OPENING SESSION
1. Approval of the Agenda

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.

Motion: Kline/Harwardt

THAT the GFC Facilities Development Committee approve the Agenda. CARRIED

2. Approval of the Open Session Minutes of March 24, 2016

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.
3. Comments from the Chair

The Acting Chair provided members with updates regarding several current and proposed projects.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

4. Lister 5: Schematic Design Report

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.

Presenter(s): Geoffrey Rode, Director of Operations, Ancillary Services; Kelly Hopkin, Senior Campus Planner and Architect

Purpose of the Proposal: The proposed new first-year student housing residence will feature (200) double occupancy bedrooms and (60) single bedroom configurations organized around large common/social areas and washroom cores on each floor. The building will feature the appropriate amount of amenities or programmable space required to deliver support services for first-year students and foster a sense of community.

Discussion:
Mr Hopkin reported that this project supports the University’s goal to guarantee housing to all first year students, adding that this project aligns with the Institutional Strategic Plan: For the Public Good, as well as a long list of desired characteristics for the new facility.

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Mr Hopkin reported on design deliverables, adding that this proposal creates space for 460 students. He provided members with additional details surrounding the schematic site plan as well as the main and upper floor schematic plans. He highlighted the priorities for the project as identified by the Lister Student Focus Group. He pointed out several unique features for this space, including spa-style washrooms, a fitness room, the Mackenzie connector, quiet study space and laundry facilities.

Mr Hopkin addressed stakeholder comments from the Open House held on February 25, 2016, as well as responses provided at the second Open House on May 17, 2016.

Finally, Mr Hopkin provided members with a timeline for the project’s development and completion.

Members expressed a number of comments and questions in relation to this presentation, concerning the occupancy level of the fitness room; the location of quiet study spaces; the capacity of the building for in-loading and out-loading equipment; clarification about bathroom and nutrition nook amenities; whether the students expressed a desire for a cafeteria in the facility; whether the facility includes air-conditioning and will be used for summer conference hosting and clarification about the connector and landscaping.

The Acting Chair thanked presenters for their summary of this project.

5. East Campus Village 9: Preliminary Design

Materials before members are contained in the official meeting file.
Presenter(s): Geoffrey Rode, Director of Operations, Ancillary Services; Kelly Hopkin, Senior Campus Planner and Architect

Purpose of the Proposal: The proposed new student housing residence will feature individual, self-contained apartments in (32) six-bedroom and (27) four-bedroom configurations designed to be attractive to international, rural and graduate students. The buildings will contain the appropriate amount of amenity and/or programmable space required to deliver support services for students and foster a sense of community.

Discussion:
Mr Rode explained that this project aims to provide students who have completed their first year of study the option of self-selecting roommates while remaining housed on campus. He added that this facility will include 32 six-bedroom units and 27-four bedroom units.

Mr Hopkin, using a PowerPoint presentation, outlined the mandatory program requirements of this project, and provided members with renderings reflecting the design of the various four- and six-bedroom suites. He noted, as well, that during the student engagement sessions held for this project in April, 2016, a number of desirable characteristics were identified, including a specific social space theme for the main floor, and a reconfiguration of the main floor space into a “studio” concept.

Mr Hopkin displayed a number of renderings of the exterior of the building. He reported on the comments received during the Open House held for this project on February 25, 2016, as well as corresponding responses provided at the second Open House on May 17, 2016.

Mr Hopkin provided members with a timeline of completion for this project before concluding his presentation.

During the discussion in relation to this project, members enquired about the size of refrigerators; how the six-bedroom unit was imagined; support for this project; clarification surrounding how this facility relates to the Peter Lougheed Hall in terms of visual connectivity; whether the project includes specific space for graduate students; whether the project displaces any current residents in the area.

The Chair thanked members for their comments.

6. Projects Updates from Facilities and Operations

There were no documents.

Presenter: Pat Jansen, Associate Vice-President (Facilities and Operations)

Purpose of the Proposal: For information/discussion.

Discussion:
Mr Jansen provided updates to members regarding ongoing projects at the University of Alberta, including:

In the Building Phase:

Chemical Materials Engineering Building Levels 2, 3 and 7: Project completed, space turned over and now occupied
Chemical Materials Engineering Building Characterization Laboratories: Construction now underway, approx. 30% complete – part of GSP
Research and Collections Resource Facility (RCRF): Construction has commenced, proceeding on schedule.
Peter Lougheed Hall: Advancing per schedule, occupancy for 2017
In Design or Development:

Twin Arena: Continue to develop partnership opportunities, will advance the concept design and business case.
Maskwa House: Working on advancing the design through to the Design Development phase. Ongoing meetings with Project Steering Committee to mature Schematic Design programming and design iterations
Devonian Botanical Garden – Islamic Garden; designs have advanced to 80%, road work design completed, will come forward as information – will have Pavilion as future development zone
Devonian ECO: Ongoing review of facility programming and site development, relationship to Islamic Garden in review and development.

A member sought an update in regards to the Butterdome re-cladding project.

7. Question Period

There were no questions.

INFORMATION REPORTS

8. Items Approved by the GFC Facilities Development Committee by E-Mail Ballots

There were no items.

9. Information Items Forwarded to Committee Members Between Meetings

There were no items.

CLOSING SESSION

10. Adjournment

The Acting Chair adjourned the meeting at 3:05 p.m.