewing. She was asking after you and baby and happy to hear that things had gone well.

I'll send ethics back the last change that they want but otherwise it seems like finally we're through those hoops. Sheesh, what a horror show.

I am also working on setting up a routine of some sort of arts-based research journaling or something - trying to come up with a semi-systematic reflective process that does not seem like an imposed pain in the ass. I am concerned that if I don't establish my expectations for my own process nothing will happen - but that may be just my not-trusting-the-universe, control-freakish thing.

Hope all is well and that you're getting some sleep -

23 Sept

Restless & fitful sleep these past couple days since I started putting out posters for my research. I feel like a big fat fraud, like it is an insult to survivors for me to be claiming their subject position. Like I am going to get in trouble. I am scared about what would or will happen when Joel finds out about it. I was even scared to tell my Mom about the
autoethnographic part of my work – I could feel her concern about what havoc I might wreak with my irresponsible tendency to talk.

Shawn says I need to arrive at an understanding of why I feel like such an imposter. But even as he spoke in between us was a stirring or muted roaring, like an air conditioning or duct system – white noise. And a compression in my chest, like a coffee press slowly being plunged. And an immobility – curling like a paralyzed hand. And I wish I could remember because it shows what I mean about the problems with testimony.

There’s too many voices in me. The only thing that makes sense is the dog sleeping with her head on my shoulder, under the covers.

26 September

Well, I have distributed a bunch of flyers and need to send out a few more and so far my phone has not been ringing off the hook (or at all, other than that first day), which is kind of discouraging. I need to send out follow up emails to various folks and see what I can do.

On the plus side, I have a former student who used to work at one of the shelters in the city and who is very excited about my research and has offered to pull a group of suitable women out of her network and to host the group at her home in Ottawa.... I don't know if there is some problem with doing that but it sounds like a fine idea to me. So recruitment is not going brilliantly so far but it is still early days I suppose...

26 Sept

Getting the posters out has me feeling raw and gross and crazy and lost. So I had my kids pass them out at the Take Back the Night march when I should have done it myself.
30 September

Well, I have five service providers confirmed - a counselor, a doctor, a shelter staff person, a woman who runs the distress line, and a woman who works with victim services through the Ministry of the Attorney General - plus the woman who runs the sexual assault program out of the hospital has said she will send me at least one nurse who works on the front line with them. They aren't all available at the same time, of course, so scheduling might be tricky.

I am still talking to my contacts at the local shelter - I am assuming I will get at least a couple more from there. I have one survivor confirmed (yay!). The shelter folks also may allow me to go meet with their two writers groups and their assertiveness group - all survivors.

So things are proceeding... the ad comes out in the paper this Thursday so I may get some calls from that. It makes me feel so shy to ask people about it! But so it goes....

10 Oct

I know you are at your sister's and I hope the weather is as lovely in St Catherine's as it is up here. It looks like a perfect weekend for studio tours and pumpkin pie and putting gardens and cottages to bed (which is what's on my agenda).

So far all the agencies I have contacted have been responsive and are sending someone (pending scheduling) - except I am having problems with the shelter. I think the situation is that the woman I am talking with - their outreach coordinator - is a bit of a friend and wants to support it but I suspect the new ED (who doesn't know me) is reluctant to get
involved. I am still emailing back and forth trying to get them to talk about what their concerns are (so that I can have an opportunity to explain or adapt where possible). They are apparently going to talk about it again at their staff meeting next week but I don't have high hopes of anything being forthcoming. This stinks as they are the major gatekeeper to survivors and so far I have only one who has spontaneously called me. I am not feeling like the project is imperiled but it hurt my feelings that they weren't more open. So much for feminist solidarity :(

Anyhow, my former student has pulled a group together for me in the city... if needs be when I see them I will ask them all for referrals or ideas and maybe things will snowball from there. I just wish I had the data in hand so I could start working with it but these things take time, I guess. I am trying to be detached about the shelter issues - if they sign-on it is gravy but I have enough to go on either way.

I hope all is well with you and your little bundle of joy...

24 Oct

Well, I have a group here in town this Sunday afternoon, with five women confirmed, and I have another group next Saturday in Ottawa, and another one in Perth the following Wednesday. In addition I am doing an individual interview with a woman who can't make any of those meetings on Monday Nov. 3, and I have another willing participant yet to schedule.... so things appear to be chugging along at their own sluggish pace. I am feeling somewhat anxious about the meeting (it will be dumb, they'll all look at me like I am a moron, the recorder won't work, they won't want to be taped, the artsy fartsy activity will
be lame, it will be awkward, the sky will fall) ... all the typical thoughts. It feels a bit better if I pretend that this has nothing to do with school and it is just the same as the umpteen workshops I have done in gathering material for a play. The trick will be to somehow make it not feel formal (despite the huge consent letters and the recording device etc etc).

Luckily I have a whack of marking to plow through so I can't just fret myself into a tizzy.... although I would much rather be out walking the dog or planting my bulbs....

29 Oct

It went really well, I think. I finished transcribing it today - I am trying to stay on top of that, because I have another meeting Friday and Monday and Wednesday - and I also wanted to hear it all again while it was somewhat fresh.

There were four women. They all really engaged with the questions and the art activity and want to be involved in feedback/analysis reflection stuff through the website.... so that is all good. They were very positive and thanked me copiously for giving them the opportunity to reflect and participate in the conversation.... so all in all I think it went as well as could possibly be expected.

Anyhow, I think it was all because of the menu of snacks: brie and stoned wheat thins, nice hard black grapes, pistachios from the Middle Eastern grocery store, a poppy seed cake iced with chocolate ganache, and tea. We totally underestimate the importance of food in setting a mood, I think. Either that or I obsess over the food because it is the most
readily controllable part of what is going to go on and my typical way of managing how
people are feeling.....

So the upshot is success! And I am quite proud of myself for having the thing transcribed
already (the participants were incredulous that I was actually going to transcribe the
whole thing... but if I don't how can they meaningfully engage with it, as it will already
be so pre-filtered by me?)

The meeting this Friday has me a little scared because it is so many people in a space I've
never seen (my former student’s house!) so the logistics may be challenging - but there
will also be a lot of enthusiasm, so I am sure interesting things will happen.

On going over the tape I did think of some things I would have liked to have probed
further, so I may let my question list evolve, group to group. I don't know if I am going to
be kicking myself for not be systematic enough, but going out there knowing exactly
what I am hunting for seems like not what I'm into. As I keyed the meeting I bold-ed stuff
that jumped out or seemed important for whatever reason - not trying to think too much
yet about analysis. I am sort of hoping/trusting that if I just sit with all the data in my
head and on paper, and listen into it, the stories it wants to tell me will come winding out.

If not, I suppose I can still chop it up and impose some sort of order on it later.

Anyhow, I am now desperately late to get the kids to the Halloween fun fair at their
school (and I still have one costume to make - yeegads!) so I am going to have to dash -
but thank you for the email asking how it went.....I hope all is well with you?
30 October

I finished transcribing my first meeting. I really liked, actually, spending the time with the women again as I listened to their voices. I could remember their gestures, and how they looked as they spoke, and the light in the room.

31 October

I don't know what, if anything, this will all mean in the end. But again the women were grateful to me for the opportunity, and seemed to trust that my work was meaningful and going to be helpful. One woman drove from two hours away for the meeting. They have so much faith in this, so much confidence that it will be useful. That is the trust they have placed in me: that I will do something useful. I am not sure how to do that but I will have to try.

I have not been keeping track, really, of who my participants are....although I guess my committee is going to want to know. I just hate how reductive those labels are....it seems insulting to try and sort them by class/age/race/education level/occupation/years since they left their abuser/duration of therapy .... as if I could sum them up so easily. Most of the women I met today I know nothing about, though I suppose Dawn could fill me in if needs be. Of the seven women there, five made it clear that they had been abused by a partner, one said she had not been abused, and one did not indicate either way. Five of the seven have been or are service providers, in varying capacities - a front-line shelter worker, a provincial policy advisor, a counselor, etc. At the first meeting, two of the four women identified as having been abused, and the other two as having been through trauma, having had bad things happen to them, but not specifically as having been abused themselves. Three of them were service providers - in a social service agency, in a shelter, and in a private counseling practice. Of the seven women I’ve got coming up, two of are openly survivors and six are service providers in the health/social services/justice system. This works out to eight women identifying only as service providers, four only as survivors, and
six as both - but I am suspicious of tidy numbers like that.... what do they actually say about how credible or useful our conversations are? You can easily have been abused without self-identifying as a survivor, and many service providers can’t or won’t disclose. Even if they could be sorted into column A and column B, what does that prove? It’s too close to the kind of power/authority moves I am working against. Even sorting them demographically gets messy... I have one visible minority, one woman who said she’s disabled, one with an indigenous background, two who indicated poverty....and a partridge in a pear tree. Just over half live in rural areas,.. they all seem to be 35-65 and heterosexual, and mostly middle-class. I know this matters because of the need for diversity and etc etc but I just can’t find a way to think of them in this project in those terms. It can’t ask when they left their abusers, or how much therapy they’ve had - maybe it would be rude and unethical or maybe I’m too shy. Even if I could map them ten different ways on a sociological grid, what would I actually know? They all got a poster or email or phone call, and drew themselves into the circle of people with something to offer. I just didn't feel like I could ask them to justify their right to speak to the issues.

3 Nov

My interview went well today. Of course it got much more interesting after the tape stopped running, as I was standing up to leave, but so it goes. The woman is a psychiatrist who does a lot of work with trauma survivors.... so her perspective was very different from the others I have spoken with. It may be that through this research process I just get to the point where I start to figure out the questions I really want to be asking.... but that is to be expected, I suppose.

I didn't ask her to draw for me but it turns out she does art and singing and stuff as part of her own self-care/management of vicarious trauma routine. She also said I should let her
know if there was anything else she could do for me, and if I was doing any arts-based projects.

5 Nov

I feel like I am blowing it by asking all these dumb questions and never really getting to the heart of what it is that I am really asking, the answers I really need, what really matters. I am afraid I am missing the point. That they are coming with a gift for me and I am never giving them the opportunity to give it. I am not attuned properly, or right-minded or grounded enough, I am too shy or inhibited or confused to see the simple question at the core of all this...whatever that may be. I am still imagining that this is a world of locks and keys.

15 Nov

Just had the last group meeting ...

It was a different feel from other meetings - a third woman was supposed to attend but ended up being unable to do so (ex bailed on her kids). But the two who were there were therapist and client... which changed the dynamic. I also felt more comfortable with the women for some reason - maybe I am getting more relaxed with the groups, or maybe I am just in a different place.

I find it hard to do the art exercise because the things I want to draw I can't due to technical limitations. I also feel vaguely embarrassed because they create these ultimately hopeful things but my drawings are not hopeful. I feel like I have to make them hopeful in order to not worry people. I made my own image of the post-abuse process in two of the meetings ... both times it felt awkward, as if I was making it about me or usurping the position of the participant.
24 Nov

I hope all is well with you and yours...

I have spoken to 18 women now, with four group interviews and one solo. Each meeting took about 3.5 hours. I have transcribed them all, and am about to listen to them all again to go through and correct/revise the transcripts. I will be done with that process this week.

Shawn has started building the website for posting the transcripts and getting feedback - it should be up by mid December (I am hoping). I don't know how many of my participants will actually engage in the revision/comments process … I will be encouraging participants to not just edit for clarity or confidentiality but also to feel free to elaborate or add to what others' have said - to add anything they didn't get the chance to say in our meetings.

My next step is to spend some time on reflective work - mulling the issues over in a non-linear way. As I went through the transcripts I kept thinking of follow-up question I wished I'd asked.... There's also possibly amateurish things I didn't do - like asking each participant where they worked or how old they were.... but I am sure I'll be able to make something out of what I've gotten. What that something will be, at this point, is a bit of a mystery....which may be a good sign, who knows.

17 Dec

I know you are away but wanted to write this now so it gets out before my brain is consumed by other priorities....(it feels like I have an unruly pack of hyenas in my head
competing for my attention as if it were some half-eaten antelope that can't possibly feed them all).

I have a question - which is not really a question, I guess - I have been coding my data - first by emergent themes, second by questions and their associated responses, and third by sticky phrases - things that just jumped out at me for whatever reason. My fourth sort was going to be just by visual images - working into a visual analysis using their artwork - perhaps with me creating a composite visual piece which combines and borrows from the images they created (via scanning/cutting/pasting etc) and connects the visuals with a hybrid of the narratives they used to "explain" their art. I don't know if a collective image(s) will emerge but it seemed like it was worth pursuing as a mode of analysis.

On my way there, however, I stumbled into another strategy.

Laurel Richardson is offering a workshop at this year’s Congress of Qualitative Inquiry, in which participants have to write a piece that is both personal and historical (sociological) using only three word sentences. I was mulling over the point of this exercise (other than fun) and it occurred to me that maybe I could adapt it as a verse-form method of analysis and presentation. What I like about writing poetry is the close to the bone feeling that comes when language is stripped down and spare - and I felt like my data was buried in words. So mostly as an experiment I’ve gone through and condensed the transcripts - keeping the images, the issues and opinions (more or less) intact. It’s a bit like looking at a sine wave and keeping all the peaks - the places where their voices advance or reveal, like bright spots on the page - where the motor of reading slows down to work though a thick chunk. I’m keeping the distinctive turns of phrase - lines packed
with personality - but sifting out all the extraneous text - including who said what. I’m a bit worried about it (what if this unethically erases authorship, diversity, etc.) but given that their voices are mediated by me anyhow - and the point is what they’re saying, not who is saying it - I thought it might be okay or at least interesting.

Rewriting all the group interview transcripts in (more or less) three word lines has allowed me to really see the shape of the data, almost like lines of melody. I’ll leave a few stories intact - longer bits where we hear one voice, a bit like a recitative or solo passage - but most of it will get chopped up (literally, with scissors - my brain can’t do this on-screen.) I’ll sort and tape them back together, clustered around themes and tensions, to see what lines emerge. I’m hoping that, by organizing it thematically, I’ll be able to combine voices to call out the tensions and harmonies and produce a sort of analysis by juxtaposition, like a piece of choral music. It’s a way less didactic approach than “proper” analysis but maybe it’ll move toward that slower, more humble, exploratory sort of knowing that Lather and Law are reaching for.

I’ve sung in a lot of choirs and there is this amazing thing that happens when you are singing full voice but so well attuned and arranged that instead of hearing yourself you hear something far bigger and richer and more complicated. I don’t know if I can do it but it would be great if the participants got a bit of that feeling from the way I made their voices sing.

My worry is, to a reader, it could look like I’ve just dumped some semi-processed data disguised as lame free-verse poetry ... it takes some patience to put up with not being told what stuff means (it’s a lot easier to be pomo in theory than practice) and the form
might seem deceptively simple. What do you think? Is this a legit method of analysis or just me being freaky?

Hope you are having a wonderful time at your sister’s place…

6 Jan

Happy new year to you too! I was going to email you tomorrow, actually, as I am hoping to have the last bit of coding done by the end of the day and was planning to crow about it. I am a little worried about how I'll find some threads or patterns or meaning... how I will decide what stories the data is telling. It's not like putting together a puzzle or comparing apples and oranges - more like apples and lawnmowers. I am trying not to worry about it though... my brain seems to be a pattern-finding (or creating) machine and I am hoping that all I have to do is pay attention to what I am doing involuntarily. It's like an artist, I guess... the challenge is not the seeing, but rather allowing what you see to be how it is, rather than what you were expecting or hoping to see. I also have to get around my irrational anxiety that someone is going to tell me I have done it all wrong. I am not used to being the expert on my own project and I guess I am still looking for the approval of a Big Other (go figure). But I am trying not to go too far down that rabbit hole because I figure if I actually do produce something ridiculous or inappropriate or weak or whatever, you won't let me go out there with it into the critical world and get creamed. I am probably more likely to weaken my work through excessive timidity rather than excess boldness, and I suppose even being spectacularly wrong is not necessarily a waste
of time. All kinds of idiocy gets published and funded. I just need to have faith that my form of idiocy is worth writing.

I did take some time off at Christmas to do rolled cookies and grandparents and all that stuff... with an undercurrent of anxiety, of course, but I think nothing short of a lobotomy would change that. Having been away from my participants' voices for a bit I am finding my emotional response to the material has changed - I find it more difficult or depressing to fill my head up with abuse when I haven't been thinking about it for awhile. So I take breaks. But I am really trying to push through it, because I think the longer you spend out of it the harder it is to get back in.

Maybe I will come by for tea sometime when I have been beating my head against the data for a few weeks and it still isn't speaking to me....

Thanks for checking in...

8 March

Things are going okay...

I have not been able to do much on the dissertation as I have been (not so patiently) waiting for Shawn to get the site on line and the password protection sorted out so my participants have a chance to look at what I've done securely before I get totally invested in it as is... but the site is now up - you can check it out if you like - sophie.tamas.com.
2 April

I am rereading my thesis proposal and noticing the big differences between what I proposed and what I have done. In my dissertation, do I need to speak to (explain, justify) these discrepancies? Or is that something that I would do orally, in my defense? Are those discrepancies okay?

In terms of where I am at with the project, I am coming to the end of gathering ingredients. I have just finished going through all of my database of notes, and pulling out about 250 pages of potentially useful/relevant quotes - as a sort of thematically organized bank of other peoples' pertinent ideas. I have also reread most of the papers I've written in the past few years, and noted bits that might be useful or relevant.

I am currently compiling my own journal entries related to the dissertation that I have been keeping (off and on) for the past couple years, and getting those thoughts organized. I have not heard much back from the participants about the data - but I don’t want to pester them for reassurance.

Next I am going to spend some time with my interview findings, seeing how or if they connect with all the other material I've got on the table. I’ve really enjoyed working in verse form.... I’ve organized the findings around the themes that emerged from the women’s voices and used spatial arrangement on the page to show the tensions and overlaps. In some sections I also use sub-headings to help the reader navigate and clarify what I’m hearing .... to show the logic behind my clustering. You can’t always tell when the words move from woman to woman and often several voices are combined in one phrase or segment. It was a lot like writing counterpoint choral music. I’ve done a little
grammatical smoothing (verb tense, etc.) to make it flow but otherwise it’s all their words.

I am not sure how I’ll integrate it into the dissertation .... I feel like I have worked it over pretty heavily in getting it onto the page - there’s a lot of analysis embedded in how it’s presented. I don’t want to chop it up and feed the reader one pre-digested bite at a time... of course it’s all how I heard them but I really want some space for us to just *listen* - which isn’t easy.

My hope is to have an outline for the dissertation roughed out by the end of this coming week. To me, outlining is really the creative part of the project, so I am hoping my brain will be ready. I will have to make some important decisions about boundaries, mandate and form - balancing what I want to do, what I can do, and what my audience will accept. This could end up being the kind of writing I *can’t* outline ... so we’ll see how that goes.

Next week will be eaten up by marking exams, but my plan is to start writing on Monday April 20. I am presenting at two conferences in late May and going to a week-long Gender and Health researcher thing out west in June, but I am hoping to have something like a good draft together before the kids are out of school.

Thanks again for all your support,

Sophie
4 April

Dream that I have been asked to join a small choral ensemble. I tell the conductor I don’t think I am ready for it, that my voice is not strong enough, and she says she thinks I will do fine. There are only two altos. We start practicing but instead of sheet music I have a book of poems. I can’t find the page we are singing from. Everyone else seems to know what to do. Are they improvising? It feels good to be in a choir again. I have forgotten how much I miss singing. I try to join in, to copy the other alto, but I am not doing it right. Then I am told there are two alto parts, so we have to sing different lines. The other alto is trying to help me find my place, but our books are different. Are you ready? the conductor asks. Yes, I say, but I am not.
Scene One: Poems of Others

Sophie’s dissertation defense, in a large seminar room. The committee sits behind a long table, facing a wall of windows looking out over fields. Sophie enters, and stands in the middle of the room. She shakes her head, and a swarm of theorists, in the form of moths, fly out of her hair. They cover the windows; the light is filtered through their wings. A zipper pull appears between her teeth. She grasps it and pulls it down, unzipping herself to the waist. The participants step out of her chest: Margo, Olive, Alice, Stella, Dawn, Ubah, Tammy, Victoria, Belinda, Cheryl, Heather, Dr. White, Shirley, Anne, Anna, Alexa, Jen and June. They appear simplified, as if crudely carved, and flicker a little, like holograms projected through Sophie’s eyes. As they come a bittersweet musical prelude builds. They form a semi-circle around Sophie. There is a faint rustling; the ghosts of the abused are gathering, as a spectral audience.

Sophie: (to the participants) Thank you. Thank you all, so much. (zips herself back up again, and the music fades; to the committee) This is how, or if, we recover.
## Recovery

### Do we recover?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(yes)</th>
<th>(maybe)</th>
<th>(no)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definitely yes healing is possible its amazing what happens sometimes without a lot of intervention.</td>
<td>It's not quick so many factors in optimum circumstances over time yes recovery is possible.</td>
<td>Sometimes you never recover from traumas. Recovery almost has a cruel sound to me. I don’t expect it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have seen amazing resilience and I trust that it’s there.</td>
<td>I’m not going to let him win. After sixteen years I’m whole again I’m almost there.</td>
<td>It’s been fourteen years. I will never recover. I acknowledge it. It’s always there.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The human spirit just has this this drive for life. People find a way. It’s a mystery to me where that comes from but I see it.</td>
<td>I don’t think we’ve discovered yet really good ways of transforming ourselves beyond but I want to believe that it’s possible.</td>
<td>It takes part of your fundamental being away. You’re always looking to recover that part, always changed. Can you heal? No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’m amazed at the spirit in women who leave who make a new life for themselves sometimes with few resources. Hope is very key in people’s ability to carry on.</td>
<td>I like the idea that people recover but like chronic illness you don’t necessarily. Illness and health are not opposites. You achieve wellness, maybe. It doesn’t disrupt like it did.</td>
<td>It’s so traumatic you can’t. You survive because you have to. To lay down and die, no. It doesn’t go away. There’s no magic. People carry their wounds forever.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They keep going. They manage. They cope. It doesn’t haunt me. I don’t think everyone loses themselves. A little part of you knows it’s not right. I hung on to that. That was my tree. We’re all dealt something in life. I can be who I am. You have to believe in yourself.</td>
<td>I don’t mean they go well but, by golly they do keep going like broken bone you get treated after some help the pain goes you always remember under an x-ray you see the crack but things are alright for awhile. it might come back like arthritis. You have to take care of yourself.</td>
<td>Often in a great deal of pain, using some crutches. the dream you had family you hoped for part of you you can’t get back - there is a kind of death that’s happened to you. people who come to a shelter, they’ve died. you separate inside to get things done. You don’t heal like broken bone.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do partners help?

I never had another relationship
I’m at the point fuck the relationships
what helped me was a partner

I’d always be watching if he raised
I’ve learned but I can’t say
I was in a quite suicidal place
his hand to who I’ll pick next
just given up
scratch his head I can sense smell
actually finding love
I’d be like feel who’s going
hope in another
you are going to beat me
person’s eyes

to hit me, go.
financially break me
who absolutely believed.

You lose trust in your ability
They’re bad for me.
you are going to hit me, go. I’m attracted to that.
You’re not alone

sneaks up on you. I can’t trust
suffering will end

that part of me.
you trust yourself

Where do we get stuck?

we’re creatures of habit, you know?
the longer it’s been, the longer
I still go to it takes
the wrong cupboard a song, a smell
the coffee, a season will
the places that take you back
things aren’t there.
I’m thinking I’m your kids are
in my house.
your safety net.
then I correct myself: a constant reminder
I am at home.
you can’t help it - my brain a
That’s very hard. bunch of doors
Home is huge, one opens up
your safety net and I’m shaking
all you know.
I thought I was so strong
Suddenly you’re gone.
moved on
Part of you then something hits
is always connected.
you boom
All the memories right in your face.
can be dangerous.
like a rogue virus
It’s easy to fall you
into depressions.
then something hits
You have to learn to you
like baby steps.
that jumps in
That was then, and takes over
this is now.
Go to that the aftermath doesn’t
happy place.
go away.

to break up the other fish
my son’s home the fish that’s hooked
the guilt pretty near looks crazy, right?
ate me alive but that fish is
that’s part of me
if I forget it working for its life.
might repeat but my happiness depends
I never will be on whether or not I can forget.
and I don’t really want to say, okay, I’m recovered,
goodbye.
Testimony

Best of all worlds
telling helps a lot
takes a lot to do it, though.

How

People find all
kinds of ways
of expressing trauma
pre verbal, sub verbal
outside the realm
of your world
creative processes
words enacting art poetry drawing journaling music play
come in, tapping
your inner guide (who?)
like you were
back, really back
as a girl.
one wrote abuse
upside down
cannot deal
with that word.

Why

it’s important necessary
had to divulge
verbalize on some
level tell
their story
stored like luggage.
telling oneself (to know yourself)
can be the
hardest part.
you talk, you
talk, think, things
come out that
you didn’t really-
you know.

what the connection
is between play there’s a purity
and thinking well and humor in that.
of yourself I’m the rest of the
not sure but world go hang.
it’s important. in the moment (you’re safe)
they start to paint. you can’t go wrong.
talking helps process emotions-
put in perspective
make sense categorize

I found a counselor
in the yellow pages
spilled my guts
and said, okay,
I need to know.

Was it?
Am I?
I don’t understand
what’s going on.
Am I being
a crybaby? Am I?

You think you’re
going crazy.
you talk and
talk and talk.

at the gym
strangers ask
how you’re doing
and it all starts
coming out

after I said
I didn’t mean to,
sorry. And they
said it’s okay,
I’ve been through
the same.

you feel compelled
to talk, testify, tell
what happened to you
we all want it better for the next woman.
to make a difference
to someone else.

\textit{Why not}

they may very
much regret telling
because it changed
their whole lives.

police are required
to lay charges
victims are required
to tell again
and again and
all of a sudden

she has no money
he’s after the children
he’s set a trial date
he gets away with it
he gets off.

you may say
you didn’t let
it get out of hand.

it wasn’t so bad
no big deal
you blew it off.

it feels worse to think I was as one time helpless
to deal with
that terrible feeling.

you may be silenced
disbelieved discounted
told it doesn’t
matter get on
with your life
it may be
used against you
you’re just hurt again.
women from certain cultures will be believed because you know, those men. but if he is mainstream why wouldn’t you want to be married to him?

if you are poor, meek, broken down you may be believed (stereotypes) if you regained your power, you’re very vocal, asking for support, you don’t look like an abused woman.

when you talk about it you can’t move past it constantly justifying I’ve done enough.

relive it over and over again I’m tired. I don’t want to deal with it any more.

the sorrow, the sorrow the hurt, the hurt the pain, the pain when it identifies who you become you’re very lost.

I’m not a major survivor but I think we’ve all had something in our lives. I’ve never looked at it as ‘surviving.’

I hope to learn from it. continuing to talk brings me back.

I’m a survivor is a good word a strong word but one woman hated it. I’ve never looked at it as ‘surviving.’

I don’t want to be remembered as a “survivor.” it was horrendous. it was done.

like being naked, there’s a limit. I lost half my family. you could say I’m a survivor of all that.

some never tell.
Needs

**Personal Characteristics**

Financial. That’s how they control.
You’ve got to be able to take your losses.
there’s nothing of great value if you’re dying inside.
Run with a bag if you have to.
but that’s not for everyone.

You make time to look at it
an internal commitment to do that
so some just aren’t willing.
some take medication in hopes that they don’t have to look at it.
which is fine. their choice.
healing is relative.

You can take that and carry on or let it make you stop if you don’t work to get better.

So many women come in black and blue.
How they recover? At some point they must want to let that go.

Sometimes people are ready to work sometimes they’re not as ready.

(meaning)

One of the most inspiring things is the courage the spirit that will not lie down.
a lot of women don’t even have the courage to say hey, I need help. women don’t.

if you reach out for help and don’t get it where is the courage to do it again?

Sometimes things immobilize us until we tap into that thing that makes us strong.
recognize where your strengths are, where you need to grow, to find connections.

when you start to heal all that repairs itself if you can recover the strength and courage to say this is how you make me feel. To leave.

(meaning)

I allowed someone else to control me.
I used to look in the mirror and think, ugh!
Now I don’t see that person.
I like who I am. I’m vibrant, happy in control of my life and my destiny.

(meaning)

I’m healing because I want to heal.
I want to move on. It’s made me much wiser.

Not everybody is into that. not everybody wants to. It’s not the right time, or whatever.

(meaning)

I had the courage.
Intangible

you need time, often.
very traumatized people
it takes them years. 

(time)

sometimes you have to
rip everything down
that terrible nothingness
until you can
rebuild again

your identity is lost
who you were
who you are
you have to
find yourself

women reinvent themselves
change their given name
cut their hair
get tattoos or piercings
silly as that sounds.

you’ve got to
have a self.
then you get
to work on
the esteem part.

(identify)

you’re just like
a hollow shell.

you need to know your choices trust yourself
be taught how
to make decisions (self-trust)
because that whole step
has been taken away.

for years all
I wanted was
to be truly
hugged by someone
who loved me.
it was just
something I craved.
had to be
the craziest thing.

(back home I
had my grandma
had my aunts
around the corner.
now I don’t.

I got to the
point where I
didn’t have anyone.
I’d already hidden
what was going on.
you’re by yourself.
how do you
face people?
what do you say?

I don’t want to
look at a man
deal with a man
a man doctor
a man officer
a man anything.
I need to
talk to
a woman.

(care)

you should have
someone to sit
with you when
you’re scared.
someone to call
and they come
and get you.
someone who’s going
to let you cry
let you get
angry and say
you’ll get through it.
just make it
to the end
of the day.
tomorrow will take
care of itself.
someone to listen
to your secrets
and say,
you’re okay.
it’s not
your fault.
it’s going to
get better,
hopefully.

that strong
friend who can
speak for you,
go to the
doctor’s, have
a cup
of coffee.

(respect)

you should
put his name
on school forms
not argue with
the teacher
go here
say this
act this way
do that

follow the norms
a certain way
you’re supposed to
or else.
your insides
are enraged.
you want to
be respected.
you’re so vulnerable.
no should-do-ers.
I was always
doing it wrong.
Tangible needs

what women need is to be able to use a gun. is a sandwich and a cup of tea. is to change medically cleared. literally stitched up. cereal for the kids. remove yourself if we make the kids happy the woman will stay. Not everyone can.

chocolate is a really important part of recovery.

we have a really good protocol, with all the community partners - people want cereal for the kids to feel safe first and foremost.
constantly under scrutiny by all of us police crown attorney they come for safe haven first family lawyers counselors and sometimes probation officers we send them Interval House safe haven first community partners.

networks are there, to feel safe police crown attorney.
in the community. first and foremost.

as long as family lawyers counselors by all of us

they want helpInterval House
counselors
we can provide

it for them.

networks are there, Interval House

as long as

they want help

we can provide

it for them.

the first thing the woman will stay.

you need is not matter how hard change something.
a place to live no matter how hard change something.
money financial assistance.

domestic abuse the kids happy

it’s the financial the kids happy

hook that gets the kids happy

every one of them.

they’d be out the kids happy

ten times sooner

if they could support

themselves and their children.

the issues are identified.

the nets are in place.

the nets are in place.

the first thing

you need is

a place to live

money financial assistance.

domestic abuse

it’s the financial

hook that gets

every one of them.

they’d be out

ten times sooner

if they could support

themselves and their children.

we deal with that

three times a day.

they don’t care

what you’re going through.

the plate glass window.

I don’t want

to scream my name out

in a room full of people

I don’t know.

I don’t want

to say my name.

I don’t want

to say anything.

I don’t want

to be here.

they don’t care

what you’re going through.

the plate glass window.

I don’t want

to scream my name out

in a room full of people

I don’t know.

I don’t want

to say my name.

I don’t want

to say anything.

I don’t want

to be here.

they don’t care

what you’re going through.

the plate glass window.

I don’t want

to scream my name out

in a room full of people

I don’t know.

I don’t want

to say my name.

I don’t want

to say anything.

I don’t want

to be here.

they don’t care

what you’re going through.

the plate glass window.

I don’t want

to scream my name out

in a room full of people

I don’t know.

I don’t want

to say my name.

I don’t want

to say anything.

I don’t want

to be here.

they don’t care

what you’re going through.

the plate glass window.

I don’t want

to scream my name out

in a room full of people

I don’t know.

I don’t want

to say my name.

I don’t want

to say anything.

I don’t want

to be here.
it’s like being hit by a car
all of a sudden you’re lying there wounded from head to toe in every aspect of the word and now you’ve got to fight for your life.

you need information about resources, referrals the fear is big you don’t absorb take stuff in so it has to be reinforced.

you want to insult me? call me by my married name.

it’s been five years. the school won’t recognize it.

I couldn’t change my kids name. I needed permission. Finally he’s no longer knocking at my door. No way am I going to go find him.

Trust

in order to heal you need to trust somebody you need to trust yourself.

maybe I was just fortunate I didn’t have that desire to go back. Thank God. I did go back the first time. I keep forgetting about that. I keep forgetting about that. all that brainwashing. he had it drilled in my head that financially I couldn’t. how do you trust yourself?

relationships? they’re out. I cannot trust myself.

most say I don’t trust anybody I have walls around me. but trust is a relative thing. one trustworthy person helps the healing. rebuilding trust is gradual. things in your upbringing make it more or less likely, faster or slower.

trust the process, trusting the client to preserve their life.

we don’t listen to ourselves. your gut will lead you.

our head is incredibly brilliant at deceiving us all the time. I scramble to be worthy of the trust that’s already given. it’s not about me being trustworthy. I am. I know that. you don’t. you’re not going to feel that all the time.
### Making sense

Viktor Frankl said people do make sense of their experience, rightly or wrongly. trying to figure out why it happened is accepting blame on yourself again.

I can backtrack. I can see how the chains, the links. sometimes it causes problems, sometimes it leads to healing. I don’t think it needs to make sense.

I am caught between compassion for him as a child and hatred for who he became. some degree is possible some of the time but the big question doesn’t really make too much sense. the why isn’t ever answerable because the offender doesn’t say why. not very often.

there was abuse in my home but I choose not to yell I choose not to use a strap. I had a woman say maybe I was bad in another life that’s why it happened to me. good people can’t fathom the kind of violence some people experience.

almost always there’s childhood issues sexual abuse issues. women say how did I get myself into this? I say, you didn’t. you were set up. Our society, the abuse set you up. We cannot in any way blame you for doing what you’ve been trained to do. but it is your responsibility to look after your future. I don’t think I ever went out of my way to hurt another person. what’s the point? why would you? At some point I get so tired of thinking. That’s his issue. it doesn’t say a lot about who I am. Differentiate that. I was socialized into taking care and he needed me so I was kind of hooked. it’s actually unbelievable so not right that it didn’t happen nothing in my world prepared me hard to speak there aren’t words. Hearing the stories is incredibly hard. It upsets your world view and can be a huge shock. what is changes. how can a person? how is that possible? we have some theories, intellectually we can rationalize but that’s not understanding it, really.

my bad relationships stem back to childhood I can say it. I know it’s not my fault. I made these choices because of whatever circumstances. my entire life has gotten me here. something you don’t even remember is extremely difficult to understand I’ve got this great brain here likes to take a chunk throw it out the window and it comes back every so often. I don’t need to understand anymore why I had a gun to my head did this drug got into places whatever. That’s what happened but I don’t need to understand.
Time

there’s a lack of understanding the time it takes to even resume a semblance of a life.

If somebody had mentioned it might take another 15 years it might have been easier.

I’ve done 15 years of therapy and it’s not ever going to end. it’s part of you that died.

Helping

(labels)

I don’t get hung up on words.

my job is helping the person name their particular problems encouraging reflection within experience.

labels are useful to me in terms of treatment.

I don’t get hung up on words.

my job is helping the person name their particular problems encouraging reflection within experience.

labels are useful to me in terms of treatment.

it’s overwhelming.

women out there right now, this afternoon, who don’t know if they’re being abused.

diagnosis can be insult or awakening some say, I finally know what’s wrong with me.

we’ve said that for years and years and years but it never happens.

what is trauma?

something that shakes you to the core violates your self esteem people don’t come out just normal from something like that.

most people with mental health diagnosis child abuse and adult trauma.
as long as it doesn’t replicate the power dynamics of the abuse.

I come at it from, well, probably a feminist point of view. the two of you working together. each with a level of expertise. she was me but for the grace of god it could be us switching. me doing this work, yes but tomorrow I may need her help. after all those years working in the shelter the more I do the less I know.

I listen more than I talk. the woman guides the process. she lets me know what she needs.

I’m like a coach a witness to hear your story. a little kindness and respect can go a long way.

I have this talent of writing and maintaining eye contact. noticing what happened to you.

How do you heal?

every woman is different. everybody has to do what they can with the tools they are given judging for themselves what works for them. that’s all.
different stages - emergency out of whack suicidal addicted all kinds of things. (process) trauma memories you need a strong foundation a crisis plan. trust is absolutely essential.
some are not comfortable being pushed they feel they’re going to fragment, fall apart. people need to have hope they’ll get better.

knowledge is power to make change (understanding) hopefully. hopefully. the important beginning piece is understanding. the kernel of abuse is that they have no power. facing feelings internal responses what you allowed how you were trained what you can do taking those steps.
Abusers

there’s nothing
they don’t do.
they’re like con artists.

when my ex
walks into the school
he’s got this whole façade going
I could just *spit* on him
it makes me so mad.
It makes him look good
and I look like a pain.
They don’t know who he is.

you think
what made me fall
into that trap?

always trying
the little boy
as opposed to this
monster you see
all the time.

what kind of
relationship is that?
and you can’t.

forget the little boy
you’ve got to see
the man.

you’re young
you’re in love
you see the
little boy inside them.
but the shell
it’s tough.

I come to a
point of realization
unfortunately
he’s lost a little soul.
You can’t change them.
I lost respect for him.
Once you lost that
you don’t get it back.

it’s pretty hard
to accept, you know.
you put me
through hell
and you sit here -
you don’t voice it
but things start changing.

he still tries.
I shake my head.
what is it
you don’t understand?

my greatest
moment was when
I said, you no
longer control me.

This is my life.
He still doesn’t
understand.

we’re not the problem.
the problem is male violence.
buddy over there,
somewhere he learned that.
it takes a man
challenging them, saying
no, you can’t
do that, dearie.
something meaningful
in their face.

I have very seldom
seen an abusive man
change his ways.

all the stuff
we have in schools
all the mental health
all the ads
all the public ed
all the everything.

it’s not working.
it’s not getting out to them.
abusers don’t
listen to that.

the victim wants
the offender punished
to have treatment
the system to fix them.

education isn’t enough.
programs for abusive men
that focus on violence
as learned behavior
have a one percent
success rate.
you have to touch
the emotional part.
Collective analysis

recovery programs have to be done in context. we aren’t just doing it for ourselves. this isn’t a women’s issue. it’s the planet’s issue. it’s a social issue. what we see on TV with other people in books, movies it’s how people view women. the media is so complicit in the status quo there’s no justice.

a lot of times as women we look beyond ourselves and see there are people who have suffered more we have this illusion we’ve made it as women the norm is often what is abusing women.

it amazes me the amount of times it still happens. we think we’re being so progressive. women are still not feeling good about themselves.

we are still collectively beginning to tell the stories of ourselves as women. no more silence. sheer grit and determination as a group, no more. never again. this is your journey but not just your journey it’s the journey of many women. I am the first woman born a person in my family. while I’m old I’m not that old.

you won’t be angry that other people didn’t do anything. you won’t be angry at a system that doesn’t work for you. you won’t be angry at them. get well, shut up, go away. the names are put on to keep systems safe. something is very wrong in our world.

I find the injustice difficult. I bring it home. sometimes anger colors your world. the unfairness. the unfairness. sometimes the only means of protest has been through hurting yourself. we are caught in our time and place in history.
Intervention ideas

more affordable therapy. first stage groups
people who are second stage groups
well enough to be functional then kind of nothing.
are walking wounded.
six sessions is really (therapy)
not going to help
trauma very much.

for a lot of women places of women
the women’s movement where there is
groups and stuff time, understanding, support
become the place to express, to rest,
where they heal to be held.
find those supports It’s so easy to forget
build their trust there’s so many of us
you’re not crazy, while we have differences
you’re abused. there’s comfort

when you forget in just being together.
why you struggle like a 24 hour
to stay down drop in center.

I think of (women’s centers)
the school system. bringing guidance
making psychology counselors back.
make violence psychologists
compassion empathy in each and every school.
counselors back. no waiting lists.
part of the curriculum on the level
at ground level with the kids (schools)
as early as possible. not subject to
kids know, not fair. those damn budget cuts
there’s a sense just a right.
of anger with it
which I rather enjoy. male and female.
never lose it. paid full time. grade five, six, seven
it’s precious. someone coming in
we don’t talk reminding the next year.
about justice that you could say no.
in the curriculum. It’s okay. You have

I would like to If someone tried
I got raped, got it’s against the law.
I got hit, to make you
put down, whatever you say we’re
I always thought it was the norm. politically correct.
The choral recitation ends. One by one, five women step forward to speak. As they do so, they become more visually solid and well-defined.

**Stella**

I used to think who are these people?
I want to run away from this.
I didn’t understand everything so nice
in deep denial it was pretend.
Lovely people very sick.
my whole live observing,
getting red flags, breaking up fights.
Like being strapped to a bomb.
I look my father’s spitting image.
mum attempted suicide three times.
so much shame she stayed with dad.
sometimes it’s terminal.
mum drank herself to death.
I found my sister’s body in mum’s apartment eleven days later.
they don’t hug you they don’t touch you and there’s her body five feet from me.
they called the victim crisis unit.
she made me a cup of tea and she held me.
you don’t know how far that goes, the kindness of strangers.

**Belinda**

One time coming out from this horrible, horrible relationship
I went to my mom’s and my brain just said, enough.
I woke up three days later and my mom said I think you had a nervous breakdown.
She goes, it’s okay.
I took care of you.
I had to go do these things
but my brain didn’t interfere with my feet.
it was probably god-sent or spiritual or whatever you want to call it.
my feet did it for me.
my brain didn’t work it was so badly- whatever.
I did what was needed.
and I didn’t trust myself for a very long time.
It took a long time just to walk out the door.
I was young.
I didn’t have a clue.
I never questioned it.
It was normal.
Jen

You see little things
and don’t recognize them.
I tried to get
out of my first
date with him.
He just absolutely refused.
that annoyed me a little bit.
It used to be the joke.
or one time
he fell down
the basement steps
only a few steps
but he punched
a hole in the wall
he was so angry.
he was never
one to physically hurt you.
he’d go on rampages
which was worse.
slamming the cupboards,
the doors.
disrespect for property.
you think, oh,
he’s got a bad temper.
he’s an Irishman.
well, we don’t come
with instructions, hey?
No one comes with instructions.

In those relationships
you don’t feel
like a good person.
You’re the one
that’s done all the wrong
never do anything right.
Guilt, blame, shame.
You don’t know
what to do with them
always trying to please
make everyone happy
it’s like you’re
a mediator in this nightmare.

I keep thinking
I see my mother in law.
she died during the separation.
she was good to me,
you know. And I didn’t
have a chance
to say goodbye to her.
Every once in a while
I’ll see this
little old lady
I’ll think it’s her.
It’s the strangest thing.
She had a hard life.
I can understand
what made her
the woman she was.
they were always
gruff with mom
a lack of respect
toward their mother.
she was this
kind little old lady
would do anything
for these kids.
baking, cooking, you know.
she’d put up with them
being ignorant with her.
and you’d think, wow.

She left in the end
after 38 years of marriage.
She found the courage.
I could understand her fear
of not having her children around.
She knew.
She knew.
But I understand, now.
Tammy

When you hit
crash rock bottom
you need someone
to watch over you.
I had my parents, my family.
I remember like
it was yesterday.
I had my son
who was three
I packed everything
in the car
and took off.
My parent’s home
I was raised in
was always a safe place.
The minute I stepped
on their property
the minute my feet
touched that grass
I felt my body start to burn.
I went through the motions
son bath snack bed
before my head
hit the pillow
I was out.
I had no period.
I was 107 pounds.
I had nothing left in me.
You’re broken.
Your spirit is broken,
your body is broken,
your soul.
You need someone to
put their energy around you
and say, you’re safe.
Once you’ve got that
you can’t do everything
but you can
start to survive
little by little.

Victoria

You lose
part of yourself.
I lost a tangible thing
partial functioning of my brain.
I couldn’t believe
he’d taken that too.
It was just stunning to me
to lose part of your function
just blew me away.
Two years later
I still thought
it was my fault
and I did have
counseling and so on.
For three years
I tried everything
to recover that part
then I got to acceptance.
now I have to be
a different person.
so what am I going to do?
We all want
it better for the next woman
so they don’t lose
that part of themselves.
it’s a method of survival.

Sophie: (to the participants) Can you show me what recovery looks like?

* A piece of paper blossoms in each woman’s hand. As they give their papers to Sophie, they turn into moths. Each is unique, but constantly moving, so we can’t quite see them.

* They circle Sophie’s head, crawl up her sleeves, and land on her hair and face. She struggles to be calm and still, to not brush them off.*
Mine’s the shelter
first line of defense
hunkering down
dark clouds
I’ve worked there
for 28 years
every year we
have more calls.

They’ve often said
I feel I have
a guardian angel
when they say that
when a woman tells me
she feels some level
of spiritual protection
I feel so hopeful
really very positive
and its just said
to me so
many times, that.

The squares are
the rooms
one per family
children all over
women come
with kids, right?

all these
crooked little paths.
I don’t know
how people
come to us,
and they go away
and they often
come back.

nobody makes it
on the first go around.

One of the things
that dies in a shelter
I think, is hope.
Hope for what
they’ve had in the past.
And it’s a
terrible, terrible thing
to come to a place
where you have no hope.

Almost you have to
give up that hope
in order to
make a new hope
but just that
in between time
is extraordinarily
difficult and
some women
don’t get there.

I turned 65 this year
I found I can’t not work.
I have such admiration
for the women.
I do.
Just to be able to go on.
Just, go on.

I don’t know if
that’s recovery
or not but
one step in front
of the other is sometimes
the greatest act
of courage
you think you can see.
Just, that.
All the stops
along the way.
My aura, my feelings
branch out,
start again
stop again.

This is years.
This is years.
This isn’t a
day, or anything.
It stays really mucky
for a long time.

This part is without
anyone in my life
there’s not as much chaos
but it still
comes out everywhere
job, house, kids, whatever.
It’s still there.
this is where it’s going.

I’m still post,
if you ask me.
I’ll be post probably
the rest of my life.
Post depression, post this,
post whatever.
You get bursts of happiness
and then it just
gets into the shit again.

the first thing
I thought of
was my aura or chakra
I want it to bleed out
all the time
because for me
it was constant.
it still is.
it’s much better
but there’s still
a helluva lot
of dark blue depression
the green and black
are the real shit
even looks like
shit, the colors.
Shit. All the shit
coming out of me.
The black is –
I don’t know.
Constipation.
I started down here first time, probably
armed camp for a lot of us
like a prisoner, you’re able to
the wire and everything.
the monster. be vocal about
then coming out what’s happening.
walking through flames. it’s a voyage
seethe with rage of discovery.
get your kids
try and move on.

finally seeking
serenity.
All I wanted
All I have
ever asked for
is quiet.
I just want peace.
I just want
some peace.

the community of
women who care
what happens to you.
The shelter workers
were a lifeline
for me. I was.
almost murdered.
I had a
young daughter
and had a
very hard time
with survivor guilt.
I was so badly hurt
I though it would
have been easier for her
if I had just died
and he went to jail
and she had a chance at life.
The shelter workers
really brought me back
so “choose life” means a lot to me.
They encouraged me
to see things can change.
I have all kinds of possibilities.
Cheryl

I started my journey in the well not realizing I’d been abused. It took some time to come to that awareness.

I kind of sprung out of it and went, wait a minute broke free and then plummeted. I felt like I was drowning, that first year.

The next few years very tumultuous Then I broke out again. Okay, I’m fine! I’m fine! Whoops! No, I’m not completely fine.

It wasn’t as visible, people didn’t believe me. From my mom I got, you have to treat your man like a king. Alright, thanks for that.

This is a 16 year journey of finally being able to see the light having ground around me or under me most of the time but sinking into the waters.

It was awful, after discovering awakeness having to bury it again.

The only way I was able to let go was through art, dance body movement. I had to let go. Words weren’t working.

Art for me is very simplistic. I actually hate it. It is something I have never enjoyed. I almost did a numbers graph.
The path starts
low and black
tension, confusion
red spikes of
rage anger frustration
walking alone but
friendships support
is a huge part.

color changing
not really linear
more circular
when it’s down
it’s hard to see
it’s going up.
action motivated
feminist movement
connected fighting
for women’s rights
is a huge part
of healing.

movement is huge
in any healing process
so, dance. Yoga
but I don’t
do it yet.
Body, being able
to feel from
the neck down
which is really
really hard
in this process
for me.

being angry.
I’m not able yet
so I guess
I’m having
a hard time
going it out.
But I want to be.
That’s why the
boxing gloves
are so big.

For me it’s not
recovery of who I was
because too many things
started happening
right away.

Trying to heal, to grow
is a birthing of myself
represented in a plant
you are nurturing.

Learning to be understanding.
I can do self compassion
for other women
but it’s very, very hard
to do it for myself. To trust.
You begin a circular journey caught in a cocoon embryonic pushing not fully formed giving birth to yourself this little sprout

Nebulous uncertainty is just shriveled and dry pieces of discovery this little sprout

hiking boots feels like a maze
woods canoe water dead end
very spiritually connecting turn around

looking at the clock try a different direction
damn, when is this kind of lost
going to be finished?

chocolate self care dancing responding
the elephant never forgets feel good sexuality

surrounded by spiritual meditation

looking down the waterfall

looking down reflecting.

search for safety
want to withdraw
These represent different struggles emotions you go through. moments when you cry like a baby throw yourself on the floor cry out everything your pain the thing you would have liked to have done Every once in awhile it just comes back to you That’s the hardest part. When you have to make changes in your life you’re travelling from spot to spot but in your mind you’re all over the place. all that weight carrying that guilt it’s a long haul and you still go back there every once in a while. you’re cheering for yourself. Whoo hoo! Having that bath You really feel alive like I do today. You don’t, then. so much peace around the flowers It’s a long journey. you have to figure how many years I spent heading downhill on an emotional level. Takes many years to get back up there. You’re dying so suppressed so unaware of your needs your wants, your desires your dreams. Everything’s locked up like a bottle. You can’t grow become the person you are. There’s days when I feel like a hero. Like superwoman I done this let’s see what else I can do. When you walk out of that marriage that’s a battle. That’s a big one.
Stella

I’m smiling here because the abuse is finally over. It took an immense amount of tragedy. It was terrible what happened in Yellowknife.

We were never together again after that. God had a hand in that. If we had stayed I could have died. I’m telling you, this is what I see here. My life was spared.

I knew there was a reason to be here today. It’s just amazing. That’s what I saw.

A really, really long road like the roads everybody went their own way.

None of us deserved that but we had to break apart to live so I was able to go on and have two children who didn’t grow up knowing this. The cycle was broken.

For some reason I always felt that Great Slave Lake is where my sister’s ashes need to be.
June

beginning all the confusion
the crying,
feeling lonely.

I like the beach ball
something I use
to help sexual abuse survivors
understand the process.

when you first
start to look
its overwhelming.
a beach ball
right in your face.
the only way
to see anything else
is a supreme effort
to turn your head.

at some point
the ball sits
on the table.
in front of you.
all you have
to do to see
the rest of the world
is turn your eyes
but it’s still
the center
of what is going on.

further along
the ball gets
put in a corner
of the room
most of the time
you focus on
other stuff but
now and then
it’s still there.

the final stage
the ball goes into the attic.
it’s still in your
consciousness but
you can choose
to look at or deal with it.
you never really
get rid of it
but you can get to
the point where
you are in charge
of your life
and you choose.

the sky is the limit
fly like an owl
I couldn’t find
an eagle and
owls represent
wisdom, too, hey?

well, big optimist, here.

messy nonlinear
experiment playing
interesting to see
how absorbed you can get
in this stuff.
Heather

This is an oasis.  
I am a shelter worker  
my interaction  
is very sort of  
in a crisis.

Listening today  
affirms what I do  
to help women move along  
to be there when  
no one else is.

I enter and  
do what I can  
but they can’t stay forever.

they come  
on their own journeys  
with the rest of their lives.

here’s woman  
taking a moment  
to experience her own life  
in a way she may not have  
before she came to us.

I was thrilled  
with these camels.  
it was like  
the pile of paper  
knew I needed  
a picture of a camel.
This is kind of the whole process of working through it. There’s a little better time but you go back into it goes all around. then slowly over time it clears up, it changes. we have more life and less of this turmoil.

it was fun. I like doing that stuff.
right after it starts
it’s all blurry
this is how I feel
with police courtrooms
judges lawyers
that’s all me down there.

I feel really at peace in nature.

becoming educated talking
taking back my power
what I can control and can’t
my dreams and journeys.
Alice

not prophetic, I have to say
the colors are the paths we have
dished out to us

fairly controlled
what we’re allowed
to express, experience

like a book, or a window
the fun thing of life
is getting to know, open, see inside
glimpse who you really are.

Shirley

It’s self explanatory, really. In my mind it is, anyways.
It’s like swimming, gardening, being under a microscope.
Anna

Mine is just the end feelings.

Anne

Just coming in,
a bit of the drowning effect
and fixing them up
with whatever it takes.

whatever it takes
from a medical perspective
then just feeling good
about yourself.
Anne: *(as she gives Sophie her piece of paper)* I have no idea what you’re doing. Really.

But my god, you’re a good baker. *(she becomes a moth)*

*Ubah has no paper. She is a bit sniffly.*

Ubah: *(to the committee)* Sorry, I had to go. I was allergic to the cat. *(sniffs)* But it was so interesting to come. So helpful for me in my work. Thank you. *(she transforms)*

Dr. White is last; *she has no paper. She looks around, calm and amused.*

Dr. White: Shall I sing instead? *(She opens her mouth to sing and transforms).*

**Scene Two: Assemblage**

Dr. White joins the swarm of moths crawling and flying around Sophie’s body. The ghosts in the audience are speaking to one another; we hear the whispering of wind in dry leaves. Sophie closes her eyes to listen. One ghost, with beautifully styled hair, approaches Sophie and opens her hand. She is holding a small engagement ring. The ghost Angel opens her mouth to call Sophie, and there is a sudden rush, as the vacuum of the enunciatory void pulls all the moths and ghosts into her mouth. She swallows herself and is gone. Sophie opens her eyes.

There is a pause. Peter clears his throat.

Sophie is standing alone in the middle of the room. She turns to the committee, takes a big breath, and speaks.

Sophie: So. What have we heard? In a modernist project, this is where I’d pull out and explain my findings and arguments, illustrated by anecdotes from these women, now read
as primary sources, pinned and displayed under glass. I would elucidate my clear contributions to the glorious project of knowledge. As a postmodernist, I can scoff at such certainties while still craving them. Let us pretend, then, that knowing is possible, and truthful stories are tellable. If I could understand and explain what this means and why it matters, what would I say?

I would say that my findings speak to many issues, but, for the sake of this game, let’s narrow it down to three. I might even give us headings to hold on to, like this:

**Naming**

The way women who have experienced spousal abuse are sorted and labeled has been well critiqued, particularly by Karen Kendrick.¹ Kimberle Crenshaw Williams points out that subordinated people also participate in and sometimes subvert the naming processes imposed upon them.² My findings suggest that women’s ability to do so is constrained by several factors.

Women who have been in abusive relationships must present themselves as appropriately innocent and pathetic victims in order to access services. As Ubah notes, you have to appear meek and broken down in order to be believed and helped; you have to “look like an abused woman.” This means you must not have “regained your power” (Ubah). Such women are expected to “kiss people’s asses, be grateful for

---


everything” (Tammy). The social institutions serving survivors rely on simple
categorizations which erase the complexity and, often, the agency of the “victims.” Olive
observes, “the names are put on to keep systems safe.” Many women thus express
ambivalence about being seen as survivors or recovering.

However, the process of being named may be internalized as well as resisted. For
instance, Jen says, “I must be naïve. I never looked at it as recovering. I understand now.
I do.” She finds fault with herself, rather than the adequacy of the label. This deference is
sustained by our need for validation; like Tammy, we “need to know. Was it? Am I?” Our
impossible histories leave us feeling like we are “going crazy” (Tammy). If the social
institutions we depend on for material support and the therapists we turn to for emotional
support both explain and frame our experience in terms of abuse, survival and recovery,
those labels become powerful and hard to question. Many participants expressed
discomfort with the prevailing terms but found it difficult to even imagine alternative
labels.

This could be a bit like putting on a pair of shoes that pinch and chafe but “go” with
your outfit. We may, on some level, like these labels. After all, being a survivor sounds
tough and hardy, as if there could be a reality TV show about our lives, making
everything we do seem “active and assertive when that is not always the case”. The
language of recovery imposes a certain logic on what may otherwise feel like random
suffering. Recovering is an optimistic verb; it suggests we are doing something, going

---
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somewhere, on a journey that can be predicted and which will eventually end. The labels
don’t quite fit but at least we have something to wear.

The problem is that, like ill-fitting shoes, the labels can make you bleed.
Performing the role of a recovering abuse survivor in response to these external and
internal pressures can provoke a sense of fraudulence, alienation and resentment which
compounds our difficulties with trust. Walking too far in these labels can hurt and
deform. Those who would seek to help us must, therefore, be much more tentative and
careful in naming, recognizing that the prevailing terms are not neutral and do not arise
naturally from our experience. The gap between signifier and signified may be inevitable,
but it must not be ignored.

**Testimony**

The second issue my findings speak to is the problems of testimony and memory.
Several participants described their memories as unreliable. As Belinda puts it, “I’ve got
a great brain here, that just likes to take a chunk and throw it out the window. And it
comes back every so often.” Dawn says “our head is incredibly brilliant at deceiving us
all the time.” Jen, who asserts that she is not haunted – “I don’t think everyone loses
themselves” – also describes, with wonder, how she continually forgets that she is no
longer in the marital home, reaching for the coffee in the wrong cupboard, or seeking the
vacuum cleaner she left behind three years ago. She says, “maybe I was just fortunate I
didn’t have that desire to go back. Thank God.” When her therapist reminds her that she
did, in fact, go back the first time, she shakes her head. “I keep forgetting about that,” Jen
says. “I keep forgetting about that. All that brainwashing. He had it drilled in my head that financially, I couldn’t.”

The participants thus seem to be both affirming and demonstrating the problems with trauma testimony and memory which are well debated in the secondary literature, and which I have discussed earlier. However, none of them seem to experience remembering and telling their stories, in the context of our group interviews, as problematic. In this text, I have similarly offered an apparently smooth and trustworthy narrative, even as I describe the impossibility of such accounts. All of us seem able to know and tell what happened to us. Even Jen, notwithstanding her slip, remains confident in the truth and validity of her own testimony.

The question of the reliability and possibility of testimony thus sits in tension. It seems disrespectful, if not politically and ethically irresponsible, to decide that a narrator who believes herself to be reliable is actually not, particularly when we sit in a position of relative power, and their narratives have been given to us as a gift. At the same time, it seems intellectually dishonest to presume that our testimony – even my own – is unproblematically accurate. As Peter Hodgins notes, our memory is inescapably “shaped by the present context and imperatives”.4 Testimony may work through a sort of placebo effect, rendered potent by our belief in it, rather than its substance, but I balk at the willful delusion this invites. The way out of this impasse may be, somehow, to see ourselves as simultaneously reliable and unreliable, or to find less dichotomous terms in which to think about truth.

I could, perhaps most importantly, offer findings concerning the ethical and practical shortcomings of the recovery paradigm. As this is a complex issue, I’d need to unpack it in pieces.

The first piece relates to the problem of making sense. The recovery paradigm rests on the assumption that understanding our losses is both possible and necessary. The service providers I spoke with who aim to “help” us with this task position themselves as peers, doctors, coaches, witnesses or teachers. They are generally confident that knowledge or comprehension constitutes “the power to make change” (June) and that changing our thinking will, eventually, lead to changing our feelings. They see themselves as receiving and demystifying our memories in order to render our experiences understandable.

All of the participants in this study - we’re just playing, so let’s not call us subjects - have had a lot of therapy. This could be read as indicating our faith in the recovery paradigm. However, many of us still doubt that abuse could ever make sense. As Olive notes, “we have some theories, intellectually, but that’s not understanding it, really.” After fourteen years of therapy, Belinda says, “I don’t need to understand anymore.” This may be read as acceptance, but seems more like a sort of epistemic despair. She adds, “I will never recover.” For many of us, making sense is not working.

Part the problem may lie in how we have positioned our abusers. Alice points out that our experiences are inexplicable because “the offender doesn’t say why.” Abusers, predictably, do not affirm and often actively undermine any explanation in which they are
implicated. We collude in letting abusers off the hook by adopting explanatory models which hinge on the internal and social conditions which set us up. Our childhood sexual abuse, socialization as compassionate caregivers, or the ways in which we have done what we were trained to do (June) may be important pieces of the puzzle, but we allow them to displace the abuser who will not, or perhaps can not, explain himself.

This both obscures the centrality of the agency of the abuser, and shifts responsibility onto the survivor. Belinda says “I can say it. I know it’s not my fault,” but there is a gap between knowing and feeling. If, “because of whatever circumstances, my entire life has gotten me here” (Belinda), then our lives, our choices, are at least part of the problem. Explaining as a process of finding out what is wrong with you may help you see what you can change but it can also involve “accepting blame onto yourself again” (Belinda).

The tendency to blame survivors is perpetuated by the recovery paradigm’s reliance on formulas which reduce the post-abuse journey to a series of predictable and manageable steps. My participants describe and draw their post-abuse processes as “not really linear” (Dawn), a circular journey or maze full of dead-ends (Margo), or a zig-zag path that loops back on itself (Cheryl, Alexa). Their process is not tidy and sequential; as Jen explains, you may appear to be “traveling from spot to spot” while “in your head you’re all over the place.”

Nonetheless, the dominant recovery models outline a series of interlocking, cumulative steps, leading upwards to various articulations of recovery, achieved by the survivor through adjustments in her attitudes and perceptions in a process reminiscent of
feminist consciousness raising. As Molly Andrews argues, many scholars, feminist and otherwise, “believe that most people lack the critical and analytic tools to make sense of their lives”. She notes that Stanley and Wise’s (1983) observation of a three-stage model, beginning with false consciousness and moving in a linear progression through raised and finally feminist consciousness, is still apt. Even feminist therapy, with its focus on equalizing power relations and ostensible refusal to blame the victims, relies on a process in which the steps of recovery can be clearly and externally explained, and the survivor heals through changing herself. This tendency to simultaneously privilege survivors as the experts on our own lives and chart out for us the paths to our healing and enlightenment reflects a pervasive cognitive dissonance which must be surfaced and negotiated if we are to arrive at effective strategies for “helping.” However, this sort of reckoning may be unlikely, as “even those who critique this hierarchical model of consciousness cannot resist placing themselves within it, at the highest level”.

Karen Kendrick confirms that a sort of false consciousness is often ascribed to survivors, which both homogenizes our experience and confers expert power (true consciousness) on an external other. Recovery thus becomes a process of enlightenment that mothers are morally obligated to undergo in order to protect their children from cycles of violence. By extension, our non-recovery also becomes our fault. We are

---
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supposed to make “an internal commitment” to make time to look at it, to do the work (Alexa). You can “carry on or you can let it make you stop” (Shirley). You have to “want to let that go.” If “I heal because I want to heal, I want to move on” (Jen), my not healing can only be seen as a result of failures of will, courage, grit, self-control, or strength. My need for decades or even a lifetime of expensive counseling is attributed to my weaknesses or injuries, rather than shortcomings in the therapeutic constructs and tools with which I am being served.

Peter Hodgins argues that one of the major reasons we go back to the past (imagined or otherwise), unearth the dead and force them to speak again is that we want answers to the questions of "how did we get in this mess?" and, perhaps more important, "who is to blame?" This blame-seeking around events construed as pivotal "allows the chaos of the past and the present to be reordered into stable binaries of authentic/simulated, heroes/villains and victims/oppressors". Our desire for such stable dichotomous stories often trumps our need for absolution, as we would rather be guilty agents than vulnerable and uncertain. This inclines us to accept theories which attribute our experiences to characterological and behavioral flaws which may not be our “fault” but are nonetheless ours to fix. As June explains, “we cannot in any way blame you for doing what you’ve been trained to do. But it is your responsibility to look after your future.”

---
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Patti Lather points out that “theory is too often used to protect us from the awesome complexity of the world”.10 The project of “making sense” presumes a hierarchy of consciousness, in which the intellect can encompass and demystify profoundly emotional, somatic, relational experiences. It reveals the extent of our attachment to rational, dichotomous, orderly explanations. Like Cinderella’s stepsisters, we would cut off our own unruly toes and heels in order to fit within these crippling paradigms – the modernist scaffold in which we are hanging. No wonder, then, that Olive says “recovery has almost a cruel sound to it.”

(I could give you a subheading, here, but I’m really not allowed to discuss it with you. I’m invisible and certain, clear and masterful, so sensible you could read me with your eyes closed).

The second, related piece of what’s wrong with the recovery paradigm has to do with its reinforcement of the status quo. Jenny Edkins observes that the survivor seeks resistance, but is confronted by state-supported normalisation and medicalisation:

The aim is recovery, or the reinsertion of survivors into structures of power. Survivors are helped to verbalise and narrate what has happened to them; they receive counseling to help them accommodate once more to the social order and re-form relationships of trust… if this fails, then the status of victim of post traumatic stress disorder serves to render the survivor more or less harmless to existing power structures. In contemporary culture victimhood offers sympathy and pity in return for the surrender of any political voice.11 Ubah observes that this political silencing is enforced; “you must follow the norms a certain way you’re supposed to, or else.” Well-behaved survivors should tell their stories, truthfully, but only when appropriate; undue interest in our past trauma may be seen as an
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indulgent dementia, possession by ghosts, or a calculated (and suspect) effort to mobilize support for particular interests. If we have children, we should develop business-like co-parenting relationships with our abusers, sitting sweetly beside them in parent-teacher interviews. Like Tammy, we cannot spit when they lie. We should work to put our experiences away, like June’s image of a beach ball in the attic, and we should not be angry. Olive explains, “you won’t be angry that other people didn’t do anything. You won’t be angry at a system that doesn’t work for you. You won’t be angry at them… Get well, shut up, go away.”

Karen Kendrick notes that one of the most damaging aspects of the recovery paradigm is that it foregrounds women’s emotional needs over their material ones, as if, through personal transformation, we could feed our children and move on with our lives. In practice, we often defer our emotional needs to address more pressing and intractable resource problems, such as poverty, unemployment, inadequate housing, and substance abuse. In this inquiry, the need identified as most pressing is not personal healing; it is financial security. Because we have been at home with our babies, or financial control was part of our abuse, or we’ve had to leave our jobs (because we’ve moved, or he’s stalking us, or our children have gone wild with grief), or perhaps just because we are women in a sexist economy, many of us end up “crying at the bank” (Victoria). We are supposed to accept gratefully the disrespect that comes with being a beneficiary of social services, the dehumanizing and demanding systems by
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which Canadian society manages and instructs the poor and marginal. We are supposed to accept the impossible social assistance levels and the insurmountable paperwork that comes with it, each page dripping in social stigma. Neoliberal economic policies, with their austerity agenda and social program cuts, have compounded the financial difficulties we face in our efforts to move on. We move from one system of fear and control to another. Our failure as self-sufficient adults reinforces our sense of inadequacy and shame.

The challenges we face in feeding and housing our families often mean we don’t have time to do the ‘work’ of recovery (Tammy). We are supposed to recover quickly – within a couple of years – but many of us find this expectation unrealistic. As Victoria says, “it might take 10 years to get to the point where you can start to rebuild your life. It might take 15 years. It might never end.” Our recovery timeline is shaped by financial considerations; the medical system seems unable or unwilling to provide long-term, stable mental health services, and ongoing private counseling is prohibitively expensive. If we fail to recover on time we are pathologized as depressed or dependent. “There’s an expectation,” Shirley explains, “if you’re through the court stuff, you’ve got custody, you’re fine.” But many of us are not. As soon as we are “well enough to be functional” we become “walking wounded” (Dr. White). We are then perceived as failed survivors, like non-compliant patients.

Responding to the evidence of an unjust social order, as it manifests in women’s lives, as a series of tragic or pathetic female emotional and mental problems is a longstanding cultural tradition, perhaps most notably exemplified by Freud’s decision
that the middle-class Viennese hysterics in his care suffered from their repressed desire for, rather than experience of, sexual abuse.\textsuperscript{15} The emotional work of surviving is important and daunting, but it conveniently displaces the tangible needs and injustices in which the state is more directly implicated. “While we are being exhorted to focus on our feelings, a lot of people are falling through the cracks in our society”.\textsuperscript{16} The goal of the recovery project is our personal happiness. However, as Linda Kauffman argues, “my happiness, frankly, is not very important in the grand scheme of things. I never thought feminism was about happiness. I thought it was about justice”.\textsuperscript{17}

Many of us seem to agree with her. Despite widespread anxiety about the decline of feminism, and the personalizing, pathologizing tendencies of the recovery paradigm, all of the women I spoke with understand their experience as the outcome of an unjust social order, rather than a private mental health problem. Many place their experiences firmly in a social and political context, often in explicitly feminist terms. As Ubah explains, “the norm is often what is abusing women.” We are left “surviving from surviving” (Tammy).

While we are not a representative sample, my participants’ degree of political commitment is striking. For some, this is a coping method; we survive through our work to make “it better for the next woman, so they don’t lose that part of themselves” (Victoria). As such, we call for broader, systemic solutions, such as anti-violence education and counseling in the schools, the establishment of women’s centers,

\textsuperscript{15} For a full description of this turn, see Judith Herman, \textit{Trauma and Recovery}, 18-19.

\textsuperscript{16} Kauffman, “The Long Goodbye,” 270.

\textsuperscript{17} Kauffman, "The Long Goodbye," 274.
and a much greater focus on male violence. “We’re not the problem,” Alice explains. “The problem is male violence. Buddy over there, somewhere he learned that.”

There is a fundamental disconnect between the politicized priorities of survivors and the often deliberately depoliticized policies and programs supported by state-funded social services. It is profoundly disrespectful to presume that what we need most is counseling when we are calling for concrete changes in programs and policies. We may be expected and pressured to appear to recover because our unhealed wounds reveal the cracks in the social order. Marlene Goldman thus calls for a refusal of mourning, due to its complicity in hegemonic strategies of assimilation, but pursuing a political survivor mission without attending to our own losses would seem irresponsible at best.\textsuperscript{18} We should not have to choose between docile healing and masochistic activism. Some, like Judith Herman, try to reconcile the personal and the political within their taxonomies of recovery. Rather than seeking to resolve these imperatives, I see them as evidence of the layers of understanding which come into play when we navigate by the stars of trauma.

The recovery paradigm’s lack of revolutionary or subversive impact could perhaps be excused if it actually worked. But – and here is my final point - I am beginning to believe that, by and large, it doesn’t.

Survivors apparently need to have hope that we’ll “get better” (Shirley). Most service providers thus express faith in our resilience, drive for life, and recovery prospects. However, they are not unanimous; some acknowledge that “the aftermath doesn’t go away” (Margo). As June describes, “you can never really get rid of it but you

\textsuperscript{18} Goldman, "A Dangerous Circuit," 368.
can get to the point where you are in charge of your life.” Upon closer examination, their vision of “recovery” looks much more like coping with a chronic illness than “getting better.” Recovery is generally defined in terms of managing the degree to which we are haunted by intrusive, controlling, and disruptive conscious and unconscious, narrative and somatic memories. We learn how to cope when, as Tammy describes, a door in your brain flies open and suddenly you’re shaking. Like Bluebeard, we live with locked rooms.

A couple of important dissonances emerge. First, I am struck by the tension between the variable and modest terms in which service providers explain our recovery prospects, and the absolute investment in recovery implied by their identities and careers. Their enacted commitments tend to obscure their stated reservations. For instance, Dr. White says “I like the idea that people recover … but you don’t necessarily…. You achieve wellness, maybe.” At the same time, however, as a psychiatrist, her identity and occupation are premised on the idea that she can and will evaluate, diagnose and treat survivors of trauma. A similar tension can be seen in secondary sources such as Judith Herman’s *Trauma and Recovery*. In this text, she admits that recovery may not be possible, and might never be complete. However, by setting these comments within the context of a major work describing the process and stages of recovery, she undermines her own caveats. It is as if they are saying, “you can’t do this, but here’s how.”

Likewise, some service providers’ positions seem to drift. For instance, Shirley initially frames recovery as just like a broken bone which, after it is treated, is no longer

---

19 Herman, *Trauma and Recovery*, 211.
painful and even stronger than before. She goes on to say, however, that you could always find the crack with an x-ray; things would be alright for awhile, but it wouldn’t ever really be the same again. Then she muses that it might come back, like arthritis, so “you’d have to take care of yourself.” I understand these mobile and layered positions as reflecting the inadequacy of the recovery paradigm, rather than shortcomings in the service providers.

The second and perhaps most interesting tension which emerges is that survivors seem to be three times more likely than service providers to be pessimistic about our recovery prospects. “You survive because you have to,” Tammy explains. But the story is not coherent. Jen, for instance, sees herself as recovered and says she is not haunted, but also says that “memories can be dangerous. It’s easy to fall into depressions.” Belinda says “I’m still post, if you ask me. I’ll be post probably for the rest of my life. Post depression, post this, post whatever. You get bursts of happiness and then it just gets into the shit again.” Nonetheless, like many of us, she visually represents recovery as a left-to-right, past-to-present process of gradual clearing, lifting, or brightening. I understand these inconsistencies as the signs of an experience chafing in an ill-fitting metaphor.

Implications

The recovery paradigm thus seems to be failing, on a number of grounds. Many of its faults are common to modernist progress-narratives - including, I suppose, this scene. But if recovery is inadequate, how else can we understand the post-abuse process?
As Melissa Orlie explains, thinking can rely on reason if it must only account for “the world according to its reigning terms” but in order to transcend its boundaries thinking requires metaphors. “Metaphor bridges the gulf between the visible and invisible”. According to Hannah Arendt, metaphors turn “the mind back to the sensory world in order to illuminate nonsensory experiences for which there are no words in any language”. In metaphor, "something invisible within us deals with the invisibles of the world”. It is the primary way we can seek to speak beyond the edge of naming.

In this section, I have described the recovery paradigm as unethical in its imposition of labels, enforcement and proscription of testimonial practices, hollow promise of making sense, allocation of power and blame, reduction of complexity, and depoliticized perpetuation of the status quo. It is, perhaps, time to seek another metaphor. The best place to do so is within our own language.

(Sophie takes a step back, and starts to lose her color and shrink. There is a quick drum roll, and she smiles, faintly, before continuing.)

I have been struck, in listening, by the number of times the women in this study, both directly and obliquely, refer to their experiences as a death. This is important because you don’t recover from death.

You don’t recover from death.

This may be why recovery, as a metaphor and as a project, doesn’t quite fit what goes on in the years after leaving abuse. Our survival is a sort of autonomic response -

---

22 Arendt, *Life of the Mind*, 123.
“you survive because you have to” (Tammy). You resume a “semblance of a life” (Victoria). Things which endure their own deaths and have a semblance of a life are zombies, vampires, angels, phoenixes, and ghosts. I argue that new possibilities would emerge if we reframed our post-abuse process in those terms, using the metaphors of the undead and spectral to imagine our way through stuck and narrow places.

The scholarly terrain of spectrality has been well trodden, with Derrida leaving perhaps the largest footprint. In her seminal work, Avery Gordon proposes haunting as a way of apprehending the density, complexity, constrictions and longings of our everyday lives, enabling us to honor and attend to “the things behind the things”.23 Gordon argues that “the ghost is not simply a dead or missing person, but a social figure, and investigating it can lead to that dense site where history and subjectivity make social life”.24 By nature, ghosts “are haunting reminders of lingering trouble”.25 She explains,

What’s distinctive about haunting is that it is an animated state in which a repressed or unresolved social violence is making itself known, sometimes very directly, sometimes more obliquely. I used the term haunting to describe those singular yet repetitive instances when home becomes unfamiliar, when your bearings on the world lose direction, when the over- and-done-with comes alive, when what’s been in your blind spot comes into view. Haunting raises specters, and it alters the experience of being in time, the way we separate the past, the present, and the future.26

She thus ascribes to haunting many of the characteristics which others have used to describe trauma. However, she draws an interesting distinction between the two:

Haunting is a frightening experience. It always registers the harm inflicted or the loss sustained by a social violence done in the past or in the present. But haunting,

23 Gordon, Ghostly Matters, 8.
24 Gordon, Ghostly Matters, 8.
25 Gordon, Ghostly Matters, xix.
26 Gordon, Ghostly Matters, xvi.
unlike trauma, is distinctive for producing a something-to-be-done. Indeed, it seemed to me that haunting was precisely the domain of turmoil and trouble, that moment (of however long duration) when things are not in their assigned places, when the cracks and rigging are exposed, when the people who are meant to be invisible show up without any sign of leaving, when disturbed feelings cannot be put away, when something else, something different from before, seems like it must be done.27

In the confrontation with ghosts, Gordon suggests “we might locate a profound and durable practice of thinking and being and acting toward eliminating the conditions that produce the nastiness in the first place”.28 As she explains, “[t]he way of the ghost is haunting, and haunting is a very particular way of knowing what has happened or is happening. Being haunted draws us affectively, sometimes against our will and always a bit magically, into the structure of feeling a reality we come to experience, not as cold knowledge, but as transformative recognition”.29 She thus sees haunting as a mode of producing knowledge and change. To me, this is an appealing and oddly hopeful way of perceiving the post-abuse journeys we undertake in the aftermath of death.

While many theorists indicate their doubts about the viability of recovery, they persist undeterred in explaining how we ought to pursue it. If, rather than recovering survivors, we are understood as women who have endured our own deaths, what we can and should do shifts in the direction of grief and accommodation. The spectral offers expressive tools which may circumvent and subvert some of the traps in the modernist journey of recovery, and unsettle our common-sense understandings. As ghosts, angels, zombies, vampires, and phoenixes, we gain a range of vocabulary and metaphors for

27 Gordon, Ghostly Matters, xvi.
28 Gordon, Ghostly Matters, xvii.
29 Gordon, Ghostly Matters, 8.
imagining and articulating ourselves into and out of our pasts and futures. And perhaps we can stop feeling guilty for not feeling better already.

As she speaks, Sophie has become very small and almost entirely colorless. She shrugs, and turns into a small brown moth. She flies, a little uncertainly, to land on the table in front of the committee. She waits, slightly trembling, fanning her wings. Each time she does, her wings double. The wings are getting bigger and heavier; she beats them faster to try to bear the weight but they keep increasing. She struggles while the committee watches, a little repulsed but fascinated. It sounds like the shuffling of a deck of cards. Finally, the movement subsides. All that is left is a pile of wings, which resolve into pages, which you hold in your hands, reading.
Act V - In which our story ends.

Scene One: Hope

Sophie and Shawn are lying in bed, in the dark, holding hands. It is very dark and quiet.

Sophie: Isn’t it peculiar that I am so effective and have almost no hope?

Shawn: Your lack of hope is a disease. You got infected and never recovered. It’s what keeps you from being super-über-extraordinary. It’s not your fault, though. It’s the trauma that happened to you.

Pause

Sophie: That would be a good line for my dissertation. I’ll never remember it, though.

Long pause

Sophie: What if this whole thing is just a big projection?

Shawn: I think you’d find a way to use it.

Scene Two: Home

Sophie is typing at her desk.

Dear Participants

My complete dissertation is now up on the project website! You can feel free to log in and read the text. It’s not what I expected to write, so you may also find it a bit surprising.

Dissertations are usually only ever read by a handful of people. I am planning to produce other work based on what I’ve learned from you that will hopefully be much
more widely useful or accessible. I would really like to hear any concerns or thoughts you may have about it.

I have a bunch of ideas about where to go next - I want to talk to more women, to get a better feel for how we see our recovery prospects. I’d like to develop some research-based theatre that could be used in communities to raise funds and awareness about abuse issues. I’m also thinking about a web-based project collecting images of the post-abuse process, and I’d still like to experiment with arts-based play groups for survivors. What I can do next will depend on my funding/jobs but I’ll post updates from time to time.

I could not have done this without your support. I have learned so much from you, and I am eternally grateful -

(A door bangs open).

Dora: (distant) We’re HOME!

Sophie closes her eyes. Her shoulders slump.

Dora: MOM?

Sophie: (opens her eyes, calls) Up here. (starts re-reading the letter, deleting sections)

Dora: (distant, to Ruth) OH mygidgetoutthatheway I have to PEE! (distant commotion)

Ruth  (age 11) enters. Her cheeks are pink.

Ruth: Hey there.

Sophie: (holds up one index finger without turning, finishes typing a line, then spins around to face Ruth) How was your day?

Ruth: (sitting in Sophie’s lap) It was okay. Whatcha doing?
Sophie: Trying to be sincere.

Ruth: How’s *that* going?

*Sophie sighs.*

Ruth: Oh, mom. *(pats her back)*

Sophie: I’m trying to write to my participants.

Ruth: How come?

Sophie: I’ve got to post my dissertation for them. But I’m scared they won’t like it.

They’ll see it as a big rip off academic bullshit thing that doesn’t make a difference in the real world.

Ruth: *(shakes her head).* You worry too much. *(reads on screen, stops suddenly) Hey!*  

Sophie: Hmm?

Ruth: *(eyes sparkling)* If you’re done now, are you gonna be less grumpy?


**Scene Three: 2009.**

*Sophie enters, and speaks directly to you.*

Sophie: I am 37 years old, washing dishes in a partially renovated hundred-year old house in a small Canadian town. Two daughters are doing homework; the third is loading the dishwasher beside me. The phone rings. I dry my hands.

    Hello?

    *(It’s a woman, around my age).*

*Sophie bows, and exits.*

    **Curtain.**
I hope you enjoyed the accompanying piece. You may, however, be left with some questions, such as ‘what the hell is this?’ and ‘how am I supposed to evaluate it?’ Laurel Richardson points out that “credibility is accomplished, in part, through the artistry of the teller ... and, in part, through locating the story within a larger context of genre”.¹ You will make sense of this dissertation (or not) based on your own “treasury of stories”.² This program aims to support that process by offering some background on the representational genres I have called on in presenting this text to you.

Haunted

As Avery Gordon described earlier, knowledge produced about and through haunting comes with its own methodological imperatives.³ We decide what constitutes useful and successful forms of attentiveness and learning from trauma stories based on dominant discourses.⁴ However, an “epistemology of trauma and testimony” subverts and disrupts our typical relationship to history.⁵ Patti Lather describes it as a genre in which “loss becomes the very force of learning”.⁶ Ethical practice, in this genre, demands an

¹ Richardson, *Fields of Play*, 77.
aporetic suspension of “assured ontologies of the ‘real’, of presence and absence, a 
postcritical logic of haunting and undecidables”. Inquiry becomes a ruin in which we are “surprised by difference into the performance of practices of not-knowing”. Jenny Edkins argues that re-inscribing trauma in linear narratives depoliticizes experience, and proposes an alternative she calls encircling the trauma. Given that many of the women I speak to describe their post-abuse process as circular, this approach seems particularly appropriate. This text thus circles through stories and ideas, using what Lather calls “failed accounts” and “subversive reiterations or repetitions” as sites of opportunity and agency.

Postmodern

Haunted knowledge has given up on the hope for “some sort of truth which can tell us how to act in the world in ways that benefit or are for the (at least ultimate) good of all”. It thus reflects the postmodern rejection of what Jane Flax describes as unified, innocent knowledge. Postmodern work “displaces mastery with a recognition that we often do not know what we are seeing, how much we are missing, what we are not understanding, or even how to locate those lacks”.

---

7 Lather, _Getting Lost_, 6.
8 Lather, _Getting Lost_, 7.
9 Edkins, _Trauma and the Memory of Politics_, 15.
10 Lather, _Getting Lost_, 39.
12 Lather, _Getting Lost_, 146.
Derrida calls on us begin “wherever we are: in a text where we already believe ourselves to be”. Instead of mastery, we offer modest, good enough accounts. Rather than a “comfort text” that provides “the consolations of certain meaning and knowing, the romance of knowledge as cure,” we aim for “stammering knowing” that opens categories of analysis through indeterminate, evocative accounts of experience. We produce metaphor, fragmented, polyphonic texts, the meanings of which are dialogically co-created in the encounter between the author, the reader, and the page. Like Laurel Richardson, we may withhold much description or analysis until the end, to invite the audience “to ‘read for’ themselves”, or use language as a means of discovery rather than a vehicle for simple exposition. Our narratives include discussion of “subjectivity, rhetorical moves, problems of voice, textual politics, limits to authority, truth claims, unconscious desires, and so on”. We both tell and deconstruct our stories, complicating reference by “calling into question” and “opening up” terms to release them “into a future of multiple significations” in order to provoke “fields into new spaces where they hardly recognize themselves in becoming otherwise”.

14 Lather and Smithies, Troubling the Angels, 57.
17 Richardson, Fields of Play, 95.
20 Lather, Getting Lost, 106.
Laurel Richardson points out that “at issue is not whether we should write narratives - we always do - but how the stories we tell do and do not reinscribe tyrannies, large and small - do and do not improve the material, symbolic, and aesthetic conditions of our lives”. Responsible scholarship is often described as counter-hegemonic. It demands doubt, humility, and careful attention to our uses and misuses of power.

In this genre, we have no inherent right to knowledge and truth, and should not use academic epistemologies and representational norms to obscure or abstract the “experienced realities of local people”. We are not entitled to “trim and fit our subject’s accounts” into our tidy, cohesive paradigms. Anecdotal or experiential knowledge must be respected and the marginalized are authorized as theorists rather than simply sources of raw data to be mined and interpreted by us. The separation and ranking of (systematic, ‘objective’) expert discourses over (personal, emotional) survivor discourses is rejected. We strive “not to drown the poem of others with the sound of our own

21 Richardson, Fields of Play, 77.
22 The definition of a hegemonic viewpoint is (a) that it defines within its terms the mental horizon, the universe, of possible meanings, of a whole sector of relations in a society or culture; and (b) that it carries with it the stamp of legitimacy - it appears coterminous with what is 'natural,' 'inevitable,' 'taken for granted,' about the social order." Stuart Hall, "Encoding/Decoding," in Media and Cultural Studies: Key Works, ed. Meenakshi Gigi Durham, and Douglas M. Kellner (London: Blackwell, 1980), 175. The problem with being counter-hegemonic is, of course, that you depend upon and reinscribe the dominant discourse you meant to circumvent (see Richardson, Fields of Play, 78).
23 Goodall, Writing Qualitative Inquiry, 176.
24 Smith, Decolonizing Methodologies, 177.
26 Gilles and Aldred, "The Ethics of Intention," 156.
28 Nancy Naples, Feminism and Method, 169.
voices, as the ones who know, the “experts” about how people make sense of their lives and what searching for meaning is”. Of course, we can neither listen to our participants in their own terms, nor can we (or should we) offer their unmediated voices. Accordingly, I have treated the voices of survivors and service providers equally, and positioned myself as an (at best) “unreliable narrator” telling an “imagined history”. Rather than demystifying or evaluating their claims with my expert insight, I approach them for insight and help.

Responsible scholarship also implies a retreat from simplistic narration. Patricia Hill Collins explains that the lives and stories of the marginal can easily be consumed by privileged, passive voyeurs as a form of entertainment or interesting spectacle. The seductiveness of telling women’s untold stories sometimes overpowers caution about the degeneration of those stories into dichotomous, generalized accounts. Patti Lather calls this “a too easy, too familiar eating of the other” which we can avoid through “moving softly and obliquely... not knowing too quickly.” I have tried to avoid placing either myself or my reader in the position of the voyeur, by creating a text that is a little unpredictable and perhaps indigestible.

29 Lather and Smithies, Troubling the Angels, xvi.
34 Lather, Getting Lost, 136; Lather and Smithies, Troubling the Angels, 155.
This demands caution in speaking. As Laurel Richardson explains, “no matter how we stage the text, we - the authors - are doing the staging. As we speak about the people we study, we also speak for them. As we inscribe their lives, we bestow meaning and promulgate values”. Richardson, *Fields of Play*, 148. She warns, “what is text to us may be life to others”. Richardson, *Fields of Play*, 115. While I can reach for a more egalitarian, exploratory, dialogic “speaking with,” I cannot disclaim my accountability and power. Haugo, “Negotiating Hybridity,” 128. Following Lather, however, I can “foreground the limits and necessary misfirings of a project, problematizing the researcher as ‘the one who knows’” to learn how to live in “de-authorized space” that “works otherwise than to secure claims through data”. Lather, *Getting Lost*, 10. Deborah Britzman and Alice Pitt differentiate “lovely knowledge”, which we think we want, from “difficult knowledge” that induces breakdowns in representing experience. My dissertation offers you an experiment in this “difficult knowledge”.40

However, responsible scholarship must also consider to whom it speaks. While the formal written dissertation format is familiar and useful, and a heavily postmodern text may be theoretically satisfying, they are generally only meaningful to a small elite, and may be entirely unintelligible to those we have written about. They have thus been critiqued as “alienating and parasitic” and “almost inherently classist, exclusionary, and

35 Richardson, *Fields of Play*, 148.
36 Richardson, *Fields of Play*, 115.
37 Haugo, “Negotiating Hybridity,” 128.
38 Lather, *Getting Lost*, 10.
41 Gottschalk, "Postmodern Sensibilities and Ethnographic Possibilities," 222.
appropriative". As Trinh Minh-ha states, “a conversation of 'us' with 'us' about 'them' is a conversation in which 'them' is silenced. 'Them' always stands on the other side of the hill, naked and speechless, barely present in its absence". This is the tension Lather negotiates in debating (and ultimately rejecting) her participants’ desire for her to write up their experience as “a K-mart book”. My response to this call is a text that is quite readable but does not fit easily within (and thus privilege) the norms of a particular audience.

Multiple

The genres described above share a common commitment to the presentation of multiple points of view, all of which are admittedly partial. While many scholars have adopted and explained the necessity of this position, it is perhaps most persuasively presented in the work of John Law. He suggests that the world and its structures are not simply technically complex but at least theoretically graspable; they "necessarily exceed our capacity to know them". The world is better understood as "an unformed but generative flux of forces and relations that work to produce particular realities," a tide or flux of general unpredictability within which mapping offers only momentary (illusions of) stability. We live within multiplicity but not pluralism; overlapping realities which

42 Potts and Brown, "Becoming an Anti-Oppressive Researcher," 276.
43 Trinh Minh-Ha, Woman, Native, Other (Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, 1989), 67.
44 Lather and Smithies, Troubling the Angels.
45 See, for instance, Gergen and Gergen, 2000; Mann, 2000; Richardson, 1997; Visweswaran, 1994; Haugo, 1995; Brison, 2002; and Gottschalk, 1998.
46 Law, After Method, 6.
47 Law, After Method, 7.
interfere with one another, but are not fragmented.\textsuperscript{48} This world is fractal; more than one but less than many. Our methods detect and amplify ‘realities’ to produce allegories in which “the realities made manifest do not necessarily have to fit together”.\textsuperscript{49} Allegories relate realities that cannot be contained in a single narrative and present “ways of knowing in tension”.\textsuperscript{50} Law calls for accepting ontological multiplicity and refusing the distinction between the literal and the metaphorical (as various philosophers of science have noted, the literal is always 'dead' metaphor, a metaphor that is no longer seen as such). That we refuse the dualism between the real and the unreal, between realities and fictions, thinking, instead, in terms of \textit{degrees} of enacted reality, or more reals and less reals. That we seek practices which might re-work imaginaries. That we work allegorically. That we imagine coherence without consistency.\textsuperscript{51}

Based on this paradigm, I have taken imagination and metaphor as representational and analytic tools as well as sites of change.

There is considerable debate over how to render these multiple, partial truths textually. I have borrowed from and adapted Laurel Richardson’s “collective story” in which multiple voices speak with direct quotations in a unified chronological narrative. The goal of such stories is to display “an individual’s story by narrativizing the experiences of a social category to which the individual belongs”.\textsuperscript{52} Rather than presenting our voices set against a disembodied backdrop of theory, I have brought the theorists into the conversation.

\textsuperscript{48} Law, \textit{After Method}, 61.
\textsuperscript{49} Law, \textit{After Method}, 116, 90.
\textsuperscript{50} Law, \textit{After Method}, 98.
\textsuperscript{51} Law, \textit{After Method}, 139.
\textsuperscript{52} Richardson, \textit{Fields of Play}, 32.
To make space for this multiplicity I have invoked the genre of play. Play is basic to animals - human and nonhuman - and a “cornerstone of communication”. Benjamin Shepard argues that, like dreams, “it is not a secondary state of reality... but has primacy as a form of knowing”. Play offers a critical and creative mode of engagement which can deal effectively and subversively with serious issues, as a form of social critique and healing. It “gets us out of our theoretical ruts” and involves “creating, experimenting, and finding ways of reconsidering intractable problems”. It is a welcome antidote to the humorless, heavy-handed, unsustainable, and sometimes exploitative representations we produce when our own need to heal has been sublimated into messianic zeal. Tanya Mars points out that the tradition of anti-humor, anti-narrative, anti-entertainment trauma-based representations evoke a reactionary rather than contemplative response and are thus implicitly conservative. She suggests that wit and humor are powerful tools for truth-telling, which Canadian women artists have wielded with particular deft. Humor can “repudiate reality, and thus enable the humorist to resist his or her own subjection”. It disarms audiences and invites them to identify with marginalized subject positions.

---

53 Shepard, "Play, Creativity and the New Community Organizing," 64.
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57 Lash, "You Are My Sunshine."
I have employed play in my engagement with participants, explicitly inviting them to play with various visual materials to show me what “recovery” looks like, and working with them to create relational spaces in the focus groups which welcomed a sort of gallows humor as well as anger and tears. There was a surprising amount of laughter in our meetings. Perhaps more significantly, I have been playful in my staging of the text, playing with meaning, format, language, theory, and my reader, to create a serious text that does not take itself too seriously. Like giving some play to a rope, I am playing the survivor, to offer some slack and space for movement. Play is one of the central motifs of postmodern scholarship. In this project I have played with the representational possibilities of play, while framing the whole text as, of course, a play.

**Magical**

I have also borrowed from magic realism. Texts in this genre offer an alternative to Western rationalism, typically drawing “upon cultural systems that are no less ‘real’ than those upon which traditional literary realism draws - often non-Western cultural systems that privilege mystery over empiricism, empathy over technology, tradition over innovation”. In magic realism, the supernatural is not inherently disruptive or disturbing but rather ordinary and everyday, and ghosts “serve as reassuring figures of memory” withstanding hegemonic pressures to forget. Some Indigenous literatures, rather than

---


61 Herb Wylie, “It Takes More Than Mortality to Make Somebody Dead: Spectres of History in Margaret Sweatman’s *When Alice Lay Down With Peter*,” *University of Toronto Quarterly* 75, no. 2 (2006), 746.
rendering trauma as a tragedy, read it “under the comic sign of the trickster”. Trickster represents the comic, healing, magical, and unknowable in some Native cosmologies and has been borrowed by scholars such as Kamala Visweswaran and Donna Haraway in their reach for a post-empirical role model.

Using magic imagines a space in between knowing and not-knowing. I actually did not intend to use magic in this project. It emerged from my commitment to creating a performative text, in which the form of what I am saying corresponds to the content of my claims. Turid Markussen identifies performativity as “a theory of how reality comes into being” as well as “a deconstructive practice” and “an emergent mode of working through social and cultural research” which achieves “transformations in the terms through which the real is constituted” and demands that researchers remain open to their own alteration. I was not content to discuss the messy, irrational nature of knowledge production in general and trauma and recovery specifically in tidy, rational terms. Magic offered me a concrete means to show, rather than simply tell, this story to my reader, and resituated this project as a genuine exploration rather than an act of entirely conscious and calculated agency.

---

63 Visweswaran, “Fictions of Feminist Ethnography,”; Haraway, “Situated Knowledges”.
64 Markussen, "Practicing Performativity, 329."
The line between the social sciences and the arts is, at best, blurry.\textsuperscript{65} Art can “bypass rationality” and hold “paradoxical truths”.\textsuperscript{66} It succeeds through ambiguity or ellipsis, not didactic closure.\textsuperscript{67} Rather than demystifying something, it “turn[s] an incident this way and that, throw[s] several kinds of light on it, surround[s] it with a certain mood - and then put[s] it back in its place, still unexplained”.\textsuperscript{68} It offers productive ambiguity and a useful complication of understanding.\textsuperscript{69} It thus fits precisely the demands for uncertain, multiple narratives emanating from postmodern epistemologies. It also serves the exigencies of trauma, which necessarily “defies, even as it claims, understanding”.\textsuperscript{70}

Deploying the arts in scholarly discourses has become more common but is still a tricky business. Such work may need to be contextualized in order to overcome the incredulity or amazement of its audiences (hence this program).\textsuperscript{71} However, this can be difficult or impossible to provide as creative processes are often primarily unconscious and the artist/researcher may not consciously ‘understand’ the coded metaphors within their own work until many years later.\textsuperscript{72} Scholars risk “substituting novelty and

\textsuperscript{65} Mienczakowski, "The Theatre of Ethnography," 420.
\textsuperscript{66} Lash, "You Are My Sunshine," 227.
\textsuperscript{67} de Freitas, "Reclaiming Rigour as Trust," 265.
\textsuperscript{68} Robert Fulford, \textit{The Triumph of Narrative: Storytelling in the Age of Mass Culture},” (Toronto: Anansi, 1999), 8.
\textsuperscript{70} Levy, ""This Dark Echo Calls Him Home'"," 877.
\textsuperscript{71} Eisner, "The Promise and Perils," 9.
cleverness for substance” and dabbling in artistic genres without respecting the slow process of cultivating competency within them.

In this project, I use visual, poetic, and theatrical tools. I have some training and proficiency in all three, but see this work as “arts-based rather than full-fledged art”. In the next few pages, I will provide a bit more background on the uses of each.

Visual methods have been used extensively in wide range of inquiries. Sarah Pink (2001) argues that images permeate our lives, work, conversations and dreams, and that their expressive ambiguity can be productively used alone or in combination with other methods. In this inquiry, I use visual methods both as a method of data collection and as a means of representation. The resulting images offer a wide range of concrete symbols and content unexpressed by textual accounts. Because images are not necessarily narrative, there is less pressure to ‘keep the story straight.’ The images also establish a less mediated relationship between the readers and the participants.

Poetry is identified by Laurel Richardson as “a pleasing and credible way to write the postmodern”. Poems, she argues, undercut totalizing truth claims in both the writer and the audience, welcome an embodied reading, and “concretize emotions, feelings, and moods... in order to re-create experience itself to another person”. According to Eliot Eisner, poetry “was invented to say what words can never say”. It is thus well suited to
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unspeakables. Rishma Dunlop argues, “poetry confronts terror, makes it habitable knowledge, beautiful”. The French poet and Holocaust survivor Celan explained that a poem can be a message in a bottle, sent out in the (not always greatly hopeful) belief that it may somewhere and sometime wash up on land, on heartland perhaps. Poems in this sense are always under way, they are making toward something. Toward what? Toward something standing open, occupiable, perhaps toward a "thou" that can be addressed, an addressable reality. Poetry thus serves as a way to communicate experiences that defy narration and as a means of reconstituting internal and external witnessing.

I have used poetic transcription to present my research findings as a verse-form chorus. My hope, as discussed in more detail in Act 3 Scene 2, is to foreground the harmonies and dissonances between the voices of my participants, and offer a sort of open analysis through juxtaposition.

The genre which I have drawn on most heavily is theatre. According to Gregor Campbell, “the magic of theatre is the magic of haunting...The long toil of human suffering hasn’t disappeared without a trace, but it is the task of theatre to make sure that the traces you do find are vocal and physical”. Playwrights do not offer knowledge of trauma but rather emphasize their partial ability to represent it. Vivian Patraka suggests

80 Cited in Felman, "Education and Crisis, Or the Vicissitudes of Teaching," 42.
82 Foreward, in Judith Thompson, Perfect Pie (Toronto: Playwrights Canada Press, 1999), n.p.
they “write what could be called mystery plays, each presenting an enigma without a solution”.83 Like Laurel Richardson, “in drama I found a way to ‘give voice’ to multiple positions, reflect upon and spoof my own, and thereby write pieces that show how openness and reflexivity look and feel, rather than simply talking about it”.84 I offer this text as a dramatically scripted narrative, rather than actual theatre.

The theoretical field addressing the function and form of dramatic writing is rich and varied. In this project, my textual strategies have been heavily influenced by David Mamet’s discussion of the nature of art and the relationship between writers and audiences - that is, between me and you, my reader. He argues:

Artists don’t wonder, "What is it good for?" They aren’t driven to "create art," or to "help people," or to "make money." They are driven to lessen the burden of the unbearable disparity between their conscious and unconscious minds, and so to achieve peace.
When they make art, their nonrational synthesis has the power to bring us peace. The words of the rational mind have no power to bring us peace through art.85 What the rational mind produces is melodrama - “anxiety undergone in safety” - or the problem play, which “offers indignation”. Both forms of false drama “indulge a desire to feel superior to events, to history, in short, to the natural order”.86 Each line and scene leads to a “right” conclusion and good inevitably prevails. Problem plays temporarily relieve anxiety but because they present a false struggle, the anxiety reasserts itself quickly, and we cast about for "another oppressed people to ‘free,’ so we can reassure

83 Patraka, "Feminism and the Jewish Subject," 169.
84 Richardson, Fields of Play, 73.
85 Mamet, Three Uses of the Knife, 50.
86 Mamet, Three Uses of the Knife, 15.
ourselves, again, of what we know to be untrue: that we are superior to circumstances (that we are, in effect, God)”.  

False dramas thus seem to perform something akin to the “god trick” in research, which, as Donna Haraway observes, is unethical and must be forbidden. Both Haraway and Mamet invoke the imaginary and non-rational as the means to avoid this trap. Mamet explains, “what comes from the head is perceived ... as manipulative. And we may succumb to the manipulative for a moment because it makes us feel good to side with the powerful. But finally we understand we're being manipulated. And we resent it”.

False dramas “may be diverting” but he warns they have a cumulatively debilitating effect. “Dramatists who aim to change the world assume a moral superiority to the audience and allow the audience to assume a moral superiority to those people in the play who don't accept the views of the hero”. He argues that he has “never met an audience that wasn’t collectively smarter that I am” and that trying to change your audience is both presumptuous and a form of abuse. “They are not being ‘changed,’ they are being forced”.

The best plays, he suggests, may be upsetting or unusual or intricate and leave you unsure, but they stay with you, because they aren't clean, they aren't neat, but there's something in them that comes from the heart, and, so, goes to the heart. Tragedy is a celebration not of our eventual triumph but of the truth - it is not a victory but a resignation. Much
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of its calmative power comes, again, from that operation described by Shakespeare: when remedy is exhausted, so is grief.... We live in an extraordinarily debauched, interesting, savage world, where things really don't come out even. The purpose to true drama is to help remind us of that. Perhaps this does have an accidental, a cumulative social effect - to remind us to be a little more humble or a little more grateful or a little more ruminative.93 The strength of the hero in a true drama comes from their “power to resist. They resist the desire to manipulate, to ‘help’”.94 These plays “do not deny our powerlessness, but through its avowal they free us of the burden of its repression”.95 They do so by airing conflict, not by rationalizing it.96

I have quoted from Mamet at length because he offers a provocative critique which may be usefully applied to both the instrumental use of creative tools in presenting research, and our attempts to instruct our audiences. He seems to be offering a detailed description of what Lather (2007) is reaching for in her call for practices of unknowing and messy texts. My project - which I have barely begun in this dissertation - is to learn to produce scholarship which meets Mamet’s criteria for art.

*Personal*

Mamet argues that "the power to resist makes the hero journey affective. And for the audience to undergo that journey, it's essential that the writer undergo the journey. That's why writing never gets any easier".97 Work in this representational mode is thus profoundly emotional and personal. While academic discourses have traditionally been
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suspicious of open emotionality, Ron Pelias argues that research which fails to consider
the heart omits our source of power and leaves us “spiritually and ethically bankrupt”.98
Many scholars have pointed out that emotions are an essential component of our work
and deserve an open and nuanced treatment.99 I have thus offered no pretext of
dispassionate rationality in this project, but rather endeavored to bring our felt and
physical experiences into play.

This means that the project is also inescapably personal. According to Lois
Presser, "the researcher's goal is not to emancipate the authentic story of the narrator -
one exists - but rather to expose as much as she can of the relations that influence the
construction of the story that is told".100 As I am by far the most influential factor, much
of what must be exposed has to do with me. To do so, I have borrowed from the genre of
autoethnography. Autoethnographers “willfully pollute rarefied academic discourses”
with the literary and personal voice in order to produce evocative, “thick” accounts of the
complexity of everyday life.101 At its worst, this form of scholarship can

“read" a little like morning talk shows where individuals discuss family secrets,
personal crises, traumas, desires, and experiences, to countless anonymous others
for purposes which are not altogether clear. In some cases ... writers are so
desirous to be ever more self-reflexive than others about subjectivities, private
stories, and idiosyncratic departures that they effectively evacuate the sociological
from their account, and produce texts which are narcissistic, incomprehensible, or
self indulgent.102

98 Ronald J. Pelias, A Methodology of the Heart: Evoking Academic and Daily Life (Walnut
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When well done, however, autoethnographic work can offer insightful, relevant, nuanced, and beautifully intimate revelations of how the social constellates within us. I have taken up this genre in response to the exhortation to “write what you know” and the ethical obligation to be reflexive. Given how closely I am implicated in my work, it would seem neither ethical nor practical to position myself outside of the frame. This sort of vulnerable scholarship is terrifying and often embarrassing to produce but it creates the possibility of movement. These are the stories I can tell.

Conclusion

While this slippery project can only pretend to offer solid arguments and true findings about the post-abuse process, the hybrid methods I have used to produce this mixed-genre work may stand as a contribution to our collective scholarly effort to find new ways to work productively in what Patti Lather calls the ruins of inquiry.\textsuperscript{103} It is difficult to sit in a ruin, to resist the overwhelming urge to start tidying up, sorting things out, rebuilding something to replace the crumbled structures of meaning. It is hard for us, as readers and as survivors, to sit with our desire for smooth stories and solid answers, the comfort texts and progress narratives that we crave even though we know they’ll let us down. Our ability to be patient in the soot and confusion of our epistemic losses comes from the hope, slim as blades of grass, that new ways of speaking and thinking will emerge. Thank you, reader, for sitting here with me, on the upturned stumps of knowledge, playing cats cradle with these narrative threads, waiting for something to grow.

\textsuperscript{103} Lather, \textit{Getting Lost}, 2007.


Alexa - Is teaching herself how to paint.

Alice - Plays soccer.


Angel - I still wish we could talk.

Anna - Has grandchildren.

Anne - Raises horses.


Belinda - Made a great Halloween costume.


Cheryl - Is not intimidated by gadgets.


Cricket - Steals your socks but only chews her own toys.
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Dawn - Is an amazing decorator.

Denzin, Norman K. “Presidential Address on the Sociological Imagination Revisited.”


Dora - Was a cat named Whiskers from age 2-4.
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Dr. White - Goes snowboarding when stuff starts getting her down.
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Heather - Wears a funky hat.


Jen - Can make a cup of coffee last a long, long time.

June - Likes ballroom dancing.
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Maggie - Has tiny feet.


Margo - Teaches fly-fishing.


Olive - Had her home bombed out twice during the war.


Peter - His life isn’t actually meaningless (I think).
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_____.


Ruth - Likes deep talks long past tuck-in.


Sarah - Introduced me to deep-fried tofu. Yum!

Shawn - Is extremely ticklish.


Shirley - Loves her garden.


Sophie - Does not feel like a grown-up.

Stella - Has well groomed fingernails.


Tahirih - Bumps into lots of things.

Tammy - Has gone back to school.


Ubah - Wears a hijab.


Victoria - Has a fabulous, raspy voice.


You, the Reader - *(fill in the blank)______________________________________.*